arXiv:1612.00930v1 [math.AT] 3 Dec 2016

Quasi-Elliptic Cohomology and its Power Operations

Zhen Huan

Abstract. Quasi-elliptic cohomology is a slightly different variant of Tate K-theory. It is the orbifold K-theory of a space of constant loops. For global quotient orbifolds, it can be expressed in terms of equivariant K-theories. This theory has power operations. We formulate the total power operation of it. As an application, we prove that the Tate K-theory of symmetric groups modulo a certain transfer ideal classify the finite subgroups of the Tate curve.

1. Introduction

If G is a compact Lie group and X is a manifold with a smooth $G-$action, the space of smooth unbased loops in the orbifold $X//G$ in principle carries a lot of structure: on the one hand, it includes loops represented by smooth maps $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(t+1) = \gamma(t)g$ for some $g \in G$; at the same time the circle acts on the loop space by rotation. One model for the loop space is

$$Loop_1(X//G) := Bibun(S^1//*, X//G),$$

introduced in Section 2.1.1, where $Bibun(S^1//*, X//G)$ is the category of bibundles from the trivial groupoid $S^1//*$ to the translation groupoid $X//G$. The reference for bibundles is [**39**]. In Section 2.1.2 we introduce two other models of the loop space, $Loop_2(X//G)$ and $Loop_3(X//G)$. Moreover, we show how to consider the rotation action on $Loop_1(X//G)$ by the circle in Section 2.1.2. The resulting orbifold is denoted by $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$.

Quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G(X)$ is defined to be the orbifold K-theory of a subgroupoid $\Lambda(X//G)$ of $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ consisting of constant loops, as we explain in Section 2.4.2. More explicitly, $QEll^*_G(X)$ can be expressed in term of the equivariant K-theory of X and its subspaces as

$$QEll^*_G(X) := \prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma) = \left(\prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma) \right)^G. \tag{1.1}$$

Generally, quasi-elliptic cohomology can be defined for any orbifold groupoid X, as shown in Section 3.3. We can consider the category $Bibun(S^1//*, X)$ and define the quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*(X)$ analogously. Explicitly, it is the orbifold K-theory $K^*_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X))$ with $I^{tors}(X)$ the torsion inertia groupoid of X.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55.

One interesting point is that quasi-elliptic cohomology has power operations, which was first observed by Nora Ganter, as shown in [**19**] and [**20**]. In Section 3 we construct the total power operation of quasi-elliptic cohomology. It mixes the power operation in $K-$theory with the natural operations of dilating and rotating loops.

THEOREM 1.1. *For a $G-$space X, the power operation of quasi-elliptic cohomology is of the form:*

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \prod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} :$$
$$QEll^*_G(X) \longrightarrow QEll^*_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(X^{\times n}) = \prod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} K_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)}((X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}).$$

Each $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ is constructed as the composition:

$$QEll^*_G(X) \xrightarrow{U^*} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \xrightarrow{(\ )^\Lambda_k} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda^{var}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$$
$$\xrightarrow{\boxtimes} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \xrightarrow{f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}} K^*_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)}((X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}),$$

where $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ.

For more details of the construction, please see Section 3.2.

In general, for any orbifold groupoid X, the total power operation

$$P^{Ell} : QEll(X) \longrightarrow QEll(SX),$$

where $SX = \coprod_{n\geq 0} X\wr\Sigma_n$ is the total symmetric power of X, is defined by

$$P^{Ell} : QEll(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X) \xrightarrow{P} K_{orb}(S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)))$$
$$\xrightarrow{(Q^*)^{-1}} QEll(SX).$$

Though the general formulas for the power operations in $QEll_G$ are complicated, to understand it it's useful to consider special cases. It is already illuminating to consider the case that X is a point and G is the trivial group, the power operation has a neat form, as shown in Example 3.18. It has a natural interpretation in terms of the Tate elliptic curve.

In Section 3.5.2 applying the power operation we prove that the Tate K-theory of symmetric groups modulo a certain transfer ideal classifies finite subgroups of the Tate curve, which is analogous to the principal result in Strickland [**43**] that the Morava $E-$theory of the symmetric group Σ_n modulo a certain transfer ideal classifies the power subgroups of rank n of the formal group $\mathbb{G}_E$.

First I prove

THEOREM 1.2.

$$QEll(pt//\Sigma_N)/\mathcal{I}^{QEll}_{tr} \cong \prod_{N=de} \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/\langle q^d - q'^e\rangle, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{QEll}_{tr}$ is the transfer ideal defined in (3.41) and q' is the image of q under the power operation $\mathbb{P}_N$ constructed in Section 3.2. The product goes over all the ordered pairs of positive integers (d,e) such that $N=de$.

Then by the relationship between $QEll^*$ and Tate K-theory

$$QEll^*(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\mathbb{Z}((q))=K^*_{Tate}(X) \text{ for any topological groupoid } X, \tag{1.3}$$

and the corresponding relationship between the power operations of the two theories, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. *The Tate K-theory of symmetric groups modulo a certain transfer ideal classifies finite subgroups of the Tate curve. Explicitly,*

$$K_{Tate}(pt//\Sigma_N)/I_{tr}^{Tate}\cong\prod_{N=de}\mathbb{Z}((q))[q']/\langle q^d-q'^e\rangle, \tag{1.4}$$

where I_{tr}^{Tate} is the transfer ideal defined in (3.40) and q' is the image of q under the power operation P^{Tate} constructed in [**20**]. *The product goes over all the ordered pairs of positive integers (d,e) such that $N=de$.*

Moreover, via the isomorphism in Theorem 1.2, we can define an additive operation

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_N :&QEll_G(X)\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}_N}QEll_{G\wr\Sigma_N}(X^{\times N})\xrightarrow{res}QEll_{G\times\Sigma_N}(X^{\times N})\\ &\xrightarrow{diag^*}QEll_{G\times\Sigma_N}(X)\cong QEll_G(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}QEll_{\Sigma_N}(\mathrm{pt})\\ &\longrightarrow QEll_G(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}QEll_{\Sigma_N}(\mathrm{pt})/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}\cong QEll_G(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\prod_{N=de}\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/\langle q^d-q'^e\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which is the Adams operation of quasi-elliptic cohomology, as shown in Proposition 3.44. Under the identification (1.3), it extends uniquely to an additive operation

$$\overline{P^{string}}_n : K_{Tate}(X//G)\longrightarrow K_{Tate}(X//G)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}((q))}K_{Tate}(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_N)/I_{tr}^{Tate}.$$

Taking the trace of $\overline{P^{string}}_n(x)$, it equals $nT_n(x)$ with T_n the Hecke operator of Tate K-theory defined by the stringy power operation in [**19**].

2. Quasi-elliptic Cohomology

Quasi-elliptic cohomology is modelled on the orbifold K-theory of the constant loops in the free loop space. So to understand $QEll^*_G(X)$, it is essential to understand the orbifold loop space.

Let G be a compact Lie group and X be a $G-$space. Let $X//G$ denote the translation groupoid. In Example 2.7 I discuss the Lie groupoid $Loop_1(X//G)$ consisting of bibundles from $S^1//*$ to $X//G$, which is equivalent to Lie groupoids $Loop_2(X//G)$ and $Loop_3(X//G)$.

Other than the $G-$action on it, we also consider the rotation by the circle group on the objects and form the Lie groupoids $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ and $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$. The automorphism group on each object may not be a compact Lie group. To avoid these cases it is convenient to restrict to paths $\gamma:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow X$ with $\gamma(t+1)=\gamma(t)g$ when g is a *torsion* element of G and consider a subgroup of each automorphism group. The resulting groupoid is

$$\mathcal{L}(X//G):=\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}}\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda_G(g).$$

Each component $\mathcal{L}_gX=\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z},X)$ is equipped with an evident $C_G(g)-$action. And there is in fact a $G-$action on the whole space $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$. In addition, the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}$ by rotation, and so in principle on the orbifold $\mathcal{L}_gX$.

We write $\Lambda(X//G)$ the full subgroupoid of $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ consisting of the constant loops. The quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G(X)$ is an explicit form of

$$K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G)).$$

In order to unravel the relevant notations in the construction of $QEll^*_G(X)$, we study the orbifold loop space with its $\mathbb{T}-$action in Section 2.1.2 and Section 2.1.3.

Quasi-elliptic cohomology is closely related to equivariant Tate K-theory, which is defined from the orbifold K-theory of the full subgroupoid of

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}} \mathcal{L}_g X//C_G(g)$$

consisting of the constant loops. The relation between the two cohomology theories is

$$QEll^*_G(X) \cong K^*_{Tate}(X//G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \mathbb{Z}((q)). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, there is a nice interpretation of the groupoid $\Lambda(X//G)$ in terms of the groupoid $L_{gh}(G \curvearrowright X)$ of ghost loops. I introduce it in Section 2.1.3. The main reference for the ghost loops in this paper is [**36**].

In Section 2.1.1 I discuss the Lie groupoid $Loop_1(X//G)$ consisting of bibundles from $S^1//*$ to $X//G$. In Section 2.1.2 I interpret the Lie groupoids $Loop_1(X//G)$ and introduce the groupoid of main interest, $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$. In Section 2.1.3 I introduce ghost loops and show its relation with $Loop_1(X//G)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$. In Section 2.2 we recall the basics of equivariant K-theory and in Section 2.3 I briefly introduce the orbifold K-theory. In Section 2.4.1 I show the representation ring of $\Lambda_G(g)$ and the relevant groups. In Section 2.4.2 I introduce the construction of quasi-elliptic cohomology in term of orbifold K-theory first and then equivariant K-theory. I showed some properties of the theory in Section 2.4.3.

2.1. Loop space. In Section 2.1.1 I introduce basic notions on Lie groupoids and bibundle, and discuss an example $Loop_1(X//G) := Bibun(S^1//*, X//G)$ relevant to the construction of quasi-elliptic cohomology. In Section 2.1.2 I introduce the loop group and the orbifold $\Lambda(X//G)$, which is fairly relevant to the definition of quasi-elliptic cohomology. In Section 2.1.3 I show quasi-elliptic cohomology can be interpreted by ghost loops constructed by Rezk in his unpublished manuscript [**36**]. The action of ghost loop groups is defined by the extension of the action of appropriate gauge groups.

The readers may refer [**3**] and [**32**] for a reference on groupoids and orbifolds.

2.1.1. *Bibundles.* In Section 2.1.1 I introduce basic notions on Lie groupoids and bibundle, and an example $Loop_1(X//G)$ relevant to the construction of quasi-elliptic cohomology. References for Section 2.1.1 are [**32**] and [**39**].

Definition 2.1 (Groupoids). A groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ is a small category in which each arrow is an isomorphism. That is, $\mathbb{G}$ consists of a set $\mathbb{G}_0$ of objects and a set $\mathbb{G}_1$ of arrows with five structure maps, listed below.
1. The source map $s : \mathbb{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$, which assigns to each arrow $g \in \mathbb{G}_1$ its source $s(g)$.
2. The target map $t : \mathbb{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$, which assigns to each arrow $g \in \mathbb{G}_1$ its target $t(g)$.

3. The composition map $m : \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_t \mathbb{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_1$, where $\mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_t \mathbb{G}_1$ is the fibered product
$$\{(h, g) \in \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_1 | s(h) = t(g)\}.$$
If g and h are arrows with $s(h) = t(g)$, one can form their composition hg, with $s(hg) = s(g)$ and $t(hg) = t(h)$. The composition map, defined by $m(h, g) = hg$, is required to be associative.
4. The unit map $u_G : \mathbb{G}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_1$, which is a two-sided unit for the composition. That is, for any x, $y \in \mathbb{G}_0$ and $g : x \longrightarrow y$, $su_G(x) = x = tu_G(x)$, and $gu_G(x) = g = u_G(y)g$.
5. An inverse map $i_G : \mathbb{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_1$, written $i_G(g) = g^{-1}$. If $g : x \longrightarrow y$ then $g^{-1} : y \longrightarrow x$ is a two-sided inverse for the composition, which means that $g^{-1}g = u_G(x)$ and $gg^{-1} = u_G(y)$.

Definition 2.2. (i) A topological groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ is a groupoid object in the category of topological spaces. That is, $\mathbb{G}$ consists of a space $\mathbb{G}_0$ of objects and a space $\mathbb{G}_1$ of arrows with the five structure maps continuous.

Functors and natural transformations are defined as functors and natural transformations inside the category of topological spaces.

(ii) A Lie groupoid is a topological groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ where $\mathbb{G}_0$ and $\mathbb{G}_1$ are smooth manifolds and the structure maps s, t, m, u and i are smooth. Furthermore, s and $t : \mathbb{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$ are surjective submersions (so that the domain $\mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_t \mathbb{G}_1$ of m is a smooth manifold).

Functors and natural transformations are defined as functors and natural transformations inside the category of smooth manifolds. Especially, a homormophism is a smooth functor.

Example 2.3 (Translation Groupoids). Let $X//G$ denote the translation groupoid with as objects the points $x \in X$, and as arrows $\alpha : x \longrightarrow y$ those $\alpha \in G$ for which $\alpha \cdot x = y$. It is a topological groupoid. In the case when X is a manifold, G is a Lie group acting on it smoothly, $X//G$ is a Lie groupoid.

When the group G is trivial, any smooth manifold X can be viewed as a Lie groupoid with only identity morphisms.

An equivalence between groupoids is a functor that is both essentially surjective and fully faithful. Equivalences between Lie groupoids is defined below.

Definition 2.4. A homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ between Lie groupoids is called an equivalence if
(i) The map
$$t \cdot \pi_1 : \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_{\phi_0} \mathbb{H}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$$
defined on the fibered product $\mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_{\phi} \mathbb{H}_0 = \{(g, y) \in \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{H}_0 | s(g) = \phi(y)\}$, is a surjective submersion.
(ii) The square
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{G}_1 \\ {\scriptstyle (s,t)}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle (s,t)}\downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}_0 \times \mathbb{H}_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi\times\phi} & \mathbb{G}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0 \end{array}$$
is a fibered product.

For any manifold X, let Man_X denote the category of manifolds over X, that is, the category whose objects are manifolds Y equipped with a smooth map $Y \longrightarrow X$, and whose morphisms are smooth maps $Y \longrightarrow Y'$ making the following triangle commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ be Lie groupoids. A (left principal) bibundle from $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{G}$ is a smooth manifold P together with
1. A map $\tau : P \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$, and a surjective submersion $\sigma : P \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_0$.
2. Action maps in $Man_{G_0 \times H_0}$

$$\mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P \longrightarrow P$$
$$P {}_\sigma\times_t \mathbb{H}_1 \longrightarrow P$$

which we denote on elements as $(g, p) \mapsto g \cdot p$ and $(p, h) \mapsto p \cdot h$, such that
1. $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot p) = (g_1 g_2) \cdot p$ for all $(g_1, g_2, p) \in \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_t \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P$;
2. $(p \cdot h_1) \cdot h_2 = p \cdot (h_1 h_2)$ for all $(p, h_1, h_2) \in P {}_\sigma\times_t \mathbb{H}_1 {}_s\times_t \mathbb{H}_1$;
3. $p \cdot u_H(\sigma(p)) = p$ and $u_G(\tau(p)) \cdot p = p$ for all $p \in P$.
4. $g \cdot (p \cdot h) = (g \cdot p) \cdot h$ for all $(g, p, h) \in \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P {}_\sigma\times_t \mathbb{H}_1$.
5. The map

$$\begin{gathered} \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P \longrightarrow P {}_\sigma\times_\sigma P \\ (g, p) \mapsto (g \cdot p, p) \end{gathered}$$

is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.6. A bibundle map is a map $P \longrightarrow P'$ over $\mathbb{H}_0 \times \mathbb{G}_0$ which commutes with the $\mathbb{G}-$ and $\mathbb{H}-$actions, i.e. the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_1 {}_s\times_\tau P' & \longrightarrow & P' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} P {}_\sigma\times_t \mathbb{H}_1 & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P' {}_\sigma\times_t \mathbb{H}_1 & \longrightarrow & P' \end{array}$$

For each pair of Lie groupoids $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{G}$, we have a category $Bibun(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G})$ with as objects bibundles from $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{G}$ and as morphisms the bundle maps. The category of smooth functors from $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{G}$ is a subcategory of $Bibun(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G})$.

Example 2.7 ($Loop_1(X//G)$). Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly on a manifold X. Consider the translation groupoids $X//G$ and $S^1//*$ with trivial morphisms. Let's use $Loop_1(X//G)$ to denote the category $Bibun(S^1//*, X//G)$. By Definition 2.5, the objects are the diagrams

$$P := \{S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{f} X\}$$

where $P \xrightarrow{\pi} S^1$ is a principal $G-$bundle over S^1 and $f : P \longrightarrow X$ is a $G-$equivariant map. A morphism $P \longrightarrow P'$ consist of a $G-$bundle map $\alpha : P \longrightarrow P'$ making the

diagram below commutes.



Thus, the morphisms in $Loop_1(X//G)$ from P to P' are the bundle isomorphisms. $Loop_1(X//G)$ is a Lie groupoid.

In particular, $Loop_1(*//G)$ is the category with principal $G-$bundles over S^1 as objects and bundle isomorphisms as morphisms.

2.1.2. *Orbifold Loop Space.* Before introducing the ghost loops in Section 2.1.3, let's recall the orbifold loop group and loop group.

For any space X, we have the free loop space of X

$$LX := \mathrm{Map}(S^1, X). \tag{2.2}$$

It comes with an evident action by the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by rotating the circle

$$t \cdot \gamma := (s \mapsto \gamma(s+t)),\ t \in S^1,\ \gamma \in LX. \tag{2.3}$$

Let G be a compact Lie group. Now let's suppose X is a right G-space. The free loop space LX is equipped with an action by the loop group LG

$$\delta \cdot \gamma := (s \mapsto \delta(s) \cdot \gamma(s)),\ \text{for any } s \in S^1,\ \delta \in LX,\ \ \gamma \in LG. \tag{2.4}$$

Combining the action by group of automorphisms $Aut(S^1)$ on the circle and the action by LG, we get an action by the extended loop group ΛG on LX. $\Lambda G := LG \rtimes \mathbb{T}$ is a subgroup of

$$LG \rtimes Aut(S^1),\ (\gamma, \phi) \cdot (\gamma', \phi') := (s \mapsto \gamma(s)\gamma'(\phi^{-1}(s)), \phi \circ \phi') \tag{2.5}$$

with $\mathbb{T}$ identified with the group of rotations on S^1. ΛG acts on LX by

$$\delta \cdot (\gamma, \phi) := (t \mapsto \delta(\phi(t)) \cdot \gamma(\phi(t))),\ \text{for any } (\gamma, \phi) \in \Lambda G,\ \text{and } \delta \in LX. \tag{2.6}$$

It's straightforward to check (2.6) is a well-defined group action.

Let G^{tors} denote the set of torsion elements in G. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $g \in G^{tors}$ and l denote the order of g. Let $L_g^k G$ be the twisted loop group

$$\{\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G | \gamma(s+k) = g^{-1}\gamma(s)g\}. \tag{2.7}$$

The multiplication of it is defined by

$$(\delta \cdot \delta')(t) = \delta(t)\delta'(t), \text{ for any } \delta, \delta' \in L_g^k G,\ \text{and } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.8}$$

The identity element e is the constant map sending all the real numbers to the identity element of G. Similar to ΛG, we can define $L_g^k G \rtimes \mathbb{T}$ whose multiplication is define by

$$(\gamma, t) \cdot (\gamma', t') := (s \mapsto \gamma(s)\gamma'(s+t), t+t'). \tag{2.9}$$

The set of constant maps $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ in $L_g^k G$ is a subgroup of it, i.e. the centralizer $C_G(g)$.

I will show in Lemma 2.9 each $L_g^1 G$ is isomorphic to the gauge group of a principal bundle.

Recall that the gauge group of a principal bundle is defined to be the group of its vertical automorphisms. The readers may refer [**31**] for more details on gauge groups. For a $G-$bundle $P \longrightarrow S^1$, let $L_P G$ denote its gauge group.

We have the well-known facts below.

LEMMA 2.8. *The principal $G-$bundles over S^1 are classified up to isomorphism by homotopy classes*

$$[S^1, BG] \cong \pi_0 G/conj.$$

Up to isomorphism every principal $G-$bundle over S^1 is isomorphic to one of the form $P_\sigma \longrightarrow S^1$ with $\sigma \in G$ and

$$P_\sigma := \mathbb{R} \times G/(s+1, g) \sim (s, \sigma g).$$

A complete collection of isomorphism classes is given by a choice of representatives for each conjugacy class of $\pi_0 G$.

For the gauge group $L_{P_\sigma} G$ of the bundle P_σ, we have the conclusion.

LEMMA 2.9. *For the bundle $P_\sigma \longrightarrow S^1$, $L_{P_\sigma} G$ is isomorphic to the twisted loop group $L^1_\sigma G$.*

PROOF. Each automorphism f of an object $S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi} P_\sigma \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\delta}} X$ in $L(G \curvearrowright X)$ has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \xrightarrow{[s,g]\mapsto[s,\gamma_f(s)g]} & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{=} & S^1 \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

for some $\gamma_f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$. The morphism is well-defined if and only if $\gamma_f(s+1) = \sigma^{-1}\gamma_f(s)\sigma$.

So we get a well-defined map

$$F : L_{P_\sigma} G \longrightarrow L^1_\sigma G,\ f \mapsto \gamma_f.$$

It's a bijection. Moreover, by the property of group action, F sends the identity map to the constant map $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$, $s \mapsto e$, which is the trivial element in $L^1_\sigma G$, and for two automorphisms f_1 and f_2 at the object, $F(f_1 \circ f_2) = \gamma_{f_1} \cdot \gamma_{f_2}$. So $L_{P_\sigma} G$ is isomorphic to $L^1_\sigma G$.

□

EXAMPLE 2.10 ($Loop_2(X//G)$). Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly on a manifold X. Let $Loop_2(X//G)$ denote the groupoid whose objects are (σ, γ) with $\sigma \in G$ and $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow X$ a smooth map such that $\gamma(1) = \gamma(0) \cdot \sigma$. A morphism $\alpha : (\sigma, \gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma', \gamma')$ is a smooth map $\alpha : [0,1] \longrightarrow G$ satisfying $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s)\alpha(s)$. Note that $\alpha(0)\sigma' = \sigma\alpha(1)$.

$Loop_2(X//G)$ is equivalent to the groupoid $Loop_1(X//G)$ talked in Example 2.7. The equivalence $\phi : Loop_2(X//G) \longrightarrow Loop_1(X//G)$ is defined by sending an object (σ, γ) to the object

$$S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{f} X$$

with π the projection $[t,g] \mapsto t$ and f sending $[t,g]$ to $\gamma(t)\cdot g$, and sending a morphism $\alpha : (\sigma,\gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma',\gamma')$ to



with the vertical map sending $[t,g]$ to $[t,\alpha(t)^{-1}\cdot g]$.

Similarly, for each positive integer k, we can define the groupoid $Loop_2^k(X//G)$ whose objects are (σ,γ) with $\sigma \in G$ and $\gamma : [0,k] \longrightarrow X$ a smooth map such that $\gamma(k) = \gamma(0)\cdot\sigma$. A morphism $\alpha : (\sigma,\gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma',\gamma')$ is a smooth map $\alpha : [0,k] \longrightarrow G$ satisfying $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s)\alpha(s)$. Each $Loop_2^k(X//G)$ is equivalent to $Loop_1(X//G)$.

Example 2.11 ($Loop_3(X//G)$). Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly on a manifold X. Let $Loop_3(X//G)$ denote the groupoid whose objects are (σ,γ) with $\sigma \in G$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ a smooth map such that $\gamma(s+1) = \gamma(s)\cdot\sigma$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. A morphism $\alpha : (\sigma,\gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma',\gamma')$ is a smooth map $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ satisfying $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s)\alpha(s)$. Note that $\alpha(s)\sigma' = \sigma\alpha(s+1)$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

$Loop_3(X//G)$ is equivalent to both the groupoid $Loop_1(X//G)$ defined in Example 2.7 and $Loop_2(X//G)$ in Example 2.10. The equivalence $Loop_3(X//G) \longrightarrow Loop_1(X//G)$ can be constructed analogous to ϕ in Example 2.10. We can also construct the equivalence $\psi : Loop_2(X//G) \longrightarrow Loop_3(X//G)$ in this way: an object (σ,γ) is sent to

$$\psi(\sigma,\gamma) = \gamma * \gamma\sigma * \gamma\sigma^2 * \cdots$$

where $*$ is the composition of paths. For any real number r between positive integers n and $n+1$, $\psi(\sigma,\gamma)(r) = \gamma(r-n)\sigma^n$. A morphism $\alpha : (\sigma,\gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma',\gamma')$ is mapped to the morphism

$$\psi(\alpha) = \alpha * \sigma^{-1}\alpha\sigma * \sigma^{-2}\alpha\sigma^2 * \cdots .$$

For any r between positive integers n and $n+1$, $\psi(\alpha)(r) = \sigma^{-n}\alpha(r-n)\sigma^n$.

Similarly, for each positive integer k, we can define the groupoid $Loop_3^k(X//G)$ whose objects are (σ,γ) with $\sigma \in G$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ a smooth map such that $\gamma(s+k) = \gamma(s)\cdot\sigma$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. A morphism $\alpha : (\sigma,\gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma',\gamma')$ is a smooth map $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ satisfying $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s)\alpha(s)$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Each $Loop_3^k(X//G)$ is equivalent to $Loop_1(X//G)$.

For $g \in G$, let l denote its order. If g is not a torsion element, $l = 0$. The objects of $Loop_3^k(X//G)$ can be identified with the space

$$\coprod_{g\in G} {}_k\mathcal{L}_g X$$

where

$$ {}_k\mathcal{L}_g X := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}, X). \tag{2.11}$$

$\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup $k\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ with the isomorphism sending the generator $[1]$ corresponding to 1 to the generator $[k]$ of $k\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to k. $k\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ by group multiplication. Thus, via the isomorphism, $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}$. $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $\langle g\rangle$ by identifying the

generater [1] with g. So it acts on X via the $G-$action on it. And ${}_k\mathcal{L}_g X//L_g^k G$ is a full subgroupoid of $Loop_3^k(X//G)$.

Now let's consider the extended loop spaces with richer morphism spaces.

EXAMPLE 2.12 ($Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ and $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$). Let $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ denote the groupoid with the same objects as $Loop_1(X//G)$. A morphism

$$\{S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{f} X\} \longrightarrow \{S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi'} P' \xrightarrow{f'} X\}$$

consists of the pair (t, α) with $t \in \mathbb{T}$ a rotation of the circle and α is a morphism between the objects in $Loop_1(X//G)$ such that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ {\scriptstyle t}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \alpha}\downarrow & \nearrow_{f'} & \\ S^1 & \xleftarrow[\pi']{} & P' & & \end{array}$$

$Loop_1(X//G)$ is a subgroupoid of $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$.

Let $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$ denote the groupoid with the same objects as $Loop_3(X//G)$. A morphism

$$(\sigma, \gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma', \gamma')$$

consists of the pair (α, t) with $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow G$ a smooth map and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a rotation on S^1 satisfying $\gamma'(s) = \gamma(s-t)\alpha(s-t)$.

$Loop_3(X//G)$ is a subgroupoid of $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$.

$Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$ is equivalent to $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$. An equivalence F is constructed by sending an object (σ, γ) of $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$ to

$$S^1 \xleftarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{f} X$$

with π the projection $[t, g] \mapsto t$ and f sending $[t, g]$ to $\gamma(t) \cdot g$, and sending a morphism $(\alpha, t) : (\sigma, \gamma) \longrightarrow (\sigma', \gamma')$ to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ {\scriptstyle t}\downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow_{f'} & \\ S^1 & \xleftarrow[\pi']{} & P' & & \end{array}$$

with the vertical map $P \longrightarrow P'$ sending $[s, g]$ to $[t + s, \alpha(s)^{-1} \cdot g]$.

For any $G-$equivariant map $f : P_\sigma \longrightarrow X$, it's of the form

$$f([s, g]) = \delta_f(s) \cdot g,$$

for any $[s, g] \in P_\sigma$, where $\delta_f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ is a continuous map and $\delta_f(s) = f([s, e])$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $[s+1, g] = [s, \sigma g]$, we have $\delta_f(s+1)g = \delta_f(s)\sigma g$, thus, $\delta_f(s+1) = \delta_f(s)\sigma$. So $\delta_f(s)$ is in ${}_1\mathcal{L}_\sigma X$. Then by Lemma 2.8, F is essentially surjective.

In addition, each vertical map $P \longrightarrow P'$ is of the form $[s, g]$ to $[t + s, \delta(s) \cdot g]$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in L_\sigma^1 G$. So F is fully faithful.

Similarly, for each positive integer k, we can define the groupoid $Loop_3^{k,ext}(X//G)$ with the same objects as $Loop_3^k(X//G)$. A morphism

$$(\sigma,\gamma)\longrightarrow(\sigma',\gamma')$$

consists of the pair (α,t) with $\alpha:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow G$ a smooth map and $t\in\mathbb{T}$ a rotation on S^1 satisfying $\gamma'(s)=\gamma(s-kt)\alpha(s-kt)$.

For each $g\in G$, ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX//L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{T}$ is a full subgroupoid of $Loop_3^{k,ext}(X//G)$ where $L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{T}$ acts on ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ by

$$\delta\cdot(\gamma,t):=(s\mapsto\delta(s+t)\cdot\gamma(s+t)),\text{ for any }(\gamma,t)\in L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{T},\text{ and }\delta\in{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX. \tag{2.12}$$

The action by g on ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ coincides with that by $k\in\mathbb{R}$. So we have the isomorphism

$$L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{T}=L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{R}/\langle(\overline{g},-k)\rangle, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\overline{g}$ represents the constant loop $\mathbb{T}\longrightarrow\{g\}\subseteq G$. Let's use Λ_g^kG to denote the extended twisted loop group $L_g^kG\rtimes\mathbb{T}$.

Example 2.12 implies the following result.

Proposition 2.13. Let G be a compact Lie group.

$$\coprod_g{}_1\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda_g^1G,$$

where the coproduct goes over conjugacy classes in π_0G, is a skeleton of $Loop_3^{ext}(X//G)$. It is equivalent to $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$.

Below is an interesting property of $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$.

Proposition 2.14. Let G be a compact Lie group and H a closed subgroup of it. We have the equivalence of groupoids

$$Loop_1^{ext}((G/H)//G)\cong Loop_1^{ext}(\mathrm{pt}//H). \tag{2.14}$$

Example 2.15 ($Loop_3^{ext,tors}(X//G)$). Let $Loop_3^{k,ext,tors}(X//G)$ denote the full subgroupoid of $Loop_3^{k,ext}(X//G)$ whose objects are the pairs (σ,γ) with $\sigma\in G^{tors}$ and $\gamma:\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow X$ a smooth map such that $\gamma(s+k)=\gamma(s)\cdot\sigma$, for any $s\in\mathbb{R}$.

Its objects is the space

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}}{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX.$$

Especially, when $k=1$, let's use $Loop_3^{ext,tors}(X//G)$ to denote $Loop_3^{1,ext,tors}(X//G)$.

One interesting case is when we consider the constant loops, namely, the full subgroupoid $\Lambda^k(X//G)$ of $Loop_3^{k,ext,tors}(X//G)$ consisting of the constant loops,

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}}X^g.$$

When $k=1$, let's use $\Lambda(X//G)$ to denote $\Lambda^1(X//G)$.

When G is not finite, Λ_g^kG is an infinite dimensional topological group. We need even smaller groups to define a good orbifold loop space. Let's consider those elements $[\gamma,t]\in\Lambda_g^kG$ with γ a constant loop. They form a subgroup of Λ_g^kG which is the quotient group of $C_G(g)\times\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ by the normal subgroup generated by $(g,-k)$.

Let's denote it by $\Lambda^k_G(g)$. When $k=1$, $\Lambda^1_G(g)$ is the $\Lambda_G(g)$ defined in [**35**]. When G is a compact Lie group, $\Lambda^k_G(g)$ is also a compact Lie group.

Therefore, instead of $Loop_3^{ext,tors}(X//G)$, let's consider a subgroupoid ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ of it, as shown in Example 2.17. Before that, we need the definition below.

Definition 2.16. Let $C_G(g,g')$ denote the set

$$\{x\in G|gx=xg'\}.$$

Let $C^k_G(g)$ denote the quotient group of $C_G(g)\times\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ by the normal subgroup generated by $(g,-k)$. And let $C^k_G(g,g')$ denote the quotient of $C_G(g,g')\times\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ under the equivalence

$$(x,t)\sim(gx,t-k)=(xg',t-k).$$

Let $\Lambda^k_G(g,g')$ denote the quotient of $C_G(g,g')\times\mathbb{R}/kl\mathbb{Z}$ under the equivalence

$$(x,t)\sim(gx,t-k)=(xg',t-k).$$

Example 2.17 (Orbifold Loop Space ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$). Let ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ the groupoid with the same objects as $Loop_3^{ext,tors}(X//G)$, i.e. the space

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}}{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX,$$

and with morphisms the space $\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}}\Lambda^k_G(g,g')\times X^g$.

For $\delta\in{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$, $[a,t]\in\Lambda^k_G(g,g')$,

$$\delta\cdot([a,t],\delta):=(s\mapsto\delta(s+t)\cdot a)\in{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'}X. \tag{2.15}$$

in the same way as (2.12).

When $k=1$, let's use $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ to denote ${}_1\mathcal{L}(X//G)$.

$\Lambda^k(X//G)$ defined in Example 2.15 is the full subgroupoid of ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ with constant loops as objects. Quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G(X)$ is defined to be $K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G))$, which is shown in detail in Section 2.4.2.

If g and g' are conjugate in G, the two groupoids ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda^k_G(g)$ and ${}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'}X//\Lambda^k_G(g')$ are isomorphic, as shown below:

Let a be an element in G such that $g'=a^{-1}ga$. So we have $C_G(g')=a^{-1}C_G(g)a$. Let

$$\beta_a:{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda^k_G(g)\longrightarrow{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'}X//\Lambda^k_G(g')$$

be the functor sending an object γ to $\gamma\cdot a$, a morphism $([h,t],\gamma)$ to $([a^{-1}ha,t],\gamma\cdot a)$. Similarly we can define the functor

$$\beta_{a^{-1}}:{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'}X//\Lambda^k_G(g')\longrightarrow{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda^k_G(g)$$

sending an object γ' to $\gamma'\cdot a^{-1}$ and a morphism $([h,t],\gamma')$ to $([aha^{-1},t],\gamma\cdot a^{-1})$. Then the composition $\beta_a\cdot\beta_{a^{-1}}$ and $\beta_{a^{-1}}\cdot\beta_a$ are both identity maps. So β_a and $\beta_{a^{-1}}$ are both isomorphisms of categories.

Let G^{tors}_{conj} denote a set of representatives of $G-$conjugacy classes in G^{tors}. We have the well-defined groupoid

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}}{}_k\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda^k_G(g),$$

which is a skeleton of ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ containing all the information of it. It does not depend on the choice of representatives of the $G-$conjugacy classes.

Let's also use the symbol ${}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ to denote this skeleton when there is no confusion.

REMARK 2.18. In her paper [**19**], to study Devoto's equivariant Tate K-theory, Nora Ganter equipped each component ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ with an action by $\mathbb{Z}/kl\mathbb{Z}$. A groupoid can be formulated with objects the points in

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}} {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX,$$

and whose morphisms are $\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}} C_G^k(g,g')\times {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$. A point $([h,m],\gamma)\in C_G^k(g,g')\times {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ is viewed as a morphism $(g,\gamma(-))\mapsto(g',\gamma(-+m)\cdot h)$.

Now we want to emphasize there is an S^1-action on each component and on the whole space

$$\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}} {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX.$$

Let's consider the groupoid whose objects are the points in $\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}} {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ as the previous examples, and whose morphisms are $\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}} \Lambda_G^k(g,g')\times {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$. A point $([h,s],\gamma)\in\Lambda_G^k(g,g')\times {}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ is viewed as a morphism $(g,\gamma(-))\mapsto(g',\gamma(-+s)h)$. And the composition of morphisms is defined by

$$[h_1,t_1]\cdot[h_2,t_2]=[h_1h_2,t_1+t_2].$$

2.1.3. *Ghost Loops.* In Section 2.1.2 I introduce the groupoid $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ in Example 2.12.In Section 2.1.3, I introduce a subgroupoid $GhLoop(X//G)$ of $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$, which can be computed locally. $\Lambda(X//G)$, which is defined in Section 2.1.2, is a full subgroupoid of it. And when G is finite, $GhLoop(X//G)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda(X//G)$.

DEFINITION 2.19 (Ghost Loops). The ghost loops corresponds to the full subgroupoid $GhLoop(X//G)$ of $Loop_1^{ext}(X//G)$ consisting of objects $S^1\leftarrow P\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\delta}} X$ such that $\widetilde{\delta}(P)\subseteq X$ contained in a single $G-$orbit.

For a given $\sigma\in G$, such maps correspond to the $\Lambda_\sigma G-$invariant subspace

$$GhLoop_\sigma(X//G):=\{\delta\in {}_1\mathcal{L}_\sigma X|\delta(\mathbb{R})\subseteq G\delta(0)\}. \tag{2.16}$$

By Proposition 2.13, $GhLoop(X//G)$ is equivalent to

$$\coprod_{[\sigma]} GhLoop_\sigma(X//G)//\Lambda_\sigma^1 G$$

where the coproduct goes over conjugacy classes in π_0G.

Unlike true loops, ghost loops have the property that they can be computed locally. In other words we have the lemma below. The proof is left to the readers.

LEMMA 2.20. *If $X=U\cup V$ with U and V $G-$invariant open subsets, then*

$$GhLoop(X//G)\cong GhLoop(U//G)\cup_{GhLoop((U\cap V)//G)} GhLoop(V//G).$$

If G is a finite group, it has the discrete topology. In this case, LG consists of constant loops and is isomorphic to G. And $GhLoop(X//G)$ can be identified with X. For $\sigma \in G$ and any integer k, $L^k_\sigma G$ can be identified with $C_G(\sigma)$ and $\Lambda^k_\sigma G \cong C_G(\sigma) \times \mathbb{R}/\langle(\sigma, -k)\rangle$; $GhLoop_\sigma(X//G) \cong X^\sigma$.

In this case we have the isomorphism of groupoids

$$GhLoop(X//G) \cong \Lambda(X//G), \tag{2.17}$$

where $\Lambda(X//G)$ is the full subgroupoid of ${}_1\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ with constant loops as objects. We have seen $\Lambda(X//G)$ in Example 2.15 and will study it in detail in Section 2.4.2 where I introduce quasi-elliptic cohomology.

2.2. Equivariant K-theory. In Section 2.4.2 I show the definition of quasi-elliptic cohomology in terms of orbifold K-theory and that in terms of equivariant K-theory. I introduce some of its properties in Section 2.4.3, which resemble the properties of equivariant K-theory. So before the construction of quasi-elliptic cohomology let's recall the basic concepts and properties of equivariant K-theory.

2.2.1. *Construction.* Let G be a compact group and X be a compact G-space. By a G-vector bundle on X, we mean a vector bundle $V \longrightarrow X$ together with a G-action on V such that the projection $V \longrightarrow X$ is G-equivariant.

For any two G-vector bundles, there is a natural action of G on their direct sum, so the set of G-vector bundles forms a commutative monoid. The equivariant K-theory $K_G(X)$ is defined to be the group completion of this monoid. Tensor product of the vector bundles equips $K_G(X)$ a ring structure.

Compactness of X is essential. If we define $K_G(Y)$ as group completion for infinite $G-$cell complex Y, it doesn't satisfy Milnor axiom:

$$K_G(\bigsqcup_i Y_i) \neq \prod_i K_G(Y_i),$$

where Y_i is the $i-$th $G-$skeleton of Y. As in non-equivariant K-theory, K_G defines a functor from the homotopy category of G-spaces to the category of abelian groups. There is also an analogue of Mayer-Vietoris sequence in equivariant K-theory. We need the equivariant Bott periodicity theorem to define the positive degree K_G groups. For more details, please see [**6**] and [**7**].

K_G is also functorial in G. If there is a homomorphism $H \longrightarrow G$, then it induces $K_G(X) \longrightarrow K_H(X)$.

As the non-equivariant $K-$theory, K_G also has an invariant using complexes of vector bundles. The corresponding element in K-theory of a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow V_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is the sum $\Sigma(-1)^j V_j$. This defines a element of $K_G(X, Y)$ if this complex is exact on Y.

2.2.2. *Elementary properties.* $K_G(\mathrm{pt})$ is represented by the formal difference of two G-vector spaces. So this is the representation ring RG of G. As in any cohomology theory, for any X, $K_G(X)$ is an RG-module.

As enlightened by Segal in his paper [**40**], equivariant K-theory has the change of group isomorphism.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G and X a H-space. Let $\phi : H \longrightarrow G$ denote the inclusion homomorphism. The change-of-group map $c^G_H : K^*_G(G \times_H X) \longrightarrow K^*_H(X)$

is defined as the composite

$$K_G^*(G\times_H X)\xrightarrow{\phi^*}K_H^*(G\times_H X)\xrightarrow{i^*}K_H^*(X) \tag{2.18}$$

where ϕ^* is the restriction map and $i: X\longrightarrow G\times_H X$ is the $H-$equivariant map defined by $i(x)=[e,x]$.

Proposition 2.21. Let G be a compact Lie group and H a closed subgroup of G. Let X be a $G-$space. Then the change-of-group map

$$c_H^G: K_G(G\times_H X)\longrightarrow K_H(X)$$

defined in (2.18) is an isomorphism.

Proof. Any $G-$vector bundle E over $G\times_H X$ is determined completely by its restriction on the subspace $X=H\times_H X$. The restriction c_H^G is an equivalence between $G-$vector bundles on $G\times_H X$ and $H-$vector bundles on X. It's the inverse to the extension $E\mapsto G\times_H E$. □

Proposition 2.22. If G acts trivially on X, then any G-bundle on X is a bundle $V\longrightarrow X$ with the $G-$action identity on the base, i.e a family of G-representations parametrized by X and we have

$$K_G(X)\cong K(X)\otimes RG.$$

Then let's see the other extreme case.

Proposition 2.23. If G acts freely on X, the map $X\longrightarrow X/G$ induces $K(X/G)\otimes RG\longrightarrow K_G(X)$. In particular, we have the map $K(X/G)\longrightarrow K_G(X)$, i.e. any G-vector bundle on X can descend to a vector bundle on X/G whose sections are the G-invariant sections over X. And the map $K(X/G)\longrightarrow K_G(X)$ is an isomorphism.

More generally, we have:

Theorem 2.24. *Let N be a closed normal subgroup of G which acts freely on a compact G-space X. Then $K_G(X)\cong K_{G/N}(X/N)$.*

2.2.3. *Transfer and Transfer Ideal.* In Section 2.2.3 I introduce the construction of the transfer map and transfer ideal of equivariant K-theory. A reference for Section 2.2.3 is [**27**] and [**33**].

Moreover, given a finite covering $f: X\longrightarrow Y$ of compact $G-$spaces, the transfer map

$$f_!: K_G(X)\longrightarrow K_G(Y)$$

is the unique homomorphism such that any isomorphism class represented by a $G-$bundle $V\longrightarrow X$ is mapped to that represented by the $G-$bundle $f_!V\longrightarrow Y$ with

$$(f_!V)_y=\prod_{x\in f^{-1}(y)}V_x$$

and the $G-$action

$$g\cdot(v_x)_{x\in f^{-1}(y)}\mapsto(gv_{g^{-1}x'})_{x'\in f^{-1}(gy)}.$$

Consider any closed subgroup H of G. There is a covering $G\times_H X\longrightarrow X$. The induced transfer is defined to be the composition

$$Ind_H^G: K_H(X)\cong K_G(G\times_H X)\xrightarrow{f_!}K_G(X) \tag{2.19}$$

where the first isomorphism is the change of group isomorphism introduced in Proposition 2.21. The induced transfer is also called an induction map.

In particular, if $X = \text{pt}$, Ind_H^G is the induction map for the representation rings $RH \longrightarrow RG$.

The transfer map $f_!$ have some interesting properties.

PROPOSITION 2.25. (i) Transfers are natural, in the sense that given a sequence $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ of covers, the transfers satisfy $(g \circ f)_! = g_! \circ f_!$.

(ii) Given a cover $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ of $G-$spaces, and an $H-$space Z, we have

$$(f \times 1_Z)_!(a \times c) = f_!(a) \times c,$$

where $a \in K_G(X)$ and $c \in K_H(Z)$.

(iii) Given a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow[h]{} & Y \end{array}$$

where f and f' are coverings, we have

$$h^* f_! = (f')_! g^*.$$

(iv) Given a cover $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we have the formula

$$f_!(af^*(b)) = f_!(a)b$$

for $a \in K_G(X)$ and $b \in K_G(Y)$.

Let X be a space. There is an evident Σ_m-action on $X^{\times m}$.

Let I_{tr} denote the subgroup of $K_{\Sigma_m}(X^{\times m})$

$$I_{tr} = \sum_{\substack{i+j=m,\\ m>j>0}} \text{Image}[Ind_{\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j}^{\Sigma_m} : K_{\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j}(X^{\times m}) \longrightarrow K_{\Sigma_m}(X^{\times m})]. \tag{2.20}$$

By Proposition 2.25 (iv), I_{tr} is in fact an ideal. It is called the proper transfer ideal.

2.2.4. *$\lambda-$Rings.* In Section 2.2.4 I introduce basics on $\lambda-$rings. As shown in Example 2.32, equivariant $K-$theory $K_G^0(X)$ can be equipped with a $\lambda-$ring structure. I show in Section 2.4.2 I discuss the $\lambda-$ring structure on quasi-elliptic cohomology. The main reference for Section 2.2.4 is [**44**].

Fix a ring R. Let $R[x_1, \cdots x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over R in n independent variables $x_1, \cdots x_n$.

EXAMPLE 2.26 (Elementary symmetric functions). For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, let $s_k \in R[x_1, \cdots x_n]$ be the polynomial

$$s_k = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}.$$

Each s_k is a symmetric function since s_k is the coefficient of t^{n-k} in

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} (t - x_k) = t^n - s_1 t^{n-1} + s_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n s_n.$$

s_k is called the kth elementary symmetric function on $x_1, \cdots x_n$.

EXAMPLE 2.27 (Universal polynomials P_n and $P_{n,m}$). The coefficient of each t^n in the polynomial

$$g(t) := \prod_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leqslant nm} (1 + x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m} t)$$

is a symmetric polynomial. So it can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric functions $s_1, \cdots s_{nm}$.

In other words, there is a universal polynomial $P_{n,m}$ in nm variables with integer coefficients such that $P_{n,m}(s_1, \cdots s_{nm})$ is the coefficient of t^n in $g(t)$.

Let $y_1, \cdots y_n$ be another set of variables and let $\sigma_1, \cdots \sigma_n$ be their elementary symmetric functions.

The coefficient of each t^k in

$$h(t) := \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (1 + x_i y_j t)$$

is a symmetric functions on the x_is and the y_js. So it can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric functions $s_1, \cdots s_n$ and $\sigma_1, \cdots \sigma_n$. Thus, there exists a universal polynomial P_n in $2n$ variables with integer coefficients such that $P_n(s_1, \cdots s_n; \sigma_1, \cdots \sigma_n)$ is the coefficient of t^n in $h(t)$.

DEFINITION 2.28 ($\lambda-$Ring). A $\lambda-$ring is a ring R together with functions

$$\lambda^n : R \longrightarrow R$$

for each $n \geqslant 0$ called a $\lambda-$operations, such that for all $x, y \in R$, the following axioms are satisfied:

(1) $\lambda^0(x) = 1$;
(2) $\lambda^1(x) = x$;
(3) $\lambda^n(1) = 0$ for $n \geqslant 2$;
(4) $\lambda^n(x + y) = \sum_{i+j=n} \lambda^i(x)\lambda^j(y)$;
(5) $\lambda^n(xy) = P_n(\lambda^1(x), \cdots \lambda^n(x); \lambda^1(y), \cdots \lambda^n(y))$;
(6) $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x)) = P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(x), \cdots \lambda^{nm}(x))$;

where P_n and $P_{n,m}$ are the universal polynomials with integer coefficients described in Example 2.27. If only axioms (1), (2) and (4) are satisfied, R is called a pre$-\lambda-$ring.

In a $\lambda-$ring R, we write

$$\lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n(x) t^n, \text{ for any } x \in R. \tag{2.21}$$

EXAMPLE 2.29. The simplest $\lambda-$ring is the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, with the $\lambda-$operations defined by

$$\lambda^i(n) = \binom{n}{i} = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}.$$

$\lambda^i(n)$ is the coefficient of t^n in the polynomial $(1 + t)^n$.

EXAMPLE 2.30. If R is a $\lambda-$ring with $\lambda-$operations $\{\lambda^i_R\}_i$, the power series ring $R((x))$ is a $\lambda-$ring, with $\lambda_t(x) = 1 + xt$. For a polynomial $\sum\limits_k r_k x^k$,

$$\lambda_t(\sum_k r_k x^k) := \prod_k \lambda_t(r_k x^k)$$

is defined using the axioms for $\lambda^n(xy)$ and $\lambda^n(x + y)$.

Then by induction, the power series ring $R((x_1, \cdots x_n))$ is a $\lambda-$ring with

$$\lambda_t(x_i) = 1 + x_i t, \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n.$$

EXAMPLE 2.31. The topological $K-$theory $K(X)$ of a paracompact Hausdorff space X is a $\lambda-$ring, with the $\lambda-$operation λ^i induced by the ith exterior power of vector bundles over X.

EXAMPLE 2.32. For a group G, the complex representation ring $R(G)$ is a $\lambda-$ring, with each λ^i induced by the ith exterior power on representations of G.

Especially, if G is the trivial group $\{e\}$, $R(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is the $\lambda-$ring described in Example 2.29.

Moreover, the equivariant $K-$theory $K_G(X)$ of a compact $G-$space X is a $\lambda-$ring, with the $\lambda-$operation λ^i induced by the ith exterior power of $G-$bundles over X.

DEFINITION 2.33. Let R and S be $\lambda-$rings. A $\lambda-$homomorphism $f : R \longrightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism such that $f\lambda^i = \lambda^i f$ for $i \geqslant 0$.

2.3. Orbifold K-theory. Via orbifold-K theory, quasi-elliptic cohomology can be constructed in an elegant way. Moreover, it's essential when I define quasi-elliptic cohomology for general orbifolds in Section 3.3.1. So before that in Section 2.3 I briefly introduce the relevant knowledge about orbifold K-theory. In this section I introduce the notion of orbifold vector bundles using the language of groupoids and define orbifold K-theory for compact orbifold groupoids. The main reference for Section 2.3 is [**3**] and [**32**].

DEFINITION 2.34 (Orbifold Structure). An orbifold structure on a paracompact Hausdorff space X consists of an orbifold groupoid $\mathbb{G}$, i.e. a proper Lie groupoid, and a homeomorphism $f : |\mathbb{G}| \longrightarrow X$. If $\phi : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is an equivalence, then $|\phi| : |\mathbb{H}| \longrightarrow |\mathbb{G}|$ is a homeomorphism, and the composition $f \circ |\phi| : |\mathbb{H}| \longrightarrow X$ defines an equivalent orbifold structure on X.

DEFINITION 2.35 (Orbifold). An orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ is a space X equipped with an equivalence class of orbifold structures. A specific such structure, given by $\mathbb{G}$ and a homeomorphism $f : |\mathbb{G}| \longrightarrow X$, is called a presentation of the orbifold $\mathcal{X}$.

If X is paracompact Haursdorff, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called an effective orbifold.

If X is compact, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called a compact orbifold.

If a compact Lie group G acts smoothly and effectively on a smooth manifold M, the associated orbifold $\mathcal{X} = M/G$ is called an effective global quotient.

By Theorem 1.23 in [**3**], every effective orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to a global quotient orbifold.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be an orbifold groupoid. Let $\mathbb{G}_0$ denote its orbjects and $\mathbb{G}_1$ denote its arrows. Let's recall the definition of $\mathbb{G}-$space.

DEFINITION 2.36. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be an orbifold groupoid. A left $\mathbb{G}-$space is a manifold E equipped with an action by $\mathbb{G}$. Such an action is given by two maps: an anchor $\pi : E \to \mathbb{G}_0$, and an action $\mu : \mathbb{G}_1 \times_{\mathbb{G}_0} E \longrightarrow E$. The latter map is defined on pairs (g, e) with $\pi(e) = s(g)$, and written $\mu(g, e) = g \cdot e$. It satisfies the usual identities for an action: $\pi(g \cdot e) = t(g)$, $1_x \cdot e = e$, and $g \cdot (h \cdot e) = (gh) \cdot e$, for $x \xrightarrow{h} y \xrightarrow{g} z$ in $\mathbb{G}_1$ and $e \in E$ with $\pi(e) = x$.

DEFINITION 2.37. A $\mathbb{G}-$vector bundle over an orbifold groupoid $\mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbb{G}-$space E for which $\pi : E \to \mathbb{G}_0$ is a vector bundle, such that the action of $\mathbb{G}$ on E is fiberwise linear. Namely, any arrow $g : x \to y$ induces a linear isomorphism $g : E_x \to E_y$. In particular, E_x is a linear representation of the isotropy group G_x for each $x \in \mathbb{G}_0$.

Orbibundles over an orbifold X can be described as $\mathbb{G}-$vector bundles with $\mathbb{G}$ any orbifold groupoid presentation of X. They behave naturally under vector space constructions, sums, tensor products, exterior products, etc.

DEFINITION 2.38. Given a compact orbifold groupoid $\mathbb{G}$, let $K_{orb}(\mathbb{G})$ to be the Grothendieck ring of isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{G}-$vector bundles on $\mathbb{G}$. When X is an orbifold, we define $K_{orb}(X)$ to be $K_{orb}(\mathbb{G})$, where $\mathbb{G}$ is any groupoid presentation of X.

Under an orbifold morphism $F : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$, orbifold bundles over $\mathbb{G}$ pull back to orbifold bundles over $\mathbb{H}$.

PROPOSITION 2.39. Each orbifold morphism $F : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ induces a ring homomorphism $F^* : K_{orb}(\mathbb{G}) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\mathbb{H})$.

In particular, if two groupoids $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are Morita equivalent, $K_{orb}(\mathbb{G}) \cong K_{orb}(\mathbb{H})$.

An important example of orbifold morphisms is the projection map $p : M \longrightarrow M/G$ where G is a compact Lie group acting almost freely on the manifold M.

Similar to the conclusion in equivariant K-theory, we have

PROPOSITION 2.40. Let $X = M/G$ be a quotient orbifold. Then the projection map $p : M \longrightarrow M/G$ induces an isomorphism $p^* : K_{orb}(X) \longrightarrow K_G(M)$.

In particular, if X is an effective orbifold, we can identify its orbifold K-theory with the equivariant K-theory of its frame bundle.

2.4. Quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G$. In Section 2.4.2 I show basic constructions of $QEll^*_G$, which is defined from orbifold loop space introduced in Example 2.17. The main reference for Section 2.4 is [**35**]. Before that I discuss in Section 2.4.1 the representation ring of $\Lambda_G(g)$, which is denoted by $\Lambda^1_G(g)$ in Section 2.1.2.

2.4.1. *Preliminary: representation ring of $\Lambda_G(g)$.* For any compact Lie group G and a torsion element $g \in G$, Recall $\Lambda_G(g)$ is the group

$$\Lambda^1_G(g) = C_G(g) \times \mathbb{R}/\langle (g, -1) \rangle$$

in Section 2.1.2 and $\mathbb{T}$ is the circle group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $q : \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow U(1)$ be the isomorphism $t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$. The representation ring $R\mathbb{T}$ of the circle group is $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$.

We have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow C_G(g) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(g) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the first map is $g \mapsto [g, 0]$ and the second map is

$$\pi([g,t]) = e^{2\pi i t}. \tag{2.22}$$

There is a relation between the representation ring of $C_G(g)$ and that of $\Lambda_G(g)$.

LEMMA 2.41. *$\pi^* : R\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow R\Lambda_G(g)$ exhibits $R\Lambda_G(g)$ as a free $R\mathbb{T}-$module.*

In particular, there is an $R\mathbb{T}-$basis of $R\Lambda_G(g)$ given by irreducible representations $\{V_\lambda\}$, such that restriction $V_\lambda \mapsto V_\lambda|_{C_G(g)}$ to $C_G(g)$ defines a bijection between $\{V_\lambda\}$ and the set $\{\lambda\}$ of irreducible representations of $C_G(g)$.

PROOF. Let l be the order of the torsion element g. Note that $\Lambda_G(g)$ is isomorphic to

$$C_G(g) \times \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}/\langle (g,-1)\rangle.$$

Thus, it is the quotient of the product of two compact Lie groups.

Let $\lambda : C_G(g) \longrightarrow GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ be an $n-$dimensional $C_G(g)-$representation with representation space V and $\eta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ be a representation of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda(g)$ acts on V via scalar multiplication by $\eta(1)$. Define

$$\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \eta([h,t]) := \lambda(h)\eta(t). \tag{2.23}$$

It's straightforward to verify $\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \eta$ is a $n-$dimensional $\Lambda_G(g)-$representation with representation space V.

Any irreducible $n-$dimensional representation of the quotient group $\Lambda_G(g) = C_G(g) \times \mathbb{R}/\langle (g,-1)\rangle$ is an irreducible $n-$dimensional representation of the product $C_G(g) \times \mathbb{R}/\langle (g,-1)\rangle$. And any finite dimensional irreducible representation of the product of two compact Lie groups is the tensor product of an irreducible representation of each factor. So any irreducible representation of the quotient group $\Lambda_G(g)$ is the tensor product of an irreducible representation λ of $C_G(g)$ with representation space V and an irreducible representation η of $\mathbb{R}$. Any irreducible complex representation η of $\mathbb{R}$ is one dimensional. So the representation space of $\lambda \otimes \eta$ is still V. Let l be the order of g. $\eta(1)^l = I$. We need $\eta(1) = \lambda(g)$. So $\eta(1) = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{l}}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$\eta(t) = e^{\frac{2\pi i(k+lm)t}{l}}.$$

Any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives a choice of η in this case. And η is a representation of $\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{T}$.

Therefore, we have a bijective correspondence between

(1) isomorphism classes of irreducible $\Lambda_G(g)-$representation ρ, and

(2) isomorphism classes of pairs (λ, η) where λ is an irreducible $C_G(g)-$representation and $\eta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character such that $\lambda(g) = \eta(1)I$. $\lambda = \rho|_{C_G(g)}$. □

We can make a canonical choice of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$-basis for $R\Lambda_G(g)$. For each irreducible G-representation $\rho : G \longrightarrow Aut(G)$, write $\rho(\sigma) = e^{2\pi i c} id$ for $c \in [0,1)$, and set $\chi(t) = e^{2\pi i c t}$. Then the pair (ρ, χ) corresponds to a unique irreducible $\Lambda_G(g)$-representation.

EXAMPLE 2.42 ($G = \mathbb{Z}/N$). Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/N$ for $N \geq 1$, and let $\sigma \in G$. Given an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ which projects to $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/N$, let x_k denote the representation of $\Lambda_G(\sigma)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_G(\sigma) = (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})/(\mathbb{Z}(N,0) + \mathbb{Z}(k,1)) \xrightarrow{[a,t] \mapsto [(kt-a)/N]} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{q} U(1). \tag{2.24}$$

Then $R\Lambda_G(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}, x_k]/(x_k^N - q^k)$.

For any finite abelian group $G = \mathbb{Z}/N_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/N_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/N_m$, let $\sigma = (k_1, k_2, \cdots k_n) \in G$. We have

$$\Lambda_G(\sigma) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/N_1}(k_1) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/N_m}(k_m).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} R\Lambda_G(\sigma) &\cong R\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/N_1}(k_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} R\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/N_m}(k_m) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}, x_{k_1}, x_{k_2}, \cdots x_{k_m}]/(x_{k_1}^{N_1} - q^{k_1}, x_{k_2}^{N_2} - q^{k_2}, \cdots x_{k_m}^{N_m} - q^{k_m}) \end{aligned}$$

where all the x_{k_j}'s are defined as x_k in (2.24).

Example 2.43 ($G = \Sigma_3$). $G = \Sigma_3$ has three conjugacy classes represented by 1, (12), (123) respectively.

$\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1) = \Sigma_3 \times \mathbb{T}$, thus, $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1) = R\Sigma_3 \otimes R\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(XY - Y, X^2 - 1, Y^2 - X - Y - 1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$ where X is the sign representation on Σ_3 and Y is the standard representation.

$C_{\Sigma_3}((12)) = \langle (12) \rangle = \Sigma_2$, thus, $\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}((12)) \cong \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))$. So we have $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}((12)) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}]$.

$C_{\Sigma_3}(123) = \langle (123) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/3$, thus, $\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}((123)) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/3}(1)$. So we have $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}((123)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}, x_1]/(x_1^3 - q) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{3}}]$.

Example 2.44 ($G = \Sigma_4$). $G = \Sigma_4$ has conjugacy classes 1, (12), (123), (1234), and (12)(34).

$\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1) = \Sigma_4 \times \mathbb{T}$, thus, $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1) = R\Sigma_4 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$.

$C_{\Sigma_4}((12)) = \langle (12) \rangle \times \langle (34) \rangle$. $\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)) \cong \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \times_T \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)$. Thus, $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[s]/(s^2 - 1)$.

$C_{\Sigma_4}((123)) = \langle (123) \rangle$. $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((123)) \cong R\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/3}(1) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{3}}]$.

$C_{\Sigma_4}((1234)) = \langle (1234) \rangle$. $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((1234)) \cong R\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/4}(1) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{4}}]$.

$C_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34)) = \Sigma_2 \wr \Sigma_2$, which is the Dihedral group. We can define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \wr \Sigma_2}((12)(34)) &\longrightarrow (\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))) \ltimes \Sigma_2 \\ ([(g_1, g_2, \sigma), t]) &\mapsto ([g_1, t], [g_2, t], \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The multiplication in the semidirect product $(\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))) \ltimes \Sigma_2$ is induced from that in the wreath product $\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \wr \Sigma_2$. Ψ is an isomorphism.

To compute $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34))$, we need the representation theory for wreath product. By computing the inertia group of each irreducible representation of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))$ and applying Theorem 4.3.34 in [**25**], we can get all the irreducible representations of $(\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))) \ltimes \Sigma_2$.

Let k be any integer. I'll show the relation between $\Lambda_G^k(g)$ and $\Lambda_G(g)$ and that between the representation ring of each.

Recall $\Lambda_G^k(g)$ is defined to be the quotient group $C_G(g) \times \mathbb{R}/\langle (g,-k)\rangle$. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow C_G(g) \xrightarrow{g\mapsto[g,0]} \Lambda_G^k(g) \xrightarrow{[g,t]\mapsto t} \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\pi_k : \Lambda_G^k(g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}$ denote the projection $[g,t] \mapsto t$.

Analogous to Lemma 1.2 in [**35**], we have the conclusion about $R\Lambda_G^k(g)$ below.

LEMMA 2.45. *The map $\pi_k^* : R\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow R\Lambda_G^k(g)$ exhibits it as a free $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]-$module. There is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]-$basis of $R\Lambda_G^k(g)$ given by irreducible representations $\{\rho_k\}$ such that the restrictions $\rho_k|_{C_G(g)}$ of them to $C_G(g)$ are precisely the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $RC_G(g)$ given by irreducible representations.*

In other words, any irreducible $\Lambda_G^k(g)-$representation has the form $\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$ where ρ is an irreducible representation of $C_G(g)$, $\chi : \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ such that $\chi(k) = \rho(g)$, and

$$\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi([h,t]) := \rho(h)\chi(t), \text{ for any } [h,t] \in \Lambda_G^k(g). \tag{2.25}$$

$R\Lambda_G^k(g)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$module via the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]$.

There is a group isomorphism $\alpha_k : \Lambda_G^k(g) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(g)$ sending $[g,t]$ to $[g,\frac{t}{k}]$.

Observe that there is a pullback square of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_G^k(g) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} & \Lambda_G(g) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{t\mapsto\frac{t}{k}} & \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \end{array} \tag{2.26}$$

By Lemma 2.41, we can make a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$-basis $\{\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_\rho\}$ for $R\Lambda_G(g)$ with each $\rho : G \longrightarrow Aut(G)$ irreducible G-representation and $\chi_\rho(t) = e^{2\pi ict}$ with $c \in [0,1)$ such that $\rho(\sigma) = e^{2\pi ic}id$. Let $\chi_{\rho,k}(t) = e^{2\pi i\frac{ct}{k}}$. By Lemma 2.45, $\{\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_{\rho,k}\}$ gives a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]-$basis of $R\Lambda_G^k(g)$. So we have a ring isomorphism

$$R\Lambda_G(g) \otimes_{R\mathbb{T}} R(\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow R\Lambda_G^k(g)$$

sending $\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_\rho$ to $\rho \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_{\rho,k}$.

So we have the commutative square of a pushout square in the category of $\Lambda-$rings.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Lambda_G^k(g) & \longleftarrow & R\Lambda_G(g) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R(\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & R\mathbb{T} \end{array} \tag{2.27}$$

Let $q^{\frac{1}{k}} : \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow U(1)$ denote the composition

$$\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{t\mapsto\frac{t}{k}} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{q} U(1).$$

The representation ring $R\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]$. And there is a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda-$rings

$$R\Lambda_G(g) \longrightarrow R\Lambda_G^k(g)$$

sending q to $q^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

Moreover let's consider

$$\Lambda_n(\sigma) := \Lambda_{C_G(\sigma)}(\sigma^n).$$

It's a subgroup of $\Lambda_G(\sigma^n)$. Let $\beta : \Lambda_n(\sigma) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(\sigma^n)$ denote the inclusion. And we can define

$$\alpha : \Lambda_n(\sigma) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(\sigma), (g,t) \mapsto (g, nt). \tag{2.28}$$

We have the pullback square of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_n(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Lambda_G(\sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi it}\mapsto e^{2\pi int}} & \mathbb{T} \end{array} \tag{2.29}$$

In addition, $R\Lambda_n(\sigma)$ is the Λ-ring pushout of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}] \xrightarrow{R\pi} R\Lambda_G(\sigma)$ along the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}]$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Lambda_n(\sigma) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & R\Lambda_G(\sigma) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{T} & \xleftarrow{R[n]} & \mathbb{T} \end{array} \tag{2.30}$$

In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism of Λ-rings

$$R\Lambda_n(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Lambda_G(\sigma)[q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}]. \tag{2.31}$$

Moreover, we have the conclusion below about the relation between the induced representations $Ind|^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}(-)$ and $Ind|^{C_G(\sigma)}_{C_H(\sigma)}(-)$.

LEMMA 2.46. *Let G be a compact Lie group and H a closed subgroup. Let σ be a torsion element in H. Let V denote a $\Lambda_H(\sigma)-$representation $\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$ with λ a $C_H(\sigma)-$representation, χ a $\mathbb{R}-$representation and $\odot_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined in Lemma 2.41. Then the induced representation*

$$Ind|^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi)$$

is isomorphic to the $\Lambda_G(\sigma)-$representation

$$(Ind|^{C_G(\sigma)}_{C_H(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi.$$

Their underlying vector spaces are both $V^{\oplus m}$.

PROOF. First I show $[\Lambda_G(\sigma) : \Lambda_H(\sigma)]$ is the same as $[C_G(\sigma) : C_H(\sigma)]$. We have the inclusion

$$i : C_G(\sigma)/C_H(\sigma) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(\sigma)/\Lambda_H(\sigma),\ aC_H(\sigma) \mapsto a\Lambda_H(\sigma).$$

i is well-defined. For $a, b \in C_G(\sigma)$, if $a\Lambda_H(\sigma) = b\Lambda_H(\sigma)$, then $a^{-1}b \in \Lambda_H(\sigma)$. Since $a^{-1}b$ is also in $C_G(\sigma)$, $a^{-1}b \in C_H(\sigma)$. So $aC_H(\sigma) = bC_H(\sigma)$. In addition, for each $[g,t] \in \Lambda_G(\sigma)$, $[g,t] = [g,0][e,t]$. Since $[e,t] \in \Lambda_H(\sigma)$, $[g,t]\Lambda_H(\sigma) = [g,0]\Lambda_H(\sigma)$. So $i(gC_H(\sigma)) = [g,t]\Lambda_H(\sigma)$.

Therefore, i is a bijection.

Let f be an element in

$$Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi) = \{\alpha : \Lambda_G(\sigma) \longrightarrow V | \alpha([h,s][x,t]) = \lambda(h)\chi(s)f([x,t]), \\ \forall [x,t] \in \Lambda_G(\sigma),\ [h,s] \in \Lambda_H(\sigma)\}.$$

Note $f([x,t]) = f([1,t][x,0]) = \chi(t)f([x,0])$.

Define a map

$$A : Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi) \longrightarrow (Ind|_{C_H(\sigma)}^{C_G(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$$

by sending f to $A(f) := \widetilde{f} \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$ with

$$\widetilde{f} : C_G(\sigma) \longrightarrow V,\ g \mapsto f(g).$$

We can check $\widetilde{f}$, which is restriction of f to $C_G(\sigma)$, is well-defined. For any $h \in C_H(\sigma)$, $\widetilde{f}(hg) = \lambda(h)f(g) = \lambda(h)\widetilde{f}(g)$. In addition, A is $\Lambda_G(\sigma)-$equivariant. For any $[g,s],[x,t] \in \Lambda_G(\sigma)$, $([g,s] \cdot f)([x,t]) = f([x,t][g,s]) = f([xg, t+s]) = \chi(t+s)f(xg)$. We have

$$A([g,s]\cdot f)([x,t]) = \chi(t)(\widetilde{[g,s] \cdot f})(x) = \chi(t)f(x[g,s]) = \chi(t)\chi(s)f(xg) = \chi(t+s)f(xg)$$

and $([g,s] \cdot A(f))([x,t]) = A(f)([x,t][g,s]) = A(f)([xg, t+s]) = \chi(t+s)\widetilde{f}(xg) = \chi(t+s)f(xg) = A([g,s] \cdot f)([x,t])$.

In addition, we have

$$P : (Ind|_{C_H(\sigma)}^{C_G(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi \longrightarrow Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi).$$

For any $f' \in (Ind|_{C_H(\sigma)}^{C_G(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$, define

$$P(f')([x,t]) := \chi(t)f'(x).$$

For any $[h,s] \in \Lambda_H(\sigma)$,

$$P(f')([h,s][x,t]) = P(f')([h,s+t][x,0]) = \lambda(h)\chi(s+t)f'(x)$$

and

$$(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi)([h,s])f'([x,t]) = \lambda(h)\chi(s)\chi(t)f'(x) = \lambda(h)\chi(s+t)f'(x) = P(f')([h,s][x,t]).$$

Thus, $P(f')$ is indeed in $Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi)$.

$P \circ A$ and $A \circ P$ are both identity maps. So the conclusion is proved.

□

Remark 2.47. By Lemma 2.46, the computation of the induced representation

$$Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi)$$

can be reduced to the computation of

$$(Ind|_{C_H(\sigma)}^{C_G(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi.$$

Thus, when $C_G(\sigma)$ and $C_H(\sigma)$ are both finite, the conclusions about induced representations for finite groups can apply to the computation of $Ind|_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi)$.

REMARK 2.48. Let G be a compact Lie group and H a closed subgroup. Let σ be a torsion element in H. Let m denote $[C_G(\sigma) : C_H(\sigma)]$. Let V denote a $\Lambda_H(\sigma)-$representation $\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \chi$ with λ a $C_H(\sigma)-$representation, χ a $\mathbb{R}-$representation and $\odot_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined in Lemma 2.41.

Then it's straightforward to check

$$res|^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}(\lambda \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \eta) = (res|^{C_G(\sigma)}_{C_H(\sigma)}\lambda) \odot_{\mathbb{C}} \eta. \tag{2.32}$$

2.4.2. *Quasi-elliptic cohomology.* In Section 2.4.2 I introduce the definition of quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G$ in term of orbifold K-theory, and then express it via equivariant K-theory. The readers may want to read Chapter 3 in [**3**] and [**32**] for a reference of orbifold K-theory.

In Example 2.15 we see the groupoid $\Lambda(X//G)$, which is the full subgroupoid of the orbifold loop space $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ consisting of constant loops and is relevant to the definition of $QEll^*_G$. Before I describe $\Lambda(X//G)$ in detail, let's recall what Inertia groupoid is. A reference for that is [**28**].

DEFINITION 2.49. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a groupoid. The Inertia groupoid $I(\mathbb{G})$ of $\mathbb{G}$ is defined as follows.

An object a is an arrow in $\mathbb{G}$ such that its source and target are equal. A morphism v joining two objects a and b is an arrow v in $\mathbb{G}$ such that

$$v \circ a = b \circ v.$$

In other words, b is the conjugate of a by v, $b = v \circ a \circ v^{-1}$.

The torsion Inertia groupoid $I^{tors}(\mathbb{G})$ of $\mathbb{G}$ is a full subgroupoid of of $I(\mathbb{G})$ with only objects of finite order.

EXAMPLE 2.50. Let G be a compact Lie group and X a $G-$space. The torsion inertia groupoid $I^{tors}(X//G)$ of the translation groupoid $X//G$ is the groupoid with

objects: the space $\coprod_{g\in G^{tors}} X^g$

morphisms: the space $\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}} C_G(g,g') \times X^g$ where $C_G(g,g') = \{\sigma \in G | g'\sigma = \sigma g\} \subseteq G$.

For $x \in X^g$ and $(\sigma, g) \in C_G(g,g') \times X^g$, $(\sigma, g)(x) = \sigma x \in X^{g'}$.

The groupoid $\Lambda(X//G)$ has the same objects as $I^{tors}(X//G)$ but richer morphisms

$$\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}} \Lambda^1_G(g,g') \times X^g$$

where $\Lambda^1_G(g,g')$ is the space defined in Section 2.1.2. For an object $x \in X^g$ and a morphism $([\sigma,t],g) \in \Lambda^1_G(g,g') \times X^g$, $([\sigma,t],g)(x) = \sigma x \in X^{g'}$. The composition of the morphisms is defined by

$$[\sigma_1, t_1][\sigma_2, t_2] = [\sigma_1\sigma_2, t_1 + t_2]. \tag{2.33}$$

We have a homomorphism of orbifolds

$$\pi : \Lambda(X//G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$$

sending all the objects to the single object in $\mathbb{T}$, and a morphism $([\sigma,t],g)$ to $e^{2\pi it}$ in $\mathbb{T}$.

The quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G(X)$ is defined to be $K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G))$. We can unravel the definition and express it via equivariant K-theory.

Let X be a $G-$space. Let $G^{tors} \subseteq G$ be the set of torsion elements of G. Let $\sigma \in G^{tors}$. The fixed point space X^σ is a $C_G(\sigma)-$space. We can define a $\Lambda_G(\sigma)-$action on X^σ by

$$[g,t]\cdot x := g\cdot x.$$

Then quasi-elliptic cohomology is defined by

Definition 2.51.

$$QEll^*_G(X) = \prod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g) = \left(\prod_{g\in G^{tors}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g)\right)^G. \tag{2.34}$$

By computing the representation rings of $R\Lambda_G(g)$, we get $QEll^*_G(-)$ for contractible spaces. Then, using Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we can compute $QEll^*_G(-)$ for any G-CW complex by patching the G-cells together.

We have the ring homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm] = K^0_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{pt}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} K^0_{\Lambda_G(g)}(\text{pt}) \longrightarrow K^0_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X)$$

where $\pi : \Lambda_G(g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the projection defined in (2.22) and the second is via the collapsing map $X \longrightarrow \text{pt}$. So $QEll^*_G(X)$ is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]-$algebra.

The λ-ring structure on $QEll^*_G(X)$ is the direct product of the exterior algebra on each equivariant K-group, with componentwise multiplication.

For each $QEll^*_G$ we can equip a special family of $\lambda-$ring homomorphisms

$$\mu^n : QEll^*_G(X) \cong \prod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g) \longrightarrow \prod_{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_n(g)}(X^g) \cong QEll^*_G(X)[q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}]$$

defined by

$$QEll^*_G(X) \longrightarrow K^*_{\Lambda_G(g^n)}(X^{g^n}) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} K^*_{\Lambda_n(g)}(X^{g^n}) \longrightarrow K^*_{\Lambda_n(g)}(X^g),$$

where the first map is projection, the second is the restriction via the inclusion $\beta : \Lambda_n(g) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(g^n)$, and the third is restriction along $X^g \subseteq X^{g^n}$.

In addition, we can express the $\lambda-$ring isomorphism (2.31) and this family of $\lambda-$ring homomorphisms $\{\mu^n\}_n$ in terms of orbifold K-theory, which are fairly neat.

Let $\Lambda_n(g,g')$ denote the quotient of $C_G(g,g')\times\mathbb{R}$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ where the action of the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by $(\sigma,t)\mapsto(\sigma g^n, t-1) = (g^n\sigma', t-1)$. Then we can define another groupoid $\Lambda_n(X//G)$ with the same objects as $\Lambda(X//G)$ and morphisms

$$\coprod_{g,g'\in G^{tors}} \Lambda_n(g,g')\times X^g$$

such that for each $x\in X^g$, $([\sigma,t],g)(x) = \sigma x \in X^{g'}$. We can also define $\pi : \Lambda_n(X//G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ sending all the objects to the single object in $\mathbb{T}$ and a morphism $([\sigma,t],g)$ to the morphism $e^{2\pi i t}$ in $\mathbb{T}$.

Let $\alpha : \Lambda_n(X//G) \longrightarrow \Lambda(X//G)$ be the homomorphism of orbifolds sending an object $x\in X^g$ to $x\in X^g$ and a morphism

$$[\sigma,t] : x \longrightarrow x', \text{ with } x\in X^g, \text{ and } x'\in X^{g'}$$

to

$$[\sigma,nt] : x \longrightarrow x', \text{ with } x\in X^g \text{ and } x'\in X^{g'}.$$

Let $\beta : \Lambda_n(X//G) \longrightarrow \Lambda(X//G)$ be the functor sending an object $x \in X^g$ to $x \in X^{g^n}$ and a morphism

$$[\sigma, t] : x \longrightarrow x', \text{ with } x \in X^g, \text{ and } x' \in X^{g'}$$

to

$$[\sigma, t] : x \longrightarrow x', \text{ with } x \in X^{g^n} \text{ and } x' \in X^{g'^n}.$$

Since we have the pullback square of groups (2.29) and the pushout square of groups (2.30), we have the pullback square of groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_n(X//G) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Lambda(X//G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i t} \mapsto e^{2\pi n i t}} & \mathbb{T}, \end{array} \tag{2.35}$$

and the pushout square in the category of Λ-rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda_n(X//G)) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K^*_{orb}(*//\mathbb{T}) & \longleftarrow & K^*_{orb}(*//\mathbb{T}). \end{array} \tag{2.36}$$

It induces a natural isomorphism

$$K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G))[q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}] \xrightarrow{\sim} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda_n(X//G)). \tag{2.37}$$

The λ-ring homorphism μ^n can be constructed by

$$\mu^n : K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G)) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda_n(X//G)) \cong K^*_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G))[q^{\pm\frac{1}{n}}].$$

2.4.3. *Properties.* $QEll^*_G$ inherits most properties of equivariant K-theory. In Section 2.4.3 I discuss some properties of $QEll^*_G$, including the restriction map, the Künneth map on it, its tensor product and the change of group isomorphism.

Since each homomorphism $\phi : G \longrightarrow H$ induces a well-defined homomorphism $\phi_\Lambda : \Lambda_G(\tau) \longrightarrow \Lambda_H(\phi(\tau))$ for each τ in G^{tors}, we can get the proposition below directly.

Proposition 2.52. For each homomorphism $\phi : G \longrightarrow H$, it induces a ring map

$$\phi^* : QEll^*_H(X) \longrightarrow QEll^*_G(\phi^* X)$$

characterized by the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QEll^*_H(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & QEll^*_G(\phi^* X) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{\phi(\tau)}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi_\tau}\downarrow \\ K^*_{\Lambda_H(\phi(\tau))}(X^{\phi(\tau)}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*_\Lambda} & K^*_{\Lambda_G(\tau)}(X^{\phi(\tau)}) \end{array} \tag{2.38}$$

for any $\tau \in G^{tors}$. So $QEll^*_G$ is functorial in G.

More generally, we have

Proposition 2.53. For any groupoid homomorphism $\phi : X//G \longrightarrow Y//H$, we have the groupoid homomorphism $\Lambda(\phi) : \Lambda(X//G) \longrightarrow \Lambda(Y//H)$ sending an object (x, g) to $(\phi(x), \phi(g))$, and a morphism $([\sigma, t], g)$ to $([\phi(\sigma), t], \phi(g))$. Thus, we get a ring map

$$\phi^* : QEll^*(Y//H) \longrightarrow QEll^*(X//G)$$

characterized by the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QEll^*(Y//H) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & QEll^*(X//G) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{\phi(\tau)}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi_\tau}\downarrow \\ K^*_{\Lambda_H(\phi(\tau))}(Y^{\phi(\tau)}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*_\Lambda} & K^*_{\Lambda_G(\tau)}(X^\tau) \end{array} \tag{2.39}$$

for any $\tau \in G^{tors}$.

And, we can define Künneth map on $QEll^*_G$ induced from that on equivariant K-theory.

Let G and H be two compact Lie groups. X is a G-space and Y is a H-space. Let $\sigma \in G^{tors}$ and $\tau \in H^{tors}$. Let $\Lambda_G(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_H(\tau)$ denote the fibered product of the morphisms

$$\Lambda_G(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\pi} \Lambda_H(\tau).$$

It's isomorphic to $\Lambda_{G\times H}(\sigma, \tau)$ under the correspondence

$$([\alpha, t], [\beta, t]) \mapsto [\alpha, \beta, t].$$

Consider the map below

$$\begin{aligned} T : K_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma) \otimes K_{\Lambda_H(\tau)}(Y^\tau) \longrightarrow K_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)\times\Lambda_H(\tau)}(X^\sigma \times Y^\tau) \xrightarrow{res} K_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_H(\tau)}(X^\sigma \times Y^\tau) \\ \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\Lambda_{G\times H}(\sigma,\tau)}((X \times Y)^{(\sigma,\tau)}). \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is the Künneth map of equivariant K-theory, the second is the restriction map and the third is the isomorphism induced by the group isomorphism $\Lambda_{G\times H}(\sigma, \tau) \cong \Lambda_G(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_H(\tau)$.

For any compact Lie group G and $g \in G^{tors}$, let 1 denote the trivial line bundle over X^g and let q denote the line bundle $1 \odot q$ over X^g. The map T above sends both $1 \otimes q$ and $q \otimes 1$ to q. So we get the well-defined map

$$K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} K^*_{\Lambda_H(\tau)}(Y^\tau) \longrightarrow K_{\Lambda_{G\times H}(\sigma,\tau)}((X \times Y)^{(\sigma,\tau)}). \tag{2.40}$$

(2.40) gives the Künneth map of quasi-elliptic cohomology.

Thus, we can define

$$QEll^*_G(X)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]}QEll^*_H(Y) := \prod_{\sigma\in G^{tors}_{conj}\tau\in H^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} K^*_{\Lambda_H(\tau)}(Y^\tau). \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$QEll^*_G(X)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]}QEll^*_H(Y) \longrightarrow QEll^*_{G\times H}(X \times Y),$$

which is the direct product of those maps defined in (2.40).

From this definition, we have

$$QEll^*_G(\mathrm{pt})\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]}QEll^*_H(\mathrm{pt}) = QEll^*_{G\times H}(\mathrm{pt}).$$

PROPOSITION 2.54. Let G and H be two compact Lie groups. Let X be a $G \times H-$space with trivial $H-$action and let pt be the single point space with trivial $H-$action. Then we have

$$QEll_{G\times H}(X) \cong QEll_G(X)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}QEll_H(pt).$$

Especially, if G acts trivially on X, we have

$$QEll_G(X) \cong QEll(X)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}QEll_G(\mathrm{pt}).$$

Here $QEll^*(X)$ is $QEll^*_{\{e\}}(X) = K^*_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} QEll_{G\times H}(X) &= \prod_{\substack{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}\\ h\in H^{tors}_{conj}}} K_{\Lambda_{G\times H}(g,h)}(X^{(g,h)}) \cong \prod_{\substack{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}\\ h\in H^{tors}_{conj}}} K_{\Lambda_G(g)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_H(h)}(X^g) \\ &\cong \prod_{\substack{g\in G^{tors}_{conj}\\ h\in H^{tors}_{conj}}} K_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K_{\Lambda_H(h)}(\mathrm{pt}) = QEll_G(X)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}QEll_H(pt). \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 2.55. If G acts freely on X,

$$QEll^*_G(X) \cong QEll^*_e(X/G).$$

PROOF. Since G acts freely on X,

$$X^\sigma = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } \sigma \neq e; \\ X, & \text{if } \sigma = e. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $QEll^*_G(X) \cong \prod_{\sigma\in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)/C_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma/C_G(\sigma)) \cong K^*_{\mathbb{T}}(X/G)$.

Since $\mathbb{T}$ acts trivially on X, we have $K^*_{\mathbb{T}}(X/G) = QEll^*_e(X/G)$ by definition. And it is isomorphic to $K^*(X/G) \otimes R\mathbb{T}$. □

We also have the change-of-group isomorphism as that in equivariant K-theory.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G and X a H-space. Let $\phi : H \longrightarrow G$ denote the inclusion homomorphism. The change-of-group map $\rho^G_H : QEll^*_G(G \times_H X) \longrightarrow QEll^*_H(X)$ is defined as the composite

$$QEll^*_G(G \times_H X) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} QEll^*_H(G \times_H X) \xrightarrow{i^*} QEll^*_H(X) \tag{2.42}$$

where ϕ^* is the restriction map and $i : X \longrightarrow G \times_H X$ is the $H-$equivariant map defined by $i(x) = [e, x]$.

PROPOSITION 2.56. The change-of-group map

$$\rho^G_H : QEll^*_G(G \times_H X) \longrightarrow QEll^*_H(X)$$

defined in (2.42) is an isomorphism.

PROOF. For any $\tau \in H^{tors}_{conj}$, there exists a unique $\sigma_\tau \in G^{tors}_{conj}$ such that $\tau = g_\tau\sigma_\tau g_\tau^{-1}$ for some $g_\tau \in G$. Consider the maps

$$\Lambda_G(\tau) \times_{\Lambda_H(\tau)} X^\tau \xrightarrow{[[a,t],x]\mapsto[a,x]} (G \times_H X)^\tau \xrightarrow{[u,x]\mapsto[g_\tau^{-1}u,x]} (G \times_H X)^\sigma. \tag{2.43}$$

The first map is $\Lambda_G(\tau)-$equivariant and the second is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism $c_{g_\tau} : \Lambda_G(\sigma) \longrightarrow \Lambda_G(\tau)$ sending $[u, t] \mapsto [g_\tau u g_\tau^{-1}, t]$. Taking a

coproduct over all the elements $\tau \in H^{tors}_{conj}$ that are conjugate to $\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}$ in G, we get an isomorphism

$$\gamma_\sigma : \coprod_\tau \Lambda_G(\tau) \times_{\Lambda_H(\tau)} X^\tau \longrightarrow (G \times_H X)^\sigma$$

which is $\Lambda_G(\sigma)-$equivariant with respect to c_{g_τ}. Then we have the map

(2.44)

$$\gamma := \prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}} \gamma_\sigma : \prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(G \times_H X)^\sigma \longrightarrow \prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\coprod_\tau \Lambda_G(\tau) \times_{\Lambda_H(\tau)} X^\tau)$$

It's straightforward to check the change-of-group map coincide with the composite

$$QEll^*_G(G \times_H X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \prod_{\sigma \in G^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)}(\coprod_\tau \Lambda_G(\tau) \times_{\Lambda_H(\tau)} X^\tau) \longrightarrow \prod_{\tau \in H^{tors}_{conj}} K^*_{\Lambda_H(\tau)}(X^\tau) = QEll^*_H(X)$$

with the second map the change-of-group isomorphism in equivariant $K-$theory, introduced in Proposition 2.21. □

2.4.4. *Formulas for Induction.* In Section 2.4.4 I introduce the induction formula for quasi-elliptic cohomology. Before that I'd like to show the induction formula for Tate K-theory that Nora Ganter constructed in [**20**].

Let $H \subseteq G$ be an inclusion of compact Lie groups and X be a $G-$space. Then we have the inclusion of the groupoids

$$j : X//H \longrightarrow X//G.$$

Let $a = \prod_{\sigma \in H^{tors}_{conj}} a_\sigma$ be an element in

$$K_{Tate}(X//H) \subseteq \prod_{\sigma \in H^{tors}_{conj}} K_{C_G(\sigma)}(X^\sigma)((q^{\frac{1}{|g|}})).$$

Let

$$Ind^G_H : K_H(X) \longrightarrow K_G(X)$$

be the induced transfer of equivariant K-theory defined in (2.19).

As equivariant K-theory, Tate K-theory also has the change of group isomorphism

$$K_{Tate}(X//H) \cong K_{Tate}((G \times_H X)//G). \tag{2.45}$$

Recall $I^{tors}(X//G)$ is the torsion Inertia groupoid defined in Example 2.50. We have the isomorphism of the groupoids

$$\phi : I^{tors}(G \times_H X//G)) \longrightarrow G \times_H I^{tors}(X//H) \tag{2.46}$$

sending an object $(\sigma, [g, x])$ to $[g, (g^{-1}\sigma g, x)]$ and a morphism $(g', (\sigma, [g, x]))$ to $(g', [g, (g^{-1}\sigma g, x)])$. As indicated by Proposition 2.23 in [**20**], the finite covering map

$$f : I^{tors}(G \times_H X//G) \xrightarrow{\phi} G \times_H I^{tors}(X//H) \longrightarrow I^{tors}(X//G)$$

where the second functor sends an object $[g, (\sigma, x)]$ to $(g\sigma g^{-1}, gx)$ and a morphism $(g', [g, (\sigma, x)])$ to $(g', (g\sigma g^{-1}, gx))$. The transfer of Tate K-theory

$$I^G_H : K_{Tate}(X//H) \longrightarrow K_{Tate}(X//G)$$

is defined to be the composition

$$K_{Tate}(X//H) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{Tate}((G \times_H X)//G) \longrightarrow K_{Tate}(X//G) \tag{2.47}$$

where the first map is the change of group isomorphism and the second is the finite covering. The formula for I_H^G is

$$I_H^G(a)_g = \sum_r r \cdot a_{r^{-1}gr}$$

where r goes over a set of representatives of $(G/H)^g$, in other words, $r^{-1}gr$ goes over a set of representatives of conjugacy classes in H conjugate to g in G.

Thus

$$I_H^G(a)_g = \begin{cases} Ind_{C_H}^{C_G}(a_g) & \text{if } g \text{ is conjuate to some element } h \text{ in } H; \\ 0 & \text{if there is no element conjugate to } g \text{ in } H. \end{cases} \tag{2.48}$$

The induced transfer $\mathcal{I}_H^G$ for $QEll$ is constructed in a similar way. Let $a' = \prod_{\sigma \in H_{conj}^{tors}} a'_\sigma$ be an element in

$$QEll(X//H) = \prod_{\sigma \in H_{conj}^{tors}} K_{\Lambda_H(\sigma)}(X^\sigma)$$

where σ goes over all the conjugacy classes in H.

We can define the finite covering map

$$f' : \Lambda(G \times_H X//G) \longrightarrow \Lambda(X//G)$$

sending an object $(\sigma, [g, x])$ to (σ, gx) and a morphism $([g', t], (\sigma, [g, x]))$ to $([g', t], (gx, \sigma))$.

The transfer of quasi-elliptic cohomology

$$\mathcal{I}_H^G : QEll(X//H) \longrightarrow QEll(X//G)$$

is defined to be the composition

$$QEll(X//H) \xrightarrow{\cong} QEll((G \times_H X)//G) \longrightarrow QEll(X//G) \tag{2.49}$$

where the first map is the change of group isomorphism and the second is the finite covering.

Thus

$$\mathcal{I}_H^G(a')_g = \sum_r r \cdot a'_{r^{-1}gr}$$

where r goes over a set of representatives of $(G/H)^g$, in other words, $r^{-1}gr$ goes over a set of representatives of conjugacy classes in H conjugate to g in G.

$$\mathcal{I}_H^G(a')_g = \begin{cases} Ind_{\Lambda_H}^{\Lambda_G}(a'_g) & \text{if } g \text{ is conjuate to some element } h \text{ in } H; \\ 0 & \text{if there is no element conjugate to } g \text{ in } H. \end{cases} \tag{2.50}$$

There is another way to describe the transfer, which is shown in [**35**] for quasi-elliptic cohomology. The transfer of Tate K-theory can be described similarly.

3. Power Operation

In Chapter 3 I define power operation on quasi-elliptic cohomology.

In Section 3.2 I define power operations for equivariant quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*_G(-)$. And I show in Theorem 3.17 that they satisfies the axioms that Nora Ganter established in her paper [**18**] for equivariant power operations.

In Section 3.3 I define orbifold quasi-elliptic cohomology in Definition 3.34. In Section 3.4 I define power operation for orbifold quasi-elliptic cohomology, which satisfies the axioms that Nora Ganter formulated in [**20**] for power operations for orbifold theories.

Strickland showed in [**43**] that the quotient of the Morava E-theory of the symmetric group by a certain transfer ideal can be identified with the product of rings $\prod_{k\geq 0} R_k$ where each R_k classifies subgroup-schemes of degree p^k in the formal group associated to $E^0\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. In Section 3.5 I prove similar conclusions for Tate K-theory and quasi-ellitpic cohomology.

3.1. Loop Space of Symmetric Power. Before constructing the power operation

$$\mathbb{P}_n : K_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G)) = QEll_G(X) \longrightarrow QEll_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(X^{\times n}) = K_{orb}(\Lambda(X^{\times n}//(G\wr\Sigma_n))),$$

to make it explicit I introduce the groupoid $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ in Section 3.1.1, which is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{L}((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n) \cong \coprod_{[\underline{g},\sigma]\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma),$$

as shown in Theorem 3.11. With it, it's convenient for constructing the explicit formula of the power operation.

3.1.1. *The groupoid $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$.* In Section 3.1.1 I define the symmetric powers of orbifolds. In the case of a global quotient orbifold $X//G$, the $n-$th symmetric power is $X^{\times n}//(G\wr\Sigma_n)$. The main subject I introduce in Section 3.1.1 is the intermediate groupoid $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$, which will be shown in Section 3.1.2 isomorphic to the orbifold loop space $\mathcal{L}((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ of the symmetric power.

Before introducing $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$, for each torsion element $(\underline{g},\sigma)$ in $G\wr\Sigma_n$ I construct the groupoid $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ and the subgroupoid $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ of it with the same objects. Then by combining all the groupoids $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ together I construct $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$.

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a right $G-$space. Recall $X//G$ is the translation groupoid. Let Σ_n denote the $n-$th symmetric group.

Recall that the wreath product $G\wr\Sigma_n$ has elements

$$(\underline{g},\sigma) \in G^n \times \Sigma_n$$

whose multiplication is defined by

$$(g_1,\cdots g_n,\sigma)\cdot(h_1,\cdots h_n,\tau) = (g_1h_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},\cdots g_nh_{\sigma^{-1}(n)},\sigma\tau).$$

$G\wr\Sigma_n$ acts on $X^{\times n}$ by

$$\underline{x}\cdot(\underline{g},\sigma) = (x_{\sigma(1)}g_{\sigma(1)},\cdots x_{\sigma(n)}g_{\sigma(n)}), \text{ for any } \underline{x}\in X^{\times n},\ (\underline{g},\sigma)\in G\wr\Sigma_n. \tag{3.1}$$

REMARK 3.1. Consider the space

$$\underline{\underline{n}} := \{1, 2, \cdots n\}$$

with discrete topology. The symmetric group Σ_n acts on $\underline{\underline{n}}$ by permutation. For each $(\underline{g}, \sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_n$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \underline{\underline{n}} & \xrightarrow{(\underline{g},\sigma)} & G \times \underline{\underline{n}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\underline{n}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \underline{\underline{n}} \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

where the vertical maps are the projection to the second factor and $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ acts on $\underline{\underline{n}}$ by

$$(x, k) \cdot (\underline{g}, \sigma) := (xg_k, \sigma^{-1}(k)), \text{ for each } (x, k) \in G \wr \Sigma_n.$$

So $G \wr \Sigma_n$ gives a family of bundle isomorphisms

$$G \times \underline{\underline{n}} \longrightarrow G \times \underline{\underline{n}}$$

between the $G-$bundles.

Generally, for any orbifold groupoid

$$\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}_0, \mathbb{G}_1, s, t, u, i)$$

we can define the $n-$th symmetric power $\mathbb{G} \wr \Sigma_n$ of $\mathbb{G}$.

DEFINITION 3.2 (Symmetric Power of $\mathbb{G}$). The objects of the $n-$th symmetric power $\mathbb{G} \wr \Sigma_n$ are the points

$$\underline{x} := (x_1, \cdots x_n)$$

in $\mathbb{G}_0^{\times n}$ and the arrows of it are $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ with each g_i an arrow of $\mathbb{G}$ starting at x_i and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$.

$$\underline{x} \cdot (\underline{g}, \sigma) = (x_{\sigma(1)} g_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)} g_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} g_{\sigma(n)}).$$

The unit map sends the object $\underline{x}$ to $(u(x_1), \cdots u(x_n), Id)$. The composition of arrows $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ and $(\underline{h}, \tau)$ where $g_{\sigma(i)} = h_i$ is given by

$$(\underline{g}, \sigma) \circ (\underline{h}, \tau) = (g_1 h_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \cdots g_n h_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

The inverse of $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ is given by

$$(i(g_{\sigma(1)}), \cdots i(g_{\sigma(n)}), \sigma^{-1}).$$

The symmetric power $(X//G) \wr \Sigma_n$ is isomorphic to the translation groupoid $X^{\times n}//(G \wr \Sigma_n)$.

$\mathcal{L}((X//G) \wr \Sigma_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(X^{\times n}//(G \wr \Sigma_n))$ and as defined in Example 2.17 is the coproduct

$$\coprod_{(\underline{g},\sigma) \in (G \wr \Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G \wr \Sigma_n}(\underline{g}, \sigma).$$

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ correspond to the partition $n = \sum_k kN_k$, i.e. it has N_k $k-$cycles. Assume that for each cycle of σ, we have fixed a first element i_1, and thus a representation as $(i_1, \cdots i_k)$.

For $(\underline{g},\sigma)\in G\wr\Sigma_n$, let's consider the orbits of the bundle $G\times\underline{\underline{n}}\longrightarrow\underline{\underline{n}}$ under the action by $(\underline{g},\sigma)$. The orbits of $\underline{\underline{n}}$ under the action by σ corresponds to the cycles in the cycle decomposition of σ. The bundle $G\times\underline{\underline{n}}\longrightarrow\underline{\underline{n}}$ is the disjoint union of the $G-$bundles

$$\bigsqcup_{(i_1\cdots i_k)}(G\times\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}\longrightarrow\{i_1,\cdots i_k\})$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the cycles of σ. Each bundle $G\times\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}\longrightarrow\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}$ is an orbit of $G\times\underline{\underline{n}}\longrightarrow\underline{\underline{n}}$ under the action by $(\underline{g},\sigma)$.

Two $G-$subbundles

$$G\times\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}\longrightarrow\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}\text{ and }G\times\{j_1,\cdots j_m\}\longrightarrow\{j_1,\cdots j_m\}$$

are $(\underline{g},\sigma)-$equivariant equivalent if and only if $k=m$ and $C_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})$ is nonempty. For each k-cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ, let W_i^σ denote the set of all the $G-$subbundles $G\times\{j_1,\cdots j_m\}\longrightarrow\{j_1,\cdots j_m\}$ $(\underline{g},\sigma)-$isomorphic to $G\times\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}\longrightarrow\{i_1,\cdots i_k\}$. There is a bijection between W_i^σ and the set

$$\{j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)\mid(j_1,\cdots j_k)\text{ is a k-cycle of }\sigma\text{ and }C_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\text{ is nonempty.}\}.$$

Let M_i^σ denote the size of the set W_i^σ. Let $\alpha_1^i,\alpha_2^i,\cdots\alpha_{M_i^\sigma}^i$ denote all the elements of the set W_i^σ. Obviously, $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ is in W_i^σ. So we can assume α_1^i is i.

For any $k-$cycle i and $m-$cycle j of σ, if $k\neq m$, W_i^σ and W_j^σ are disjoint. In the case that $k=m$, if $C_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})$ is nonempty, W_i^σ are W_j^σ are the same set; otherwise, W_i^σ and W_j^σ are disjoint. The set of all the $k-$cycles of σ can be divided into the disjoint union of several W_i^σs. We can pick a set of representatives θ_k of $k-$cycles of σ such that the set of $k-$cycles of σ equals the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{i\in\theta_k}W_i^\sigma.$$

Example 3.3 (The groupoids $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ and $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$). Now let's introduce a groupoid $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$. The objects of it are the points of the space

$$\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$$

where the second product goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ. The set of morphisms starting from an object x is

$$\{(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([a_1^i,t_1^i],\cdots[a_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i],\tau_i),x)\mid\tau_i\in\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma},[a_j^i,t_j^i]\in\Lambda_G^k(g_{\tau_i(j)_k}\cdots g_{\tau_i(j)_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\text{ for each }j\in W_i^\sigma.\},$$

where τ_i permutes the cycles $\alpha_1^i,\alpha_2^i,\cdots\alpha_{M_i^\sigma}^i$ in W_i^σ. The composition is defined by

$$[\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([a_1^i,t_1^i],\cdots[a_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i],\tau_i)]\circ[\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([b_1^i,p_1^i],\cdots[b_{M_i^\sigma}^i,p_{M_i^\sigma}^i],\varrho_i)] \tag{3.3}$$

$$=\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([a_1^ib_{\tau_i^{-1}(1)}^i,t_1^i+p_{\tau_i^{-1}(1)}^i],\cdots[a_{M_i^\sigma}^ib_{\tau_i^{-1}(M_i^\sigma)}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i+p_{\tau_i^{-1}(M_i^\sigma)}^i],\tau_i\varrho_i) \tag{3.4}$$

And for any $\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} (\gamma_{i,1},\cdots\gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma}) \in \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)\in\theta_k} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$,

$$\begin{aligned}&[\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} (\gamma_{i,1},\cdots\gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma})]\cdot[\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([a_1^i,t_1^i],\cdots[a_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i],\tau_i)]\\ =&\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(1)}[a_{\tau_i(1)}^i,t_{\tau_i(1)}^i],\cdots\gamma_{i,\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}[a_{\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}^i,t_{\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}^i]).\end{aligned}$$

And as shown in (2.12), each

$$(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(j)}\cdot[a_{\tau_i(j)}^i,t_{\tau_i(j)}^i])(t)=(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(j)}\cdot a_{\tau_i(j)}^i)(t+t_{\tau_i(j)}^i).$$

Let $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ denote the subgroupoid of $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ whose objects are the same as $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, and whose morphisms are those of $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ with all the t_j^is having the same image under the quotient map $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, for each k, each $k-$cycle i, and each $j=1,\cdots M_i^\sigma$.

Combining all the groupoids $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, we can define the groupoid $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$. Before that. we need to recall some properties of $C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma),(\underline{g}',\sigma'))$.

$(\underline{h},\tau)\in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma),(\underline{g}',\sigma'))$ if and only if $\tau\sigma'=\sigma\tau$ and $g_{\sigma(\tau(i))}h_{\tau(i)}=h_{\tau(\sigma'(i))}g'_{\sigma'(i)}$, $\forall i$.

We can reinterpret the two conditions above. Since $\tau\in C_{\Sigma_n}(\sigma,\sigma')$, τ maps a k-cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ' to a k-cycle $j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ. τ will still used to denote its map on the cycles, such as $\tau(r)=s$. For each $l\in\mathbb{Z}/k$, let $\tau(i_l)=j_{l+m_i}$ where m_i depends only on τ and the cycle i. Then, the second conditions can be expressed as

$$\forall l\in\mathbb{Z}/k, g_{j_l}h_{j_{l-1}}=h_{j_l}g'_{i_{l-m_i}}. \tag{3.5}$$

From this equivalence, we can induce that the element

$$h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_{k-1}}g'^{-1}_{i_k}=g_{j_1}^{-1}\cdots g_{j_{m_i}}^{-1}h_{j_{m_i}}$$

maps $g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}$ to $g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}$ by conjugation. In other words,

$$h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_{k-1}}g'^{-1}_{i_k}$$

is an element in $C_G(g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1},g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1})$. Thus, $C_G(g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1},g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1})$ is nonempty.

Especially, if τ maps a $k-$cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ' to a k-cycle $j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ, then $g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}$ and $g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}$ are conjugate to each other, thus, there is a bijection between the set $W_j^{\sigma'}$ and W_i^σ by conjugation. So $M_j^{\sigma'}=M_i^\sigma$.

Definition 3.4 ($D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$). Let $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ denote the groupoid with objects the points of the space

$$\coprod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}}\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$$

where the third product goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ. The set of morphisms starting from an object

$$x\in\prod_k\prod_{(j_1,\cdots j_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}}X$$

to an object in the component $\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}} X$ corresponding to $(\underline{g}', \sigma')$ is

$$\{(\times_k \times_{j\in\theta_k}([a_1^j, t_1^j], \cdots [a_{M_j^\sigma}^j, t_{M_j^\sigma}^j], \tau_j), x) \mid \tau_j \in \Sigma_{M_j^\sigma},$$
$$[a_\rho^j, t_\rho^j] \in \Lambda_G^k(g'_{\tau_j(\rho)_k} \cdots g'_{\tau_j(\rho)_1}, g_{\rho_k} \cdots g_{\rho_1}) \text{ for each } \rho \in W_j^\sigma;$$
$$\text{all the } t_\rho^j\text{s have the same image under the quotient map } \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.\},$$

where τ_j permutes the cycles $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \cdots \alpha_{M_j^\sigma}^j$ in W_j^σ.

The formula for the composition is

$$[\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([a_1^i, t_1^i], \cdots [a_{M_i^\sigma}^i, t_{M_i^\sigma}^i], \tau_i)] \circ [\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([b_1^i, p_1^i], \cdots [b_{M_i^\sigma}^i, p_{M_i^\sigma}^i], \varrho_i)] \tag{3.6}$$

$$= \times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k}([a_1^i b^i_{\tau_i^{-1}(1)}, t_1^i + p^i_{\tau_i^{-1}(1)}], \cdots [a^i_{M_i^\sigma} b^i_{\tau_i^{-1}(M_i^\sigma)}, t^i_{M_i^\sigma} + p^i_{\tau_i^{-1}(M_i^\sigma)}], \tau_i\varrho_i) \tag{3.7}$$

And for any $\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} (\gamma_{i,1}, \cdots \gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma}) \in \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)\in\theta_k} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}} X$,

$$[\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} (\gamma_{i,1}, \cdots \gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma})] \cdot [\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([a_1^i, t_1^i], \cdots [a_{M_i^\sigma}^i, t_{M_i^\sigma}^i], \tau_i)]$$
$$= \times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(1)}[a^i_{\tau_i(1)}, t^i_{\tau_i(1)}], \cdots \gamma_{i,\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}[a^i_{\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}, t^i_{\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}]).$$

And as in (2.12), each

$$(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(j)} \cdot [a^i_{\tau_i(j)}, t^i_{\tau_i(j)}])(t) = (\gamma_{i,\tau_i(j)} \cdot a^i_{\tau_i(j)})(t + t^i_{\tau_i(j)}).$$

A skeleton for $D((X//G) \wr \Sigma_n)$ is a subgroupoid of the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$$

whose morphisms consists of those with all the rotation t_j^is having the same image under the quotient map $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.5 shows the groupoid $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ is a translation groupoid. As a corollary, $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ is as well. Thus, to study $K_{orb}(A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$, $K_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$ and $K_{orb}(D((X//G) \wr \Sigma_n))$, we can start by studying the representation ring of a group.

Proposition 3.5. Each $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ is isomorphic to the translation groupoid

$$(\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}} X)//(\prod_k \prod_{i\in\theta_k} \Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1}) \wr \Sigma_{M_i^\sigma})$$

where $\Gamma_{i,p}$ is defined in (3.8).

Proof. First let's see some facts about the centralizers.

To simplify the symbol, let $\Gamma_{i,p}$ denote the element

$$g_{\alpha^i_{p_k}} \cdots g_{\alpha^i_{p_1}}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\alpha_p^i = ((\alpha_p^i)_1, \cdots (\alpha_p^i)_k)$ is an element in W_i^σ and $p = 1, 2 \cdots M_i^\sigma$. Assume the representative $i = (i_1, \cdots i_k)$ of W_i^σ is α_1^k.

We have a 1-1 correspondence between $C_G(u_1)$ and $C_G(u_1, u_2)$ for any $u_1, u_2 \in G$ if $C_G(u_1, u_2)$ is nonempty. With a representative $\delta \in C_G(u_1, u_2)$ fixed, the correspondence is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_G(u_1) & \longrightarrow & C_G(u_2, u_1) \\ h & \mapsto & \delta h. \end{array}$$

And we can define a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_G(u_2,u_1)\times C_G(u_3,u_2) & \longrightarrow & C_G(u_3,u_1) \\ (\delta_1,\delta_2) & \mapsto & \delta_2\delta_1. \end{array}$$

Let's fix representatives for $C_G(\Gamma_{i,t},\Gamma_{i,s})$ in a "compatible" way. First fix representatives $\sigma_{i,2,1}\in C_G(\Gamma_{i,2},\Gamma_{i,1})$, $\sigma_{i,3,2}\in C_G(\Gamma_{i,3},\Gamma_{i,2}),\cdots,\sigma_{i,M_i^\sigma,M_i^\sigma-1}\in C_G(\Gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma},\Gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma-1})$. And get all the other representatives by inverting a representative or multiplying several of them. Then we are ready to get a map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_G(\Gamma_{i,j},\Gamma_{i,s}) & \longrightarrow & C_G(\Gamma_{i,s}) & \longrightarrow & C_G(\Gamma_{i,1}) \\ h & \mapsto & \sigma_{i,j,s}^{-1}h & \mapsto & \sigma_{i,s,1}^{-1}(\sigma_{i,j,s}^{-1}h)\sigma_{i,s,1}, \end{array}$$

where $\sigma_{i,s,1}^{-1}(\sigma_{i,j,s}^{-1}h)\sigma_{i,s,1}=\sigma_{i,1,s}\sigma_{i,s,j}h\sigma_{i,s,1}=\sigma_{i,1,j}h\sigma_{i,s,1}$.

Let's consider the group

$$\prod_k\prod_{i\in\theta_k}\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}.$$

For each $[b_j^i,t_j^i]\in\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1})$, $[\sigma_{i,\tau(j),1},0][b_j^i,t_j^i][\sigma_{i,1,j},0]\in\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,\tau(j)},\Gamma_{i,j})$. It acts on any $\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,1},\cdots\gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma})\in\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,1},\cdots\gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma}))\cdot(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([b_1^i,t_1^i],\cdots[b_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i],\tau_i)) \\ :=&(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,1},\cdots\gamma_{i,M_i^\sigma}))\cdot \\ &(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([\sigma_{i,\tau(1),1},0][b_1^i,t_1^i][\sigma_{i,1,1},0],\cdots[\sigma_{i,\tau(M_i^\sigma),1},0][b_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i][\sigma_{i,1,M_i^\sigma},0],\tau_i)) \\ =&\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}(\gamma_{i,\tau_i(1)}([\sigma_{i,\tau(1),1},0][b_1^i,t_1^i][\sigma_{i,1,1},0]),\cdots\gamma_{i,\tau_i(M_i^\sigma)}([\sigma_{i,\tau(M_i^\sigma),1},0][b_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i][\sigma_{i,1,M_i^\sigma},0])). \end{aligned}$$

It's straightforward to check this is a well-defined group action. The translation groupoid associated to this action is isomorphic to $A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ in the obvious way. □

Corollary 3.6. Let

$$\prod_k{}_{\mathbb{T}}\prod_{i\in\theta_k}{}_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}$$

denote the subgroup of $\prod_k\prod_{i\in\theta_k}\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}$ consisting of those elements

$$(\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([b_1^i,t_1^i],\cdots[b_{M_i^\sigma}^i,t_{M_i^\sigma}^i]\tau_i))$$

with all the t_j^is having the same image under the quotient map $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, for each k, each $k-$cycle i, and each $j=1,\cdots M_i^\sigma$. $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ is the translation groupoid

$$(\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X)//(\prod_k{}_{\mathbb{T}}\prod_{i\in\theta_k}{}_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_G^k(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}).$$

To study $K_{orb}(A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$ and $K_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$, we need to study the representation ring of wreath product. Theorem 3.7 below gives all the irreducible representations of a wreath product. It's a conclusion from [**25**].

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\{\rho_k\}_1^N$ be a complete family of irreducible representations of G and let V_k be the corresponding representation space for ρ_k. Let (n) be a partition of n. $(n)=(n_1,\cdots n_N)$. Let $D_{(n)}$ be the representation*

$$\rho_1^{\otimes n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_N^{\otimes n_N}$$

on the space $V_1^{\otimes n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes V_N^{\otimes n_N}$. *Let* $\Sigma_{(n)}=\Sigma_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\Sigma_{n_N}$.

Let $(D_{(n)})^{\sim}$ *be the extension of* $D_{(n)}$ *from* $G^{\times n}$ *to* $G\wr\Sigma_{(n)}$ *defined by*

(3.9)

$$(D_{(n)})^{\sim}((g_{1,1},\cdots g_{1,n_1},\cdots g_{N,1},\cdots g_{N,n_N};\sigma))(v_{1,1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{1,n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{N,1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{N,n_N})$$

(3.10)

$$=\bigotimes_{k=1}^{N}\rho_k(g_{k,1})v_{k,\sigma_k^{-1}(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_k(g_{k,n_k})v_{k,\sigma_k^{-1}(n_k)},$$

where $\sigma=\sigma_1\times\cdots\times\sigma_N$ *with each* $\sigma_k\in\Sigma_{n_k}$.

Let D_τ *with* $\tau\in R\Sigma_{(n)}$ *be the representation of* $G\wr\Sigma_{(n)}$ *by*

$$D_\tau((g_{1,n_1},\cdots g_{N,n_N};\sigma)):=\tau(\sigma). \tag{3.11}$$

Then,

$$\{Ind|_{G\wr\Sigma_{(n)}}^{G\wr\Sigma_n}(D_{(n)})^{\sim}\otimes D_\tau|(n)=(n_1,\cdots n_N)\ \textit{goes over all the partition};\ \tau\ \textit{goes over all the irreducible representations of}\ \Sigma_{(n)}.\}$$

goes over all the irreducible representation nonrepeatedly of $G\wr\Sigma_n$.

And we can get all the irreducible representations of the fibred wreath product

$$\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_N$$

in a similar way.

Note that

$$\{\rho_1\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\cdots\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\rho_n\mid\text{Each }\rho_j\text{ is an irreducible representation of }\Lambda_G(\sigma).\}$$

goes over all the irreducible representation of

$$\Lambda_G(\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\cdots\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_G(\sigma).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.8 is analogous to that of Theorem 3.7 in [**25**], applying Clifford's theory in [**12**] and [**13**].

THEOREM 3.8. *Let* $\{\rho_k\}_1^N$ *be a basis of the* $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$*module* $R\Lambda_G(\sigma)$ *and let* V_k *be the corresponding representation space for* ρ_k. *Let* (n) *be a partition of* n. $(n)=(n_1,\cdots n_N)$. *Let* $D_{(n)}^{\mathbb{T}}$ *be the* $\Lambda_G(\sigma)^{\times_{\mathbb{T}}n}-$*representation*

$$\rho_1^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}n_1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\cdots\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\rho_N^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}n_N}$$

on the space $V_1^{\otimes n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes V_N^{\otimes n_N}$. *Let* $\Sigma_{(n)}=\Sigma_{n_1}\times\cdots\times\Sigma_{n_N}$.

Let $(D_{(n)}^{\mathbb{T}})^{\sim}$ *be the extension of* $D_{(n)}$ *from* $\Lambda_G(\sigma)^{\times_{\mathbb{T}}n}$ *to* $\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(n)}$ *defined by*

(3.12)

$$(D_{(n)}^{\mathbb{T}})^{\sim}(([g_{1,1},t],\cdots[g_{1,n_1},t],\cdots[g_{N,1},t],\cdots[g_{N,n_N},t];\sigma))(v_{1,1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{1,n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{N,1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{N,n_N})$$

(3.13)

$$=\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\rho_k([g_{k,1},t])v_{k,\sigma_k^{-1}(1)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\cdots\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}\rho_k([g_{k,n_k},t])v_{k,\sigma_k^{-1}(n_k)},$$

where k *is from* 1 *to* N *and* $\sigma=\sigma_1\times\cdots\times\sigma_N$ *with each* $\sigma_k\in\Sigma_{n_k}$.

Let $D_\tau^{\mathbb{T}}$ *with* $\tau\in R\Sigma_{(n)}$ *be the representation of* $\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(n)}$ *by*

$$D_\tau^{\mathbb{T}}(([g_{1,n_1},t],\cdots[g_{N,n_N},t];\sigma)):=\tau(\sigma). \tag{3.14}$$

Then,

$$\{Ind|^{\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_n}_{\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(n)}}(D^{\mathbb{T}}_{(n)})^{\sim}\otimes D^{\mathbb{T}}_{\tau}\mid (n)=(n_1,\cdots n_N) \text{ goes over all the partition};$$
$$\tau \text{ goes over all the irreducible representations of } \Sigma_{(n)}.\}$$

goes over all the irreducible representation nonrepeatedly of $\Lambda_G(\sigma)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_n$.

From Theorem 3.7, the representation ring of each $\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]-$module. Thus, the representation ring of

$$\prod_k\prod_{i\in\theta_k}\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma},$$

which is the tensor product of the representation rings of each $\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}$, is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$module via the map

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}],\ q\mapsto q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Thus, the representation ring

$$R(\prod_k\prod_{i\in\theta_k}\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma})\cong\bigotimes_k\bigotimes_{i\in\theta_k}R(\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma})$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$module.

Moreover, $K_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$module via the map

(3.15)
$$R(\prod_k\prod_{i\in\theta_k}\Lambda^k_G(\Gamma_{i,1})\wr\Sigma_{M_i^\sigma})\cong K^0_{orb}(A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt}))\longrightarrow K^0_{orb}(A_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))\longrightarrow K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)),$$

where the second map is induced by $X\longrightarrow$ pt and the third one is the restriction.

3.1.2. *Loop Spaces of Symmetric powers.* In Section 3.1.2 I show the groupoid $\mathcal{L}((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ is isomorphic to $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ in Definition 3.4, which is shown in Theorem 3.11.

First I show each component $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the groupoid $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$.

Theorem 3.9. *The two groupoids* $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ *and* $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ *are isomorphic. Thus, this isomorphism induces a* $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)-$*action on the space*

$$\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X.$$

Remark 3.10. In [**19**] where Nora Ganter studied the stringy power operation of Devoto's equivariant Tate K-theory, she proved a conclusion analogous to Theorem 3.9, with the loop space I cited in Remark 2.18.

I show in Theorem 3.9 the homeomorphism between the spaces $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$ and

$$\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}{}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$$

is not only $C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)-$equivariant but also $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)-$equivariant, with the equipped S^1-action.

PROOF. Let's start by some fact about $C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ which is straightforward to verify.

$(\underline{h},\tau)\in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma))$ if and only if $\tau\sigma=\sigma\tau$ and $g_{\sigma(\tau(i))}h_{\tau(i)}=h_{\tau(\sigma(i))}g_{\sigma(i)}$, $\forall i$.

We can reinterpret the two conditions above. Since $\tau\in C_{\Sigma_n}(\sigma)$, by left multiplication τ maps a k-cycle $r=(r_1,\cdots r_k)$ of σ to a k-cycle $s=(s_1,\cdots s_k)$ of σ. So it induces a permutation on $k-$cycles for each k. I will still use τ to denote the permutation on cycles with the symbol $\tau(r)=s$. For each $l\in\mathbb{Z}/k$, let $\tau(r_l)=s_{l+m_r}$ where m_r depends only on τ and the cycle r. Then, the second conditions can be expressed as

$$\forall l\in\mathbb{Z}/k, g_{s_l}h_{s_{l-1}}=h_{s_l}g_{r_{l-m_r}}. \tag{3.16}$$

From this equivalence, we can induce that the element

$$h_{s_k}g_{r_{1-m_r}}^{-1}\cdots g_{r_{k-1}}^{-1}g_{r_k}^{-1}=g_{s_1}^{-1}\cdots g_{s_{m_r}}^{-1}h_{s_{m_r}}$$

conjugates $g_{s_k}\cdots g_{s_1}$ into $g_{r_k}\cdots g_{r_1}$. In other words,

$$\beta_{s,r}^{\underline{h},\tau}:=h_{s_k}g_{r_{1-m_r}}^{-1}\cdots g_{r_{k-1}}^{-1}g_{r_k}^{-1}$$

is an element in $C_G(g_{s_k}\cdots g_{s_1},g_{r_k}\cdots g_{r_1})$.

I'm going to construct two functors

$$F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}:\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\longrightarrow D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$$

and

$$J_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}:D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)\longrightarrow\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma).$$

$F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ sends a path

$$\gamma=(\gamma_1,\cdots\gamma_n)\in\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$$

to the product of $\sum_k N_k$ paths,

$$\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}\gamma_{i_k}*\gamma_{i_1}g_{i_1}*\cdots*\gamma_{i_{k-1}}g_{i_{k-1}}\cdots g_{i_1},$$

which is an object of $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$.

A morphism in $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ is of the form $[(\underline{h},\tau),t]$ with $(\underline{h},\tau)\in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

Let τ send the $k-$cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ to a $k-$cycle $j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ and $\tau(i_1)=j_{1+m_i}$. According to the definition above, the path of $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\gamma\cdot[(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])$ in ${}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$ is

$$(\gamma_{j_{m_i}}h_{j_{m_i}}*\gamma_{j_{m_i+1}}h_{j_{m_i+1}}g_{i_1}*\cdots*\gamma_{j_{m_i-1}}h_{j_{m_i-1}}g_{i_{k-1}}\cdots g_{i_1})(t+t_0),$$

which is

$$(\gamma_{j_k}*\gamma_{j_1}g_{j_1}*\cdots*\gamma_{j_{k-1}}g_{j_{k-1}}\cdots g_{j_1})(t+m_i+t_0)\cdot\beta_{j,i}^{\underline{h},\tau}.$$

$F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ maps the morphism $[(\underline{h},\tau),t]$ to

$$\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([\beta^{\underline{h},\tau}_{\tau(1),1},m_1+t],\cdots[\beta^{\underline{h},\tau}_{\tau(M_i^\sigma),M_i^\sigma},m_{M_i^\sigma}+t],\tau|_{W_i^\sigma})$$

where $\tau|_{W_i^\sigma}$ denotes the permutation induced by τ on the set $W_i^\sigma=\{\alpha_1^i,\alpha_2^i,\cdots\alpha_{M_i^\sigma}^i\}$, $\tau^{-1}(j)$ is short for $\tau^{-1}(\alpha_j^i)$ and $\tau(j_l)=\tau(j)_{l+m_j}$.

It sends the identity map $[(1,\cdots,1,\mathrm{Id}),0]$ to the identity map $\times_k\times_{i\in\theta_k}([1,0],\cdots[1,0],\mathrm{Id})$. And $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ preserves composition of morphisms. So it is a well-defined functor.

Now let's construct $J_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$. For an object$\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} \nu_{i,k}$ in $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, it sends $\nu_{i,k} \in {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$ to $\{\nu_m\}_1^n$ with $\{\nu_{i_s}\}_{s=1}^k$ with

$$\nu_{i_k} = \nu_{i,k}|_{[0,1]}$$

and

$$\nu_{i_s}(t) := \nu_{i,k}(s+t)g_{i_1}^{-1}\cdots g_{i_s}^{-1}.$$

For each morphism

$$\prod_k \prod_{i\in\theta_k} ((u_1^i, m_1'^i), (u_2^i, m_2'^i), \cdots (u_{M_i^\sigma}^i, m_{M_i^\sigma}'^i), \varrho_i^k)$$

in $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, we can get a unique $[(\underline{h},\tau),t] \in \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma))$.

Let t to be a representative of the image of $m_1'^i$ in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then, let $m_k^i = m_k'^i - t$ for each $k = 1, 2\cdots n$. Each m_k^i is an integer.

When we know how $\tau \in C_{\Sigma_n}(\sigma)$ permutes the cycles of σ, whose information is contained in those $\varrho_i^k \in \Sigma_{M_i^\sigma}$, and the numbers $m_1^i, \cdots m_{M_i^\sigma}^i$, we can get a unique τ. Explicitly, for any number $j_r = 1, 2\cdots n$, if j_r is in a $k-$cycle $(j_1, \cdots j_k)$ of σ and is in the set W_i^σ, then τ maps j_r to $\varrho_i^k(j)_{r+m_j^i}$, i.e. the $r+m_j^i$-th element in the cycle $\varrho_i^k(j)$ of σ.

For any $a \in W_i^\sigma$, $\forall k$ and i, I want $u_a^i = \beta^{\underline{h},\tau}_{\tau(a),a}$ for some $\underline{h}$. Thus,

$$h_{\tau(a)_k} = u_a^i g_{a_k}\cdots g_{a_{1-m_a^i}}. \tag{3.17}$$

And by (3.16) we can get all the other $h_{\tau(a)_j}$.

It can be checked straightforward that $J_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ is a well-defined functor. And it does not depend on the choice of the representative t.

In addition, $J_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} \circ F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} = \mathrm{Id}$; $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} \circ J_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} = \mathrm{Id}$. So the conclusion is proved. □

THEOREM 3.11. *The two groupoids*

$$\mathcal{L}((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n) = \coprod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$$

and

$$D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$$

are isomorphic.

PROOF. If $(\underline{g},\sigma)$ and $(\underline{g}',\sigma')$ are conjugate in $G\wr\Sigma_n$, each $[(\underline{h},\tau),t_0] \in \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma),(\underline{g}',\sigma'))$ gives an isomorphism from $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g}',\sigma')$ by

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma\cdot[(\underline{h},\tau),t_0].$$

There is a canonical isomorphism $F([(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])$ from $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ to $D_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}(X)$ so that the diagram below commutes in the category of Lie groupoids.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) & \xrightarrow{F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}} & D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle [(\underline{h},\tau),t_0]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F([(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])} \\ \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}(X^{\times n}) & \xrightarrow{F_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}} & D_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}(X) \end{array}$$

For $(\underline{h},\tau) \in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma),(\underline{g}',\sigma'))$, there are similar equivalences to those in $C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma))$.

$(\underline{h},\tau) \in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{g},\sigma),(\underline{g}',\sigma'))$ if and only if $\tau\sigma' = \sigma\tau$ and $g_{\sigma(\tau(i))}h_{\tau(i)} = h_{\tau(\sigma'(i))}g'_{\sigma'(i)}$, $\forall i$.

We can reinterpret the two conditions above. Since $\tau \in C_{\Sigma_n}(\sigma,\sigma')$, τ maps a k-cycle $i = (i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ' to a k-cycle $j = (j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ. τ will still used to denote its map on the cycles, such as $\tau(r) = s$. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}/k$, let $\tau(i_l) = j_{l+m_i}$ where m_i depends only on τ and the cycle i. Then, the second conditions can be expressed as

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}/k, g_{j_l}h_{j_{l-1}} = h_{j_l}g'_{i_{l-m_i}}. \tag{3.18}$$

From this equivalence, we can induce that the element

$$h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_{k-1}}g'^{-1}_{i_k} = g^{-1}_{j_1}\cdots g^{-1}_{j_{m_i}}h_{j_{m_i}}$$

maps $g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}$ to $g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}$ by conjugation. In other words,

$$h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_{k-1}}g'^{-1}_{i_k}$$

is an element in $C_G(g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}, g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1})$.

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1,\cdots\gamma_n)$ be a path in $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$. Then, the path of $F_{(\underline{g}',\sigma')}(\gamma\cdot[(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])(t)$ in ${}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}}X$ is

$$\gamma_{\tau(i_k)}h_{\tau(i_k)} * \gamma_{\tau(i_1)}h_{\tau(i_1)}g'_{i_1} * \cdots * \gamma_{\tau(i_{k-1})}h_{\tau(i_{k-1})}g'_{i_{k-1}}\cdots g'_{i_1}(t+t_0). \tag{3.19}$$

The path of $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\gamma)$ in ${}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}}X$ is

$$\gamma_{j_k} * \gamma_{j_1}g_{j_1} * \cdots * \gamma_{j_{k-1}}g_{j_{k-1}}\cdots g_{j_1}.$$

By the relation (3.18), each $\gamma_{\tau(i_r)}h_{\tau(i_r)}g'_{i_r}\cdots g'_{i_1}$ in (3.19) is equal to

$$\gamma_{j_{r+m_i}}g_{j_{r+m_i}}\cdots g_{j_1}(g^{-1}_{j_1}\cdots g^{-1}_{j_{m_i}}h_{j_{m_i}}).$$

The element $g^{-1}_{j_1}\cdots g^{-1}_{j_{m_i}}h_{j_{m_i}} = h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_k}$.

So the map of $F([(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])$ on the path $\nu_j \in {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}}X$ corresponding to $k-$cycle $(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ is defined by

$$F([(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])(\nu_j)(t) = \nu_j(t+m_i+t_0)h_{j_k}g'^{-1}_{i_{1-m_i}}\cdots g'^{-1}_{i_k} \in {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g'_{i_k}\cdots g'_{i_1}}X.$$

$F([(\underline{h},\tau),t_0])$ is an isomorphism between the two groupoids.

□

Remark 3.12. Recall $\Lambda_k(\underline{g},\sigma)$ is the quotient group of $C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times\mathbb{R}$ by the normal subgroup generated by $(g^k,-1)$. $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$ is a $\Lambda(\underline{g},\sigma)$-space. For each k,

$$\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$$

is a $\Lambda_k(\underline{g},\sigma)$-space since the action of $(\underline{g},\sigma;0)$ on

$$\coprod\gamma : [0,k] \longrightarrow X$$

is the same as $(\underline{e},\mathrm{Id};1)$ on it, as indicated in Example 4.4 in [**19**].

I state in Proposition 3.13 some properties about the functor $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ that we see in the proof of Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.11.

PROPOSITION 3.13. (i) If $\sigma = (1) \in \Sigma_1$, the morphism $F_{(g,(1))}$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{L}_g(X)$.

(ii) Let $(\underline{g},\sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_n$ and $(\underline{h},\tau) \in G \wr \Sigma_m$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) \times \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X^{\times m}) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(m+n)})$$

are $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)$-equivariant homeomorphic.

(iii)$F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ preserves cartesian product of loops. The following diagram of $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)-$spaces commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) \times \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X^{\times m}) & \xrightarrow{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)\mapsto\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} & \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(n+m)}) \\ & \searrow^{F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}\times F_{(\underline{h},\tau)}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta^*\circ F_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}} \\ & & \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}} X \times \prod_j \prod_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} {}_j\mathcal{L}_{h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1}} X \end{array}$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ and $(r_1,\cdots r_j)$ goes over all the $j-$cycles of τ.

PROOF. (i) has already been indicated in the proof of Theorem 3.9.

(ii) Let $\gamma_1 = (\gamma_{1,1},\cdots\gamma_{1,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$ and $\gamma_2 = (\gamma_{2,1},\cdots\gamma_{2,m}) \in \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X^{\times m})$. Define

$$\Phi : \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) \times \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X^{\times m}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(m+n)})$$

by

$$\Phi(\gamma_1,\gamma_2) := (\gamma_{1,1},\cdots\gamma_{1,n},\gamma_{2,1},\cdots\gamma_{2,m}) \in \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(m+n)}).$$

Φ is a homeomorphism.

Let $\eta : \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_{m+n}}(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)$ be the inclusion

$$([\alpha,t],[\beta,t]) \mapsto [\alpha,\beta,t],$$

where $\alpha \in C_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$, $\beta \in C_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then η induces a $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)$-action on the space $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(m+n)})$. And Φ is a $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)$-equivariant homeomorphism between

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) \times \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X^{\times m})$$

and

$$\eta^*(\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X^{\times(m+n)})).$$

(iii) $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ sends a path

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1,\cdots\gamma_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})$$

to the product of $\sum_k N_k$ paths,

$$\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \gamma_{i_k} * \gamma_{i_1} g_{i_1} * \cdots * \gamma_{i_{k-1}} g_{i_{k-1}} \cdots g_{i_1},$$

which is an object of $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$.

$F_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$ is the product

$$\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \gamma_{i_k} * \gamma_{i_1} g_{i_1} * \cdots * \gamma_{i_{k-1}} g_{i_{k-1}} \cdots g_{i_1} \prod_m \prod_{(j_1,\cdots j_m)} \gamma_{j_m} * \gamma_{j_1} h_{j_1} * \cdots * \gamma_{j_{m-1}} h_{j_{m-1}} \cdots h_{j_1},$$

where $(i_1, \cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ and $(j_1, \cdots j_m)$ goes over all the $m-$cycles of τ. It is exactly $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\gamma_1) \times F_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(\gamma_2)$.

It's straightforward to check both maps are $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)-$equivariant. □

Proposition 3.14 (Naturality). Let $f : X//G \longrightarrow Y//H$ be a homomorphism between groupoids. Let $(\underline{g},\sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_n$. Let $(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)$ denote the element $(f(g_1), \cdots f(g_n), \sigma) \in H \wr \Sigma_n$. Then f induces homomorphisms

$$D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f) : D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \longrightarrow D_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f) : \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y^{\times n})//\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{f(g)},\sigma).$$

Then the following diagram commutes in the category of Lie groupoids.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma}(\underline{g},\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f)} & \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y^{\times n})//\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{f(g)},\sigma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}} \\ D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) & \xrightarrow{D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f)} & D_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y). \end{array} \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. For any $\gamma : [0,k] \longrightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(k) = \gamma(0)\cdot g$, let $f_*\gamma : [0,k] \longrightarrow Y$ denote the map $f_*\gamma(t) = f \circ \gamma(t)$. We have $f_*\gamma(k) = f_*\gamma(0) \cdot f(g)$. So we have a well-defined functor

$${}_k\mathcal{L}_g(f) : {}_k\mathcal{L}_g(X)//\Lambda_G(g) \longrightarrow {}_k\mathcal{L}_{f(g)}(Y)//\Lambda_H(f(g))$$

sending an object γ to $f_*(\gamma)$ and sending a morphism $[\alpha,t]$ to $[f(\alpha),t]$.

Similarly we can define

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f) : \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y^{\times n})//\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)$$

by sending an object γ to $f_*(\gamma)$ and a morphism $[\underline{\alpha},\tau,t]$ to $[\underline{f(\alpha)},\tau,t]$. And define

$$D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(f) : D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \longrightarrow D_{(\underline{f(g)},\sigma)}(Y)$$

to be the homomorphism sending an object

$$\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \gamma_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \in \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}X$$

to

$$\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} f_*\gamma_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \in \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}_{h_{i_k}\cdots h_{i_1}}X$$

where $(i_1, \cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ. It sends a morphism

$$\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([a_1^i, t_1^i], \cdots [a^i_{M_i^\sigma}, t^i_{M_i^\sigma}], \tau_i), x)$$

of $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ to

$$\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([f(a_1^i), t_1^i], \cdots [f(a^i_{M_i^\sigma}), t^i_{M_i^\sigma}], \tau_i), f_*x)$$

where θ_k is a fixed set of representatives of $k-$cycles of σ.

Then it's straightforward to check the diagram (3.20) commutes. □

Let's consider the full subgroupoid of $\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X^{\times n})//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ whose objects are the constant loops, i.e. the points in $(X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$. $\Lambda(\underline{g},\sigma)$ acts on $(X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ by

$$\underline{x}\cdot[(\underline{h},\tau),t]:=\underline{x}\cdot(\underline{h},\tau),\text{ for any } x\in(X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)},\ [(\underline{h},\tau),t]\in\Lambda(\underline{g},\sigma).$$

And let $d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ denote the full subgroupoid of $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ whose objects are the constant loops, i.e. the points in $\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$.

Let $f_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ denote the restriction of the functor $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ to the full subgroupoid consisting of constant loops, which is an isomorphism from $(X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$ to $d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$. Thus, $f_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ induces a $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)-$action on the space

$$\prod_k\prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}},$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ.

Moreover, let $d((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ denote the full subgroupoid of $D((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ consisting of constant loops. Then we have

Corollary 3.15. The groupoids $\Lambda(X^{\times n}//G\wr\Sigma_n)$ and $d((X//G)\wr\Sigma_n)$ are isomorphic.

Remark 3.16. Let X be a G-space.

The map $\mu_k:K_{\Lambda(g^k)}(X^{g^k})\longrightarrow K_{\Lambda_k(g)}(X^g)$ in [**35**] can also be defined for loop spaces. $\mathcal{L}_gX$ is a $\Lambda_G(g)$-space. It can also be viewed as a $\Lambda_k(g)$-space with the action

$$[h,s]\cdot\gamma(t):=\gamma(t+ks)\cdot h.$$

Let

$$j:\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda_{G,k}(g)\longrightarrow\mathcal{L}_{g^k}X//\Lambda_{G,k}(g)$$

send $\gamma(t)$ to

$$\gamma*\gamma\cdot g*\cdots*\gamma\cdot g^{k-1}(kt).$$

j is well-defined and $\Lambda_k(g)-$equivariant. When restricted to the space of constant loops, it is exactly the inclusion $X^g\hookrightarrow X^{g^k}$.

μ_k is defined to be the composition

$$K^*_{orb}(\mathcal{L}_{g^k}X//\Lambda_G(g^k))\xrightarrow{\beta^*}K^*_{orb}(\mathcal{L}_{g^k}X//\Lambda_k(g))\xrightarrow{j^*}K^*_{orb}(\mathcal{L}_gX//\Lambda_k(g))$$

where the first map is induced by the inclusion $\beta:\Lambda_k(g)\longrightarrow\Lambda_G(g^k)$.

3.2. Total Power Operation of $QEll^*_G$. In Section 3.2 I construct the total power operations (**??**) for quasi-elliptic cohomology and give its explicit formula in (3.31). In Theorem 3.17 I check they satisfy the axioms that Nora Ganter concluded in [**18**] for equivariant power operation.

The power operation of quasi-elliptic cohomology is of the form

$$\mathbb{P}_n=\prod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}}\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}:$$
$$QEll^*_G(X)\longrightarrow QEll^*_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(X^{\times n})=\prod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}}K_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)}((X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}),$$

where $\mathbb{P}_n$ maps bundle over

$$\Lambda^1(X//G)$$

to bundle over

$$\Lambda^1(X^{\times n}//(G\wr\Sigma_n)),$$

and each $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ maps bundle over

$$\Lambda^1(X//G)$$

to bundle over

$$(X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}//\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma).$$

I construct each $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ as the composition below.

$$\begin{aligned} QEll^*_G(X) &\xrightarrow{U^*} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \xrightarrow{(\ )^\Lambda_k} K^*_{orb}(\Lambda^{var}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\boxtimes} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \xrightarrow{f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}} K^*_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)}((X^{\times n})^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ. I will explain in detail what each map in this formula is.

$U:\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)\longrightarrow\Lambda(X//G)$ is the groupoid homomorphism defined in (3.21). The second map is the pullback $(\ )^\Lambda_k$ defined in (3.26). The third map $\boxtimes$ is the external product in (3.30). The fourth one is the pullback by $f_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$, which is defined before Corollary 3.15.

The Functor U

For $(\underline{g},\sigma)\in G\wr\Sigma_n$, $r\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $\Lambda^r_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ denote the groupoid with objects

$$\coprod_k\coprod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ, and with morphisms

$$\coprod_k\coprod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k),(j_1,\cdots j_k)}\Lambda^r_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\times X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}},$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ and $(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ go over all the $k-$cycles of σ. It may not be a subgroupoid of $\Lambda^r(X//G)$ because there may be cycles $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ and $(j_1,\cdots j_m)$ such that

$$g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}=g_{j_m}\cdots g_{j_1}.$$

Let

$$U:\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)\longrightarrow\Lambda(X//G) \tag{3.21}$$

denote the functor sending x in the component $X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$ to the x in the component $X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$ of $\Lambda(X//G)$, and send each morphism $([h,t],x)$ in $\Lambda^1_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\times X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$ to $([h,t],x)$ in $\Lambda^1_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\times X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$. $g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}$ and $g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}$ may be equal and $([h,t],x)$ may be an arrow inside a single connected component.

The Functors $(\ )_k$ **and** $(\ )^\Lambda_k$

For each integer k, there is a functor of groupoids $(\ )_k:{}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G)\longrightarrow\mathcal{L}(X//G)$ sending an object $s\mapsto\gamma(s)$ in a component ${}_k\mathcal{L}_gX$ to $t\mapsto\gamma(kt)$ in the component $\mathcal{L}_gX$; and $(\ )_k$ sends a morphism $([h,t_0],(s\mapsto\gamma(s)))$ to $([h,\frac{t_0}{k}],(t\mapsto\gamma(kt)))$. The composition has the equivalence $((\ )_k)_r=(\ )_{kr}$. Its restriction to the constant

loops $\Lambda^k(X//G) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(X//G)$ is also well-defined. I will use the same symbol $(\ )_k$ to denote the functor on the subgroupoid of constant loops.

In addition, the functor $(\ )_k$ gives a well-defined map

$$K_{orb}(\mathcal{L}(X//G)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}({}_k\mathcal{L}(X//G))$$

by pull back of bundles. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote a $\mathcal{L}(X//G)-$vector bundle over $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$. $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the pull-back bundle $(\mathcal{V})_k$ by $(\ )_k$ via precomposing with the map

$$\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\ a \mapsto \frac{a}{k}$$

For example, if S^1 acts on $\mathcal{V}$ by the representation q, it acts on $(\mathcal{V})_k$ via

$$q^{\frac{1}{k}} : \mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow U(1)$$
$$a \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i a}{k}}.$$

More generally, if $\mathcal{V}$ has the decomposition

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j q^j, \tag{3.22}$$

then the pull-back bundle has the decomposition

$$(\mathcal{V})_k = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} V_j q^{\frac{j}{k}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Restricted to the subgroupoids $\Lambda(X//G)$ and $X^\sigma//\Lambda_G(\sigma)$ of $\mathcal{L}(X//G)$, we have the rescaling maps

$$K_{orb}(\Lambda(X//G)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\Lambda^k(X//G)) \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$K_{orb}(X^\sigma//\Lambda_G(\sigma)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(X^\sigma//\Lambda^k_G(\sigma)). \tag{3.25}$$

Let's still use the symbol $(\ )_k$ to denote it when there is no confusion.

Let $\Lambda^{var}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ be the groupoid with the same objects as $\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ and morphisms

$$\coprod_k \coprod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k),(j_1,\cdots j_k)} \Lambda^k_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}, g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1}) \times X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}},$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ and $(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ go over all the $k-$cycles of σ.

We can define a similar functor

$$(\ )^\Lambda_k : \Lambda^{var}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \tag{3.26}$$

that is identity on objects and sends each $[g,t] \in \Lambda^k_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}, g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})$ to $[g,\frac{t}{k}] \in \Lambda^1_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}, g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})$.

And we use the same symbol $(\ )^\Lambda_k$ to denote the pull back

$$K_{orb}(\Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\Lambda^{var}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)). \tag{3.27}$$

The external product $\boxtimes$

Let G and H be two compact Lie groups. X is a G-space and Y is a H-space. Let $\sigma \in G^{tors}$ and $\tau \in H^{tors}$.

In Section 2.4.3 I introduce the Künneth map and the completed tensor product $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}$ of quasi-elliptic cohomology. To simplify the symbol, for $x \in K^*_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g)$ and $y \in K^*_{\Lambda_H(h)}(Y^h)$, let's use

$$x \boxtimes y$$

to denote their external product $x \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} y$ in $K^*_{\Lambda_{G\times H}(g,h)}(X \times Y)^{(g,h)}$; and for $\widetilde{x} \in QEll^*_G(X)$ and $\widetilde{y} \in QEll^*_H(Y)$, I use

$$\widetilde{x} \wedge \widetilde{y} := \prod_{(g,h)\in G^{tors}_{conj}\times H^{tors}_{conj}} (\widetilde{x} \wedge \widetilde{y})_{(g,h)}$$

to denote their external product as $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras in $QEll^*_{G\times H}(X \times Y)$, where each $(\widetilde{x} \wedge \widetilde{y})_{(g,h)}$ is $\widetilde{x}_g \boxtimes \widetilde{y}_h$.

I will show the Künneth map (2.40) of quasi-elliptic cohomology is equivalent to the Künneth map

$$K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)) \longrightarrow K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} d_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)).$$

First let's talk about the external product of $K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$ and $K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X))$ with $(\underline{g},\sigma) \in G\wr\Sigma_n$ and $(\underline{h},\tau) \in G\wr\Sigma_m$. Each $K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebra, as shown at the end of Section 3.1.1. The external product in the theory $K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\cdot))$ is defined to be the tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras.

Recall that a morphism in $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ is of the form

$$(\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([a^i_1, t^i_1], \cdots [a^i_{M^\sigma_i}, t^i_{M^\sigma_i}], \tau_i), x)$$

in which $\tau_i \in \Sigma_{M^\sigma_i}, [a^i_j, t^i_j] \in \Lambda^k_G(g_{\tau_i(j)_k} \cdots g_{\tau_i(j)_1}, g_{j_k} \cdots g_{j_1})$, for each $j \in W^\sigma_i$. Each t^i_j in it has the same image under the quotient map $\mathbb{R}/k\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. So we have $q(t^i_j) = q(t^{i'}_{j'})$.

Let $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)$ denote the groupoid with objects of the form

$$x \times y$$

where x is an object in $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ and y is an object in $D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)$, and with morphisms starting at $x \times y$ of the form

$$f \times g$$

where f is a morphism starting at x in $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ and g is a morphism starting at y in $D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)$, and all the t part has the same image under the quotient map.

$D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)$ is a subgroupoid of $D_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X)$ when we consider $(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)$ as an element in $G\wr\Sigma_{n+m}$ instead of $G\wr(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_m)$. $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)$ and $D_{(\underline{g},\underline{h},\sigma\tau)}(X)$ have the same objects.

We have the Künneth map

$$K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)) \longrightarrow K^*_{orb}(D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} D_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)). \tag{3.28}$$

Recall $d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, which is defined before Corollary 3.15, is the full subgroupoid of $D_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$ consisting of the constant loops, i.e. those points in $\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} X^{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$.

By Proposition 3.13 (iii), restricting the Künneth map (3.28) to the subgroupoid $d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$

$$K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)) \longrightarrow K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} d_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(X)).$$

It is compatible with the Künneth map (2.40) of the quasi-elliptic cohomology in the sense that we have the commutative diagram

(3.29)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{h},\sigma)}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K^*_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \times_{\mathbb{T}} d_{(\underline{h},\sigma)}(X)) \\ \downarrow f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} f^*_{(\underline{h},\sigma)} & & \downarrow f^*_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)} \\ K^*_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)}((X^n)^{(\underline{g},\sigma)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K^*_{\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{h},\sigma)}((Y^m)^{(\underline{h},\sigma)}) & \longrightarrow & K^*_{\Lambda_{(G\times H)\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}((X\times Y)^n)^{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are Künneth maps.

In addition, if we have a vector bundle $E = \coprod_k \coprod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} E_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$ over $\Lambda_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, the external product

$$\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} E_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}}$$

is a vector bundler over $d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$, where the $\boxtimes$ are again the product of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras (but not $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm\frac{1}{k}}]-$algebras) in equivariant K-theories. This defines a map

$$K_{orb}(\Lambda_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(d_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)) \tag{3.30}$$

The explicit form of $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ is

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\mathcal{V}) = f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (\mathcal{V}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k). \tag{3.31}$$

where $(\ )_k$ is the map cited in (3.25).

Both $f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k\boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}(\mathcal{V}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k)$ and $\boxtimes_k\boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)}(\mathcal{V}_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k$ have the structure of a $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)$-space, since $f_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ is a $\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)-$equivariant homeomorphism.

$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ is natural. And by the proof of Theorem 3.11, if $(\underline{g},\sigma)$ and $(\underline{h},\tau)$ are conjugate in $G\wr\Sigma_n$, then $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(E)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(E)$ are isomorphic.

THEOREM 3.17. *The family of maps*

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \prod_{(\underline{g},\sigma)\in(G\wr\Sigma_n)^{tors}_{conj}} \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)} : QEll^*_G(X) \longrightarrow QEll^*_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(X^{\times n}),$$

defined in (3.31) satisfies

(i) $\mathbb{P}_1 = Id$, $\mathbb{P}_0(x) = 1$.

(ii) Let $x \in QEll^*_G(X)$, $(\underline{g},\sigma) \in G\wr\Sigma_n$ *and* $(\underline{h},\tau) \in G\wr\Sigma_m$. *The external product of two power operations*

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(x) \boxtimes \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(x) = res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_{m+n}}(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)}\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}(x).$$

(iii) The composition of two power operations is

$$\mathbb{P}_{((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)}(\mathbb{P}_m(x)) = res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_{mn}}(\underline{h},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}_{\Lambda_{(G\wr\Sigma_m)\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{h},\underline{\tau});\sigma)}\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}(x)$$

where $(\underline{h},\tau) \in (G\wr\Sigma_m)^{\times n}$, *and* $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$. $(\underline{\tau},\sigma)$ *is in* $\Sigma_m\wr\Sigma_n$, *thus, can be viewed as an element in* Σ_{mn}.

(iv) $\mathbb{P}$ *preserves external product. For* $\underline{(g,h)} = ((g_1,h_1),\cdots(g_n,h_n)) \in (G\times H)^{\times n}$, $\sigma\in\Sigma_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(x\wedge y) = res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{h},\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{(G\times H)\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(x)\boxtimes\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},\sigma)}(y).$$

PROOF. Let's check each one straightforward.

(i) When $n = 1$, all the cycles of a permutation is 1-cycle. $(\ )_1$ and the homeomorphism $f_{(g,(1))}$ are both identity maps. Directly from the formula (3.31), $\mathbb{P}_1(x) = x$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(x) \boxtimes \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(x) &= f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1})})_k) \boxtimes f^*_{(\underline{h},\tau)}(\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (x_{(h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1})})_j) \\ &= res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_{m+n}}(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}_{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_m}(\underline{h},\tau)} f^*_{(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}((\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1})})_k) \\ &\boxtimes (\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (x_{(h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1})})_j)).\end{aligned}$$

where $(i_1, \cdots i_k)$ goes over all the k-cycles of σ and $(r_1, \cdots r_j)$ goes over all the j-cycles of τ and $(\ )_k$ is the map cited in (3.25). The second step is from Proposition 3.13 (iii).

$$f^*_{(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}((\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1})})_k) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (x_{(h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1})})_j))$$

is exactly

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\underline{h};\sigma\tau)}(x).$$

(iii) Recall that for an element $(\underline{\tau}, \sigma) = (\tau_1, \cdots \tau_n, \sigma) \in \Sigma_{mn}$, it acts on the set with mn elements

$$\{(i, j)|1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

in this way:

$$(\underline{\tau}, \sigma) \cdot (i, j) = (\sigma(i), \tau_{\sigma(i)}(j)).$$

That's also how it is viewed as an element in Σ_{mn}.

Then for any integer q,

$$(\underline{\tau}, \sigma)^q \cdot (i, j) = (\sigma^q(i), \tau_{\sigma^q(i)}\tau_{\sigma^{q-1}(i)} \cdots \tau_{\sigma(i)}(j)). \tag{3.32}$$

To find all the cycles of $(\underline{\tau}, \sigma)$ is exactly to find all the orbits of of this action. If i belongs to an s-cycle of σ and j belongs to a r-cycle of $\tau_{\sigma^s(i)}\tau_{\sigma^{s-1}(i)} \cdots \tau_{\sigma(i)}$, then the orbit containing (i, j) has sr elements by (3.32). In other words, $(i_1, \cdots i_s)$ is an s-cycle of σ and $(j_1, \cdots j_r)$ is a r-cycle of $\tau := \tau_{i_s} \cdots \tau_{i_1}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}&((i_1, \tau_{i_1}(j_{r-1}))(i_2, \tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_{r-1})) \cdots (i_s, j_r) \\ &(i_1, \tau_{i_1}(j_{r-2}))(i_2, \tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_{r-2})) \cdots (i_s, j_{r-1}) \\ &\cdots \\ &(i_1, \tau_{i_1}(j_1))(i_2, \tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_1)) \cdots (i_s, j_2) \\ &(i_1, \tau_{i_1}(j_r))(i_2, \tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_r)) \cdots (i_s, j_1))\end{aligned}$$

is an sr-cycle of $(\underline{\tau}, \sigma)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)}(\mathbb{P}_m(x)) &= f^*_{((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)}[\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (\mathbb{P}_{((\underline{h}_{i_k},\tau_{i_k})\cdots(\underline{h}_{i_1},\tau_{i_1}))}(x))_k]\\ &= f^*_{((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)}[\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} [f^*_{((\underline{h}_{i_k},\tau_{i_k})\cdots(\underline{h}_{i_1},\tau_{i_1}))}(\boxtimes_r \boxtimes_{(j_1,\cdots j_r)} (x_{H_{\underline{i}\underline{j}}})_r)]_k]\\ &= (f^*_{((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)} \circ \prod_{k,(i_1,\cdots i_k)} f^*_{((\underline{h}_{i_k},\tau_{i_k})\cdots(\underline{h}_{i_1},\tau_{i_1}))})[\boxtimes_{k,(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \boxtimes_{r,(j_1,\cdots j_r)} (x_{H_{\underline{i},\underline{j}}})_{kr}]\\ &= f^*_{(\underline{\underline{h}},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}[\boxtimes_{k,(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \boxtimes_{r,(j_1,\cdots j_r)} (x_{H_{\underline{i},\underline{j}}})_{kr}]\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} = \;& h_{i_k,j_1} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_k}^{-1}(j_1)} \cdots h_{i_1,(\tau_{i_k}\cdots\tau_{i_2})^{-1}(j_1)}\\ & h_{i_k,j_2} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_k}^{-1}(j_2)} \cdots h_{i_1,(\tau_{i_k}\cdots\tau_{i_2})^{-1}(j_2)}\\ & \cdots\\ & h_{i_k,j_r} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_k}^{-1}(j_r)} \cdots h_{i_1,(\tau_{i_k}\cdots\tau_{i_2})^{-1}(j_r)}\\ = \;& h_{i_k,j_1} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_{k-1}}\cdots\tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_1)} \cdots h_{i_1,\tau_{i_1}(j_r)}\\ & h_{i_k,j_2} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_{k-1}}\cdots\tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_2)} \cdots h_{i_1,\tau_{i_1}(j_1)}\\ & \cdots\\ & h_{i_k,j_r} h_{i_{k-1},\tau_{i_{k-1}}\cdots\tau_{i_2}\tau_{i_1}(j_{r-1})} \cdots h_{i_1,\tau_{i_1}(j_{r-1})}\end{aligned}$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the k-cycles of $\sigma\in\Sigma_m$ and $(j_1,\cdots j_r)$ goes over all the r-cycles of $\tau_{i_k}\cdots\tau_{i_1}\in\Sigma_n$. The last step is by Proposition 4.11 in [**19**].

$$f^*_{(\underline{\underline{h}},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}[\boxtimes_{k,(i_1,\cdots i_k)} \boxtimes_{r,(j_1,\cdots j_r)} (x_{H_{\underline{i},\underline{j}}})_{kr}]$$

is the same space as $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{\underline{h}},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}(x)$, but with the restriction action

$$res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_{mn}}(\underline{\underline{h}},(\underline{\tau},\sigma))}_{\Lambda_{(G\wr\Sigma_m)\wr\Sigma_n}((\underline{h},\tau);\sigma)}.$$

(iv)We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(x\wedge y) = f^*_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} ((x\wedge y)_{(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},h_{i_k}\cdots h_{i_1})})_k)\\ =& f^*_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k \boxtimes (y_{h_{i_k}\cdots h_{i_1}})_k)\\ =& f^*_{(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (y_{h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1}})_j)\\ =& res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{h},\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{(G\times H)\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)}(f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}\times f^*_{(\underline{h},\sigma)})(\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (y_{h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1}})_j)\\ =& res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{h},\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{(G\times H)\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{(g,h)},\sigma)} f^*_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}[\boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1}})_k] \boxtimes f^*_{(\underline{h},\sigma)}[\boxtimes_j \boxtimes_{(r_1,\cdots r_j)} (y_{h_{r_j}\cdots h_{r_1}})_j],\end{aligned}$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ and $(r_1,\cdots r_j)$ goes over all the $j-$cycles of σ. It equals to

$$res|^{\Lambda_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)\times_{\mathbb{T}}\Lambda_{H\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{h},\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{(G\times H)\wr\Sigma_n}(\underline{g},\sigma)} \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(x) \boxtimes \mathbb{P}_{(\underline{h},\sigma)}(y).$$

□

EXAMPLE 3.18. Let G be the trivial group and X a space. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$. Then $QEll^*_G(X) = K^*_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$. $F_{(\underline{1},\sigma)}$ is the homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\underline{1},\sigma)}(X^{\times n}) \cong \prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} {}_k\mathcal{L}X$$

in Theorem 3.9, where the second direct product goes over all the k-cycles of σ. By (3.31), the power operation is

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},\sigma)}(x) = \boxtimes_k \boxtimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x)_k.$$

When $n = 2$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(1)(1))}(x) = x \boxtimes x$ and $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(12))}(x) = (x)_2$.

When $n = 3$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(1)(1)(1))}(x) = x \boxtimes x \boxtimes x$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(12)(1))}(x) = (x)_2 \boxtimes x$, and $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(123))}(x) = (x)_3$.

When $n = 4$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(1)(1)(1)(1))}(x) = x \boxtimes x \boxtimes x \boxtimes x$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(12))}(x) = (x)_2 \boxtimes x \boxtimes x$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(123))}(x) = (x)_3 \boxtimes x$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(1234))}(x) = (x)_4$, and $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(12)(34))}(x) = (x)_2 \boxtimes (x)_2$. Note that there is a Σ_2-action permuting the two $(x)_2$s in $\mathbb{P}_{(\underline{1},(12)(34))}(x)$.

When G is trivial, $\mu_k(x) = (x)_k$, though generally they are not equal.

REMARK 3.19. We have the relation between equivariant Tate K-theory and quasi-elliptic cohomology

$$QEll_G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \mathbb{Z}((q)) \cong K_{Tate}(X//G). \tag{3.33}$$

It extends uniquely to a power operation for Tate K-theory

$$QEll_G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \mathbb{Z}((q)) \longrightarrow QEll_{G\wr\Sigma_n}(X^{\times n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \mathbb{Z}((q)).$$

It is the stringy power operation P_n^{string} constructed in [**19**], which is elliptic in the sense of [**2**].

3.3. Orbifold quasi-elliptic cohomology and some basic constructions. The elliptic cohomology of orbifolds involves a rich interaction between the orbifold structure and the elliptic curve. [**20**] explores this interaction in the case of the Tate curve, describing K_{Tate} for an orbifold X in term of the equivariant K-theory and the groupoid structure of X. I give a description of quasi-elliptic cohomology for an orbifold in Section 3.3.1.

In Section 3.3.2 I recall the symmetric power of groupoid, which is introduced in [**20**]. In addition, I discuss the inertia groupoid of symmetric power and the groupoids needed for the construction of the power operation in Section 3.4.

3.3.1. *Definition.* Recall the basic constructions in [**20**].

Consider the category of groupoids $\mathcal{G}pd$ as a 2-category with small topological groupoids as the objects and with

$$1\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) = Fun(X, Y),$$

the groupoid of continuous functors from X to Y.

The center of a groupoid X is defined to be the group

$$\mathrm{Center}(X) := 2\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Id}_X, \mathrm{Id}_X) = \mathcal{N}at(\mathrm{Id}_X, \mathrm{Id}_X)$$

of natural transformations from Id_x to Id_x. Let $\mathcal{G}pd^{cen}$ denote the 2-category whose objects are pairs (X, ξ) with ξ a center element of X, and the set of morphisms from (X, ξ) to (Y, ν) is

$$1\mathrm{Hom}((X, \xi), (Y, \nu)) \subset Fun(X, Y)$$

with

$$f\xi = \nu f$$

for each morphism f. I will assume all the center elements have finite order.

EXAMPLE 3.20. If G is a finite group, Center$(pt//G)$ is the center of the group G.

EXAMPLE 3.21. The Inertia groupoid $I(X)$ of a groupoid X, which is defined in Definition 2.49, is isomorphic to

$$Fun(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{Z}, X).$$

Each object of $I(X)$ can be viewed as pairs (x, g) with $x \in \mathrm{ob}(X)$ and $g \in aut(x)$, $gx = x$. A morphism from (x_1, g_1) to (x_2, g_2) is a morphism $h : x_1 \longrightarrow x_2$ in X satisfying $h \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ h$ in X. So in $I(X)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}((x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2)) = \{h : x_1 \longrightarrow x_2 | h \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ h\}.$$

Recall $I^{tors}(X)$ is the torsion Inertia groupoid of X defined in Definition 2.49. It is a full subgoupoid of $I(X)$ with elements (x, g) where g is of finite order. Let ξ^k denote the center element of $I^{tors}(X)$ sending (x, g) to (x, g^k). I will use ξ to denote ξ^1.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the 2-functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}pd &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}pd^{cen} \\ X &\mapsto (I^{tors}(X), \xi^k). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 3.22. In the global quotient case, we have, as indicated in Example 2.50, we have $I^{tors}(X//G)$ is isomorphic to $\coprod_{g \in G^{tors}_{conj}} X^g//C_G(g)$. And the center element $\xi^k|_{X^g} = g^k$.

Let $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)$ denote the groupoid

$$(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}) \times I^{tors}(X)/\sim$$

with $\sim$ generated by $1 \sim \xi$.

DEFINITION 3.23. For any topological groupoid X, the quasi-elliptic cohomology $QEll^*(X)$ is the orbifold K-theory

$$K^*_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)). \tag{3.34}$$

In other words, for a topological groupoid X, $QEll(X)$ is defined to be a subring of $K_{orb}(X)[\![q^{\pm\frac{1}{|\xi|}}]\!]$ that is the Grothendieck group of finite sums

$$\sum_{a\in\mathbb{Q}} V_a q^a$$

satisfying:

for each $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, the coefficient V_a is an $e^{2\pi ia}$ – eigenbundle of ξ.

In the global quotient case,

$$QEll^*(X//G) = QEll^*_G(X).$$

Remark 3.24. For any topological groupoid X, we can also consider the category

$$Loop_1(X) := Bibundle(S^1//*, X)$$

and formulate $Loop_1^{ext}(X)$ by adding the rotation action by circle, as in Section 2.1.2. Then the groupoid $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)$ is isomorphic to the full subcategory of $Loop_1^{ext}(X)$ consisting of constant loops.

3.3.2. *Symmetric powers of orbifolds and its torsion Inertia groupoid.* In Section 3.3.2 I introduce the total symmetric power of groupoids, the torsion Inertia groupoid of it, the total symmetric power of the torsion Inertia groupoid, and other groupoids that are relevant in the construction of the power operation. In addition, in Lemma 3.29, Lemma 3.31 and Lemma 3.32 I show the relation between these groupoids.

$\mathcal{G}pd$ is a symmetric bimonoidal category with the monoidal structures given by $(\sqcup, \emptyset)$ and $(\times, \mathrm{pt})$. Each groupoid $X \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{G}pd)$ is a monoid with respect to $(\sqcup, \emptyset)$, via the folding map

$$d : X \sqcup X \longrightarrow X.$$

X is a monoid with respect to $(\times, \mathrm{pt})$ if and only if X itself is a symmetric monoidal category.

Example 3.25 (Total Symmetric Power). I introduce the $n-$th symmetric power $X \wr \Sigma_n$ of a groupoid X in Definition 3.2. In addition, define the total symmetric power of X to be

$$S(X) := \coprod_{n\geq 0} X \wr \Sigma_n. \tag{3.35}$$

S is a functor from $\mathcal{G}pd$ to $\mathcal{G}pd$.

The functor S is exponential. We have

$$S(X \sqcup Y) = \coprod_{n\geq 0} (X \sqcup Y) \wr \Sigma_n = (\coprod_{n\geq 0} X \wr \Sigma_n) \times (\coprod_{m\geq 0} Y \wr \Sigma_m) = S(X) \times S(Y).$$

In other words, the functor

$$S : (\mathcal{G}pd, \sqcup, \emptyset) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{G}pd, \times, \mathrm{pt})$$

is monoidal.

Moreover, S maps monoids to monoids. $S(X)$ is a monoid with respect to $(*, ())$ where

$$* := S(d),$$

i.e. the concatenation, and the unit $()$ is the unique object in $X \wr \Sigma_0$. The monoidal structure is symmetric.

The triple

$$(S(X), *, ())$$

is "free" symmetric monoidal category on X in the sense that the functor

$$X \mapsto (S(X), *, ())$$

is left adjoint to the forgetful functor from the category of monoids in $\mathcal{G}pd$ to $\mathcal{G}pd$.

Example 3.26 (The torsion Inertia groupoid of the total symmetric power). Now let's consider the groupoid $I^{tors}(S(X))$. It's the disjoint union $\coprod_{n\geq 0} I^{tors}(X \wr \Sigma_n)$. Each object of it is of the form $(\underline{x}, \underline{g}, \sigma, n)$ where $n \geq 0$ is an integer, $\underline{x} \in ob(X \wr \Sigma_n)$, $(\underline{g}, \sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_n$. Since $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ is an automorphism of $\underline{x}$ in $X \wr \Sigma_n$, each arrow g_i is a morphism from x_i to $x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. The information of each object in $I^{tors}(S(X))$ is contained in $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$.

$I^{tors}(S(X))$ inherits a monoidal structure from $S(X)$:

$$(\underline{g}, \sigma) * (\underline{h}, \tau) = (\underline{g}, \underline{h}, \sigma \sqcup \tau).$$

An object $(\underline{g}, \sigma)$ of $I^{tors}(S(X))$ is indecomposable with respect to $*$ if and only if $n > 0$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ has only one orbit.

Moreover, for groupoids like $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X$, let's consider a subgroupoid

$$S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$$

of $S(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$.

Example 3.27 (The Groupoid $S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$). Let

$$\rho_r : \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X \longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}//(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$$

be the functor sending all the objects to the single point, and an arrow

$$[g_r, t_r]$$

to

$$t_r \bmod \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let

$$\times_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$$

denote the fibred product of the diagram $\{\rho_r\}_1^n$. Then $\times_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$ inherits a Σ_n-action on it from the product $(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)^{\times n}$.

Let $S_n^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{k\sim\xi}X)$ denote the category with the same objects as $\times_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{k\sim\xi} X)$ and morphisms of the form $([g_1, t_1], \cdots [g_n, t_n]; \sigma)$ with $([g_1, t_1], \cdots [g_n, t_n])$ a morphism in $\times_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$. Thus, $S_n^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X)$ is a subgroupoid of

$$(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X) \wr \Sigma_n.$$

Let

$$S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X) := \coprod_{n\geq 0} S_n^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X).$$

The triple

$$(S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} X), *, ())$$

is also a symmetric monoid.

Example 3.28 (The groupoid $\Phi(X)$). Let $\Phi_k(X)$ denote the full subgroupoid of $I^{tors}(S(X))$ with objects $(\underline{x}, \underline{g}, \varsigma_k)$ with ς_k a generater in the cyclic group $\langle(12\cdots k)\rangle$. And let $\phi_k \in$Center(Φ_k) denote the restriction of $S_k(\xi)$ to Φ_k.

Let $\Phi(X) := \coprod_{k\geq 1} \Phi_k(X)$. Then

$$\phi := \coprod_{k\geq 1} \phi_k \in \mathrm{Center}(\Phi)$$

is the restriction of $S(\xi)$ to Φ.

Thus, the essential image of $\Phi(X)$ in $I^{tors}(SX)$ is the subgroupoid consisting of indecomposable objects. And the functor Φ is additive: $\Phi(\emptyset)=\emptyset$ and it preserves $\sqcup$.

LEMMA 3.29. *For each integer $k\geq 1$, there is an equivalence between $pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi_k}\Phi_k(X)$ and the groupoid $pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ which identifies ϕ_k with $\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Here $\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}$ is an added element such that the composition of k $\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}$s is ξ.*

PROOF. Lemma 3.29 is a special case of Theorem 3.9 when the element $\sigma\in\Sigma_k$ has only one cycle. We can define a functor

$$A_k:\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi_k}\Phi_k(X)\longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]$$

by sending an object $(\underline{x},\underline{g},(12\cdots k))$ to $(x_1,g_k\cdots g_1)$ and sending a morphism $[\underline{h},(12\cdots k)^m,t]$ to

$$[h_kg_{1-m}^{-1}\cdots g_{k-1}^{-1}g_k^{-1},m+t].$$

Recall $h_kg_{1-m}^{-1}\cdots g_{k-1}^{-1}g_k^{-1}$ conjugates $g_k\cdots g_1$ to itself. It is $\beta^{\underline{h},\mathrm{Id}}_{(12\cdots k),(12\cdots k)}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.9.

□

REMARK 3.30. As an immediate corollary, we have the equivalence between groupoids $\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi_k}\Phi_k(X)$ and the groupoid $\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]$ where k goes over all the integers.

Moreover, the symmetric power $S(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi_k}\Phi_k(X))$ is equivalent to the groupoid $S(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])$.

The formula of the equivalence is easy to get from A_ks in the proof of Lemma 3.29.

Theorem 3.9 can be reinterpreted as the lemma below, where the t part makes a difference and we need the product S^R.

LEMMA 3.31. *The groupoid $S^R(\coprod_k pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])$ is equivalent to $pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)}I^{tors}(S(X))$.*

Most details in the proof of Lemma 3.31 come from that of Theorem 3.9.

PROOF. I'll define a functor F from $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)}I^{tors}(S(X))$ to $S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}}I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])$.

Before that let's recall the symbol.

Let $(\underline{g},\sigma)\in G\wr\Sigma_n$. For each k-cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ, let M_i^σ denote the size of the set

$$W_i^\sigma:=\{j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)\mid (j_1,\cdots j_k)\text{ is a k-cycle of }\sigma\text{ and }C_G(g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1},g_{j_k}\cdots g_{j_1})\text{ is nonempty.}\},$$

and let $\alpha_1^i,\alpha_2^i,\cdots\alpha_{M_i^\sigma}^i$ denote all the elements of the set $W\sigma_i$. $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ is in W_i^σ. Assume α_1^i is i.

Each $k-$cycle of σ belongs to exactly one W_i^σ. And the set of all the $k-$cycles of σ can be divided into the disjoint union of several W_i^σs. We can pick a set of

representatives θ_k of $k-$cycles of σ such that the set of $k-$cycles of σ equals the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{i\in\theta_k} W_i^\sigma.$$

Recall

$$h_{s_k}g_{r_{1-m_r}}^{-1}\cdots g_{r_{k-1}}^{-1}g_{r_k}^{-1} = g_{s_1}^{-1}\cdots g_{s_{m_r}}^{-1}h_{s_{m_r}}$$

conjugates $g_{s_k}\cdots g_{s_1}$ into $g_{r_k}\cdots g_{r_1}$. In other words,

$$\beta_{\underline{s},r}^{\underline{h},\tau} := h_{s_k}g_{r_{1-m_r}}^{-1}\cdots g_{r_{k-1}}^{-1}g_{r_k}^{-1}$$

is an element in $C_G(g_{s_k}\cdots g_{s_1}, g_{r_k}\cdots g_{r_1})$.

Let

$$(\underline{x},\underline{g},\sigma)$$

be an object in the category $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X))$ where $\sigma\in\Sigma_n$. F sends it to

$$\prod_k \prod_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} (x_{i_1}, g_{i_k}\cdots g_{i_1})$$

where the second product goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ.

Let

$$[\underline{h},\tau;t]$$

be a morphism starting at the object $(\underline{x},\underline{g},\sigma)$.

Let τ send the $k-$cycle $i=(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ of σ to a $k-$cycle $j=(j_1,\cdots j_k)$ of σ and $\tau(i_1)=j_{1+m_i}$.

F sends $[\underline{h},\tau;t]$ to

$$\times_k \times_{i\in\theta_k} ([\beta_{\tau(1),1}^{\underline{h},\tau}, m_1+t],\cdots [\beta_{\tau(M_i^\sigma),M_i^\sigma}^{\underline{h},\tau}, m_{M_i^\sigma}+t], \tau|_{W_i^\sigma})$$

where $\tau|_{W_i^\sigma}$ denotes the permutation induced by τ on the set $W_i^\sigma=\{\alpha_1^i,\alpha_2^i,\cdots\alpha_{M_i^\sigma}^i\}$, $\tau^{-1}(j)$ is short for $\tau^{-1}(\alpha_j^i)$ and $\tau(j_l)=\tau(j)_{l+m_j}$.

F is fully faithful, since the map $F_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}$ in Theorem 3.9 is an isomorphism of groupoids.

It's straightforward to check F is essentially surjective and it's monoidal. □

Lemma 3.32. *We have an equivalence of monoidal groupoids*

$$Q^R : S^R(pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)) \longrightarrow pt//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X)),$$

which is natural in X and satisfies

$$Q^R S^R(\phi) = S(\xi)Q^R.$$

Proof. Let I be the inclusion

$$\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X)).$$

Let ϵ be the counit of the adjunction $(S,*,()) \dashv \text{forget}$. Let Q be the composition

$$Q : S(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)) \xrightarrow{S(I)} S(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)}I^{tors}(S(X))) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)}I^{tors}(S(X)).$$

Then Q is monoidal. Let Q^R be the restriction of Q to the subgroupoid $S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X))$, i.e. the composition

$$Q^R : S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)) \xrightarrow{S^R(I)} S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X))) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction of } \epsilon} \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X)).$$

The essential image of I consists exactly of the indecomposable objects of $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X))$, thus, both Q and Q^R are essentially surjective.

Q is not fully faithful but Q^R is. That's why we need the product S^R instead of S. □

Remark 3.33. There are two other ways to prove Lemma 3.32.

One way is to apply Lemma 3.29 and Lemma 3.31. Lemma 3.29 gives the equivalences between

$$S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)) \text{ and } S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]).$$

And Lemma 3.31 gives the equivalence between

$$S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]) \text{ and } \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X)).$$

Both equivalences are monoidal. The composition of them two gives the equivalence we want.

In addition, there is an easy fact that for any orbifold groupoid X, $S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^k} X)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^k} SX$ as monoidal symmetric categories (only) when $k=1$.

By Lemma 3.4 in [**20**], $S\Phi(X)$ is equivalent to $SI^{tors}(X)$. And we get the equivalence between

$$\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X) \text{ and } \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim S(\xi)} I^{tors}(S(X)).$$

And the fact above implies the equivalence between

$$S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X)) \text{ and } \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X).$$

Composing them two, we get the equivalence in the Lemma.

3.4. Power Operation for orbifold $QEll^*$. In Section 3.4 first I recall the definition of power operation for cohomology theories for orbifolds and construct Atiyah's power operation for quasi-elliptic cohomology in Example 3.36. Then I construct the total power operation for the orbifold quasi-elliptic cohomology

$$P^{Ell} : QEll(X) \longrightarrow QEll(SX)$$

for any orbifold groupoid X, as shown in (3.39). The power operation I constructed in Section 3.1 is a special case for $G-$spaces.

Nora Ganter defines the total power operation for orbifold theories in [**20**].

Definition 3.34. Let E be a cohomology theory for orbifolds with product and S be the symmetric power product on the orbifolds. A total power operation for E is a (non-linear) natural transformation

$$P : E \Rightarrow E\circ S$$

satisfying

- Comodule property: P makes E a comodule over the comonad $(-)\circ S$.
- Exponentiality: The map

$$P : E(\emptyset) \longrightarrow E(\mathrm{pt})$$

sends 0 to 1, and

$$P : E(X \sqcup Y) \longrightarrow E(SX \times SY)$$

sends (a, b) to the external product $P(a) \otimes P(b)$.

We can write P as $P = (P_n)_{n\geq 0}$, with $P_n := l_n^* P$. Then P_n is called the nth power operation of P.

Example 3.35. Let's recall Atiyah's power operations defined in [**5**]. In real or complex K-theory, let $x = [V]$ be represented by a G-equivariant vector bundle V over a $G-$space X. Then the nth exterior product $P_n(V) := V^{\boxtimes n}$ over $X^{\times n}$ has an obvious $G \wr \Sigma_n-$structure.

Now let's consider the case when X is a topological orbifold. Let V be an orbifold vector bundle over X. Then $P_n(V) := V^{\boxtimes n}$ is an orbifold vector bundle over $S_n X$. $P = (P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the axioms of a total power operation.

Example 3.36. Now let's consider the case when E is quasi-elliptic cohomology. Let X be a topological orbifold. As defined in Section 3.3.1, ξ^k is the center element on $I^{tors}(X)$ sending (x, g) to (x, g^k). Let V be an orbifold vector bundle over the orbifold

$$\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi^1} I^{tors}(X),$$

thus, V represents an element in $QEll(X)$.

Recall $QEll(X)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebra via the inclusion

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}] \cong QEll(\mathrm{pt}) \longrightarrow QEll(X),$$

and the exterior product $\boxtimes$ in quasi-elliptic cohomology is defined as the tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras. Then

$$P_n(V) := V^{\boxtimes n}$$

is an orbifold vector bundle over

$$S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} SI^{tors}(X).$$

So $P_n(V)$ is in $QEll^*(S(X))$.

$P = (P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the axioms of a total power operation.

Before the construction of the power operation of $QEll$, I introduce several more necessary constructions.

Let X be an orbifold groupoid, and ξ the element of center defined in Section 3.3.1, and $k \geq 1$ an integer. We define the map

$$s_k : K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)) \tag{3.36}$$

$$[\sum V_a q^a] \mapsto [\sum V_a q^{\frac{a}{k}}] \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\coprod_k s_k : K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X)) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\coprod_k(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{k\sim\xi} I^{tors}(X))). \tag{3.38}$$

The functor

$$(\ )_k : \Lambda_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1_{(\underline{g},\sigma)}(X)$$

defined in (3.23) is a special local case of s_k when X is a $G-$space and $(\underline{g},\sigma)$ is fixed.

Let $\theta : QEll(X) \longrightarrow K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\phi} \Phi(X))$ be the additive operation whose $k-$th component is $A_k^* \circ s_k$, where A_k is the equivalence defined in Lemma 3.29.

Now we are ready to define the total power operation of $QEll^*$:

(3.39)

$$P^{Ell} : QEll(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X) \xrightarrow{P} K_{orb}(S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X))) \xrightarrow{(Q^*)^{-1}} QEll(SX).$$

THEOREM 3.37. *P^{Ell} satisfies the axioms of a total power operation in Definition 3.34.*

PROOF. From the definition of P^{Ell}, we can see it is a well-defined natural transformation $QEll \Rightarrow QEll \circ S$ and is a comodule over the comonad $(-) \circ S$.

In addition, the functor θ has the property of additivity

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : QEll(X \sqcup Y) &\longrightarrow QEll(\Phi(X) \sqcup \Phi(Y)) \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (\theta(a), \theta(b)). \end{aligned}$$

And the power operation P defined in Example 3.36 has the exponential property. Therefore, P^{Ell} has the property of exponential. So P^{Ell} is a total power operation. □

REMARK 3.38. Let $X//G$ be a quotient orbifold. The power operation I constructed in Section 3.1 for quotient orbifolds is in fact the one below, if expressed totally:

$$\mathbb{P} : QEll^*(X//G) \xrightarrow{\coprod_k s_k} K^*_{orb}(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]) \xrightarrow{P} K^*_{orb}(S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])) \xrightarrow{J^*} QEll^*(S(X//G)).$$

Here is a little explanation about $\mathbb{P}$. Each orbifold vector bundle V over the groupoid

$$\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R} \times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}],$$

it's a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebra. The $n-$th exterior product $P_n(V) := V^{\boxtimes n}$ is the tensor product as $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras. It is an orbifold vector bundle over $S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])$. And $P = (P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the axioms for total power operation.

For quotient orbifolds, P^{Ell} and $\mathbb{P}$ are the same up to isomorphism. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QEll^*(X//G) & & QEll^*(S(X//G)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (Q^*)^{-1}} \\ K_{orb}(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi} I^{tors}(\Phi(X//G))) & \xrightarrow{P} & K_{orb}(S^R(\mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\phi}\Phi(X//G))) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \coprod_k A_k^*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle S^R(\coprod_k A_k^*)} \\ K_{orb}(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}]) & \xrightarrow{P} & K_{orb}(S^R(\coprod_k \mathrm{pt}//\mathbb{R}\times_{1\sim\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}} I^{tors}(X//G)[\xi^{\frac{1}{k}}])) \end{array}$$

commutes. The vertical maps $\coprod_k A_k^*$ and $S^R(\coprod_k A_k^*)$ are both isomorphisms of groupoids. And the horizontal maps are the power operation defined in Example 3.36.

3.5. Finite Subgroups of Tate Curve. Strickland showed in [**43**] that the quotient of the Morava E-theory of the symmetric group by a certain transfer ideal can be identified with the product of rings $\prod_{k\geq 0} R_k$ where each R_k classifies subgroup-schemes of degree p^k in the formal group associated to $E^0\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. I prove similar conclusions for Tate K-theory and quasi-ellitpic cohomology. The main conclusion for Section 3.5 is Theorem 3.39.

3.5.1. *Background and Main Conclusion.* An elliptic curve over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ is a connected Riemann surface, i.e. a connected compact 1-dimensional complex manifold, of genus 1. By the uniformization theorem every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ is analytically isomorphic to a 1-dimensional complex torus, and can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

with $q\in\mathbb{C}$ and $0<|q|<1$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

Tate curve $\mathrm{Tate}(q)$ is the elliptic curve

$$E_q : y^2+xy = x^3+a_4x+a_6$$

whose coefficients are given by the formal power series in $\mathbb{Z}((q))$

$$a_4 = -5\sum_{n\geqslant 1} n^3q^n/(1-q^n) \qquad a_6 = -\frac{1}{12}\sum_{n\geqslant 1}(7n^5+5n^3)q^n/(1-q^n).$$

The points of order N in $\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}})[N] &= \{z\in\mathbb{C}^*|z^N\in q^{\mathbb{Z}}\}/q^{\mathbb{Z}}\\ &= \{z\in\mathbb{C}^*|z^N=q^k \text{ for some } k\in\mathbb{Z}\}/q^{\mathbb{Z}}\\ &= \{z\in\mathbb{C}^*|z^N=q^k, k=0,1,\cdots N-1\}/q^{\mathbb{Z}}.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathrm{Tate}(q)[N]$, the scheme of points of order N in $\mathrm{Tate}(q)$, is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Spec}(K_{Tate}(\mathrm{pt}//C_N)).$$

It is isomorphic to

$$\coprod_{k=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Z}((q))[x]/(x^N-q^k).$$

In addition, there is a question how to classify all the finite subgroups of $\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The answer is already known, as shown below.

To give a subgroup for each order N, pick a pair of integers (d,e) and a nonzero complex number q' such that $N=de$ and $d,e\geq 1$. Let q' be a nonzero complex number such that $q^d=q'^e$. Consider the map

$$\psi_d : \mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*/q'^{\mathbb{Z}}$$
$$x \mapsto x^d.$$

It's well-defined since $\psi_d(q^{\mathbb{Z}})\subseteq q'^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We can check that $\mathrm{Ker}\psi_d$ has order N. Explicitly, it's

$$\{\mu_d^n q^{\frac{m}{e}} q^{\mathbb{Z}} | n,m\in\mathbb{Z}\}$$

where μ_d is a $d-$th primitive root of 1 and $q^{\frac{1}{e}}$ is a $e-$th primitive root of q. In fact

$$\{\mathrm{Ker}\psi_d|\ d \text{ divides } N \text{ and } d\geq 1\}$$

gives all the subgroups of $\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of order N.

Theorem 3.39 gives a classification of finite subgroups of Tate curve and a similar conclusion for the quasi-elliptic cohomology. In Section 3.5.2 I show the proof of Theorem 3.39.

Let N be an integer. Analogous to the transfer ideal I_{tr} of equivariant K-theory defined in (2.20), we can define the transfer ideal for Tate K-theory

$$I_{tr}^{Tate} := \sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0}} \mathrm{Image}[I^{\Sigma_N}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j} : K_{Tate}(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j)\longrightarrow K_{Tate}(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_N)] \tag{3.40}$$

where I_H^G is the transfer map of K_{Tate} along $H\hookrightarrow G$ defined in (2.47), and the transfer ideal for quasi-elliptic cohomology

$$\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll} := \sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0}} \mathrm{Image}[\mathcal{I}^{\Sigma_N}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j} : QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j)\longrightarrow QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_N)] \tag{3.41}$$

with $\mathcal{I}_H^G$ the transfer map of $QEll$ along $H\hookrightarrow G$ defined in (2.49).

Theorem 3.39. *The Tate K-theory of symmetric groups modulo a certain transfer ideal classifies finite subgroups of the Tate curve. Explicitly,*

$$K_{Tate}(pt//\Sigma_N)/I_{tr}^{Tate} \cong \prod_{N=de} \mathbb{Z}((q))[q']/\langle q^d-q'^e\rangle, \tag{3.42}$$

where I_{tr}^{Tate} is the transfer ideal defined in (3.40) and q' is the image of q under the power operation P^{Tate} constructed in [**20**]. *The product goes over all the ordered pairs of positive integers (d,e) such that $N=de$.*

We have the analogous conclusion for quasi-elliptic cohomology.

$$QEll(pt//\Sigma_N)/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll} \cong \prod_{N=de} \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/\langle q^d-q'^e\rangle, \tag{3.43}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$ is the transfer ideal defined in (3.41) and q' is the image of q under the power operation $\mathbb{P}_N$ constructed in Section 3.2. The product goes over all the ordered pairs of positive integers (d,e) such that $N=de$ whose order matters.

3.5.2. *The proof.* I'll show the proof of (3.43). The proof of (3.42) is similar. Let's start with several simple examples.

EXAMPLE 3.40 ($N = 1$ and $N = 2$). When $N = 1$, $q' = q$, $QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_1) = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, and $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$ is trivial. (3.43) holds obviously.

The second simplest case is when $N = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_2) &= K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1) \times R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][1, \mathrm{s}]/(s^2 - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][x]/(x^2 - q) \end{aligned}$$

where s is the sign representation of Σ_2.

By the formula (3.31), $q' = \mathbb{P}_{(1)(1)}(q) \times \mathbb{P}_{(12)}(q)$ with $\mathbb{P}_{(1)(1)}(q) = q^2$ and $\mathbb{P}_{(12)}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We may notice that $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the only representation in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][x]/(x^2 - q)$ solving the equation $x^2 - q = 0$.

The transfer ideal $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$ is the image of the transfer $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1}^{\Sigma_2}$, namely,

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \subseteq K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}).$$

By Lemma 2.46, $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)} 1 = 1 + s$ and generally $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)} q^n = (1 + s) \otimes q^n$. Thus, we have

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll} \cong \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']/(q' - q^2).$$

And

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}(12)}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']/(q'^2 - q).$$

So

$$QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_2)/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll} \cong \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']/(q' - q^2) \times \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']/(q'^2 - q).$$

Thus, when $N = 2$, the isomorphism (3.43) holds.

EXAMPLE 3.41 ($N = 3$). Now let's consider the case when $N = 3$. As shown in Example 2.43,

$$\begin{aligned} QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_3) &= K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12)}(\mathrm{pt}) \times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(123)}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1) \times R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12) \times R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(123) \\ &\cong R\Sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \times \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][x]/(x^2 - q) \times \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][y]/(y^3 - q). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.31),

$$q' = (\mathbb{P}_{(1)(1)(1)}, \mathbb{P}_{(12)(1)}, \mathbb{P}_{(123)}) = (q^3, q^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes q, q^{\frac{1}{3}}) \tag{3.44}$$

Then let's consider the transfer ideal. In this case $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$ is the image of $\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}^{\Sigma_3}$, namely

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)}(\mathrm{pt}) \subseteq K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12)}(\mathrm{pt}).$$

Since $\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12) = \Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)$, the second part

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1}(12)}(\mathrm{pt}) = K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$.

As indicated in Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.5 in [**5**], for each n,

(3.45)

$$\{Ind_{\Sigma_\alpha}^{\Sigma_n} 1 \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \alpha_r) \text{ is a partition of } n \text{ and } \Sigma_\alpha = \Sigma_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{\alpha_r}.\}$$

is a base for $R\Sigma_n$. Thus, by Lemma 2.41 and Lemma 2.46, as a $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module,

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

contains all the base elements given in (3.45) except the one corresponding to the partition (123), which is the trivial representation of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)$. So

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})/Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$, which is obviously $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'-q^3)$.

And $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})=\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^3-q)$. $q^{\frac{1}{3}}=\mathbb{P}_{(123)}(q)$ is the only element in the representation ring $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_3}(123)$ solving the equation $x^3-q=0$.

Combining the above cases we get

$$QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_3)/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}\cong\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'-q^3)\times\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^3-q).$$

Thus, the isomorphism (3.43) holds when $N=3$.

Example 3.42 ($N=4$). Now let's consider the case when $N=4$, which is more complicated than the previous examples.

$$QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_4)=K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1234)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt}).$$

There are many similarities between Example 3.42 and the previous examples.

In this case the transfer ideal $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$ is

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times$$
$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_3}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_3}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})\times$$
$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

which is contained in

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})\times K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt}).$$

By Lemma 2.41 and Lemma 2.46,

(3.46)

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_3}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_3}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

contains all the base elements given in (3.45) with $N=4$ except the one corresponding to the partition (1234), which is the trivial representation of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)$. So the summand $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo the ring (3.46) gives $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$, which is obviously isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'-q^4)$. By the formula (3.31), $\mathbb{P}_{(1)(1)(1)(1)}(q)=q^4$. So when $d=4$ and $e=1$ in (3.43), $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'-q^4)$ is isomorphic to $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo the ring (3.46).

$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is completely contained in the image of $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$ under the transfer since $\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)=\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)$. So $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})\times Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(12)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(12)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is trivial. And since $\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)=\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)$, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})$ and $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})$ are equal. So $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(123)}K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_3\times\Sigma_1}(123)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is also trivial.

In addition, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1234)}(\mathrm{pt})\cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1234)\cong\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^4-q)$. By (3.31), $\mathbb{P}_{(1234)}=q^{\frac{1}{4}}$ which is the only solution of the equation $x^4-q=0$ in the ring

$R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1234)$. So when $d=1$ and $e=4$ in (3.43), $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^4-q)$ is isomorphic to $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(1234)}(\mathrm{pt})$.

Now let's look at the part for the cycle $(12)(34)$, which is a new case different from the previous cases. $C_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34))$ is the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr \Sigma_2$. $\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34))$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \wr_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_2$ with the isomorphism defined by

$$[(g_1,g_2;\sigma),t] \mapsto ([g_1,t],[g_2,t];\sigma).$$

We have conclusions for the representations of wreath product like $\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \wr_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_2$ by replacing the tensor product $\otimes$ between the ρ_is in Theorem 3.7 by $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$. As shown in Example 2.42, a base for the representation ring $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))$ is

$$\{q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{b}{2}} \mid a,b \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{3.47}$$

Then by Theorem 3.7,

(3.48)

$$\{Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12))\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_2} q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{b}{2}} \otimes D_1 \mid a,b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \bigcup \{(q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}})^{\sim} \otimes D_\tau \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \in R\Sigma_2\}$$

contains all the irreducible representations of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_2}((12)) \wr_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_2$, where D_τ corresponding the representation τ is defined in (3.14) and D_1 is the representation corresponding to the trivial representation 1.

Now let's consider the image of

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))$$

under the transfer. The image is generated by the image of the basis given in (3.47), namely,

$$\{Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34))} q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{b}{2}} \otimes D_1 \mid a,b \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{3.49}$$

which is the first set in (3.48). Since $q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}}$ is 1-dimensional representation, we have

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}((12)(34))}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}((12)(34))} q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes D_1 = (q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}})^{\sim} \otimes D_{Ind_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_1}^{\Sigma_2} 1} = (q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}})^{\sim} \otimes D_{1+s}$$

where s is the sign representation of Σ_2. They gives all the elements in the second set in (3.48) except

$$\{(q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}})^{\sim} \otimes D_1 \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{3.50}$$

So $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module generated by (3.50).

Since

$$(q^{\frac{a}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}})^{\sim} \otimes D_1 = q^{2b}(q^{\frac{a}{2}-b} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{a}{2}-b})^{\sim}$$

where b is largest integer smaller than $\frac{a}{2}$. As a $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module,

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt}) \text{ modulo } Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is generated by

$$\{1, (q^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\sim}\},$$

which is a basis for it. The solutions to $x^2-q^2=0$ in the ring $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)$ are q and $(q^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\sim}$. So

$$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt}) \text{ modulo } Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_4}(12)(34)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_2\times\Sigma_2}(12)(34)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^2-q^2),$$

corresponding to the case $d=2$ and $e=2$ in (3.43). So for $N=4$, the isomorphism (3.43) also holds.

I'll continue the discussion further after proving the isomorphism (3.43).

Now let's see the proof of (3.43) for any N.

Proof of (3.43). Let's start with two extreme cases.

Case I:

σ is 1.

By Lemma 2.41 and Lemma 2.46, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1) \cong R\Sigma_N \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$. Thus, we can get a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ from a a basis of $R\Sigma_N$. By Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.5 in [**5**], the set (3.45) gives a basis for $R\Sigma_N$.

All the irreducible representations of $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ are

$$\{(Ind_{\Sigma_\alpha}^{\Sigma_N}1)\otimes q^k \mid k\in\mathbb{Z};\ \alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots\alpha_r) \text{ is a partition of } n \text{ and } \Sigma_\alpha=\Sigma_{\alpha_1}\times\cdots\times\Sigma_{\alpha_r}.\}$$

They are all contained in

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0}} Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

except $1\otimes q^k$ with $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. The trivial Σ_N-representation 1 is corresponding to the partition (N). It can not be obtained as induced representation.

Thus, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0}} Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$. By the formula (3.31), $\mathbb{P}_1 = q^N$ where 1 denote the identity element in $1\wr\Sigma_N$. On the right hand of (3.43), when $d=N$ and $e=1$, $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'-q^N)$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$. Thus, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0}} Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(1)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(1)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is isomorphic to it.

Case II:

σ is $(12\cdots N)$.

By Lemma 2.41 and Lemma 2.46, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(12\cdots N)}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong R\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(12\cdots N) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][x]/(x^N-q)$. The image of the transfer to this summand is trivial. And by (3.31) $\mathbb{P}_{(12\cdots N)}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{N}}$.

By sending x to $q'=q^{\frac{1}{N}}$, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(12\cdots N)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/(q'^N-q)$, which is the summand on the right hand of (3.43) with $d=1$ and $e=N$,

Case III:

σ is in $\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_{N-r}$ with $r > 0$ such that all the cycles of the same length are either in Σ_r or Σ_{N-r}. For example, the cycle

$$(1\ 2)(3\ 4)(5\ 6)(7\ 8\ 9\ 10)(11\ 12\ 13\ 14)(15\ 16\ 17) \in \Sigma_{17}$$

is in this case. It's in $\Sigma_6 \times \Sigma_{11}$; the cycles $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)(5\ 6)$, $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)(6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 10)$ are not in this case.

Most elements in Σ_N belong to Case III. σ is not in this case if and only if it consists of cycles of the same length, such as $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$, $(1\ 2\ 3)$, 1, $(1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6)$.

For those σ that belong to Case III, $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma) = \Lambda_{\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_{N-r}}(\sigma)$, so $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_{N-r}}(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}$ is the identity map, so $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is equal to $Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_{N-r}}(\sigma)}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_r \times \Sigma_{N-r}}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$. So the summand corresponding to σ in $QEll(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_N)$ is completely cancelled.

Case IV:

σ consists of cycles of the same length, in other words, it consists of d $e-$cycles with $N = de$. For example, $(1\ 2)(3\ 4) \in \Sigma_4$ and $(1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6)(7\ 8\ 9)(10\ 11\ 12) \in \Sigma_{12}$ are both in this case.

The two extreme cases, Case I and Case II, are both special cases of Case IV. But we don't need to discuss the representation ring of wreath product in Case I and Case II.

The centralizer $C_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma) \cong C_e \wr \Sigma_d$, where $C_e = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ is the cyclic group with order e. Recall

$$\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma) \cong \Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e) \wr_{\mathbb{T}} \Sigma_d$$

is the subgroup of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e) \wr \Sigma_d$ with elements of the form

$$([a_1, t], [a_2, t], \cdots [a_d, t]; \tau).$$

$K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$ is the representation ring $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$. According to Theorem 3.8, as a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]-$module, it has the basis

$$\{Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(d)}}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d} (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} (q^{\frac{a_r}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_r} \otimes D_\tau \mid$$

$(d) = (d_1, d_2, \cdots d_r)$ is a partition of d.

$a_1, a_2, \cdots a_r$ are in $\{0, 1, \cdots e-1\}$. And $\tau \in R\Sigma_{(d)}$ is irreducible.$\}$

where for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q^{\frac{a}{e}} : \Lambda_{C_e}((12\cdots e)) \longrightarrow U(1)$ is the map

$$q^{\frac{a}{e}}([(12\cdots e)^j, t]) = e^{2\pi i a \frac{j+t}{e}}. \tag{3.51}$$

Namely, it's the map x_1^a in the sense of Example 2.42.

For each partition (d) of d, if it has more than one cycle, $\Sigma_{(d)}$ is a subgroup of some $\Sigma_{d_1} \times \Sigma_{d-d_1}$ for some positive integer $0 < d_1 < d$. So for each

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(d)}}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d} (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} (q^{\frac{a_r}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_r} \otimes D_\tau$$

with $r \geq 2$, it's equal to

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_{d_1}\times\Sigma_{d-d_1})}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d} (Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(d)}}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_{d_1}\times\Sigma_{d-d_1})} (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} (q^{\frac{a_r}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_r} \otimes D_\tau)$$

by the property of induced representation. Note that

$$\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e) \wr_{\mathbb{T}} (\Sigma_{d_1} \times \Sigma_{d-d_1}) \cong \Lambda_{\Sigma_{d_1 e} \times \Sigma_{N-d_1 e}}(\sigma).$$

So

$$Ind_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_{(d)}}^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_{d_1}\times\Sigma_{d-d_1})} (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} (q^{\frac{a_r}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d_r} \otimes D_\tau$$

is in $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_{d_1 e}\times\Sigma_{N-d_1 e}}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$, Thus, each base element with $r \geq 2$ is contained in the transfer ideal.

When $r = 1$, consider

$$(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d} \otimes D_\tau$$

with $\tau \in R\Sigma_d$. As indicated in Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.5 in [**5**], each τ, except the trivial representation of Σ_d, can be induced from a representation τ' in some $R(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_{d-i})$ with $d > i > 0$.

Claim: the representation

$$Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})}(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d-i} \otimes D_{\tau'}$$

is isomorphic to

$$(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d} \otimes D_{Ind^{\Sigma_d}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}\tau'},$$

which is

$$(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d} \otimes D_\tau.$$

To prove this, let's consider a set $\{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_d/\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}$ of coset representatives. Then

$$\{\eta_\alpha := (1,\cdots 1;\tau_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_d/\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}$$

is a set of coset representatives of

$$\big(\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d\big)/\big(\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})\big).$$

Let W be a representation space of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})$, Then $Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})}W$ is the direct product of $[\Sigma_d : \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_{d-i}]$ copies of W. For any element

$$H = (g_1,\cdots g_d;\beta) \in \Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d,$$

and each $\alpha \in \Sigma_d/\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_{d-i}$, there is a unique $\alpha' \in \Sigma_d/\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_{d-i}$ and a unique

$$J_\alpha = (g'_1,\cdots g'_d;\gamma_\alpha) \in \Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_{d-i})$$

such that $H\eta_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha'}J_\alpha$. Note that

$$g'_1,\cdots g'_d$$

is a permutation of

$$g_1,\cdots g_d.$$

So $(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g'_1,\cdots g'_d) = (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g_1,\cdots g_d)$. In addition, $\beta\tau_\alpha = \tau_{\alpha'}\gamma_\alpha$. Let

$$\prod_\alpha w_\alpha$$

be an element in

$$Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})}W.$$

We have

$$\Big(Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}\Sigma_d}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_e}(12\cdots e)\wr_{\mathbb{T}}(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i})}(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}i}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d-i}\otimes D_{\tau'}\Big)(H)(\prod_\alpha w_\alpha)$$

$$=\prod_\alpha J_\alpha w_{\beta(\alpha)}=\prod_\alpha (q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g_1,\cdots g_d)D_{\tau'}(1,\cdots 1;\gamma_\alpha)(w_{\beta\alpha})$$

$$=(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g_1,\cdots g_d)\prod_\alpha \tau'(\gamma_\alpha)(w_{\beta\alpha})$$

$$=(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g_1,\cdots g_d)(Ind^{\Sigma_d}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}\tau')(\beta)(\prod_\alpha w_\alpha)$$

$$=(q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}(g_1,\cdots g_d;\beta)D_{Ind^{\Sigma_d}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}\tau'}(g_1,\cdots g_d;\beta)(\prod_\alpha w_\alpha)$$

$$=\big((q^{\frac{a_1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}\otimes D_{Ind^{\Sigma_d}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}\tau'}\big)(g_1,\cdots g_d;\beta)(\prod_\alpha w_\alpha)$$

So the claim is proved.

Since

$$\{Ind^{\Sigma_d}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}}\tau' \mid \tau'\in R(\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_{d-i}) \text{ and } i=1,2,\cdots d-1.\}$$

contains all the irreducible representation of Σ_d except the trivial representation, which is corresponding to the partition (d), thus, by the claim, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$ modulo the image of the transfer, is a $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module generated by the equivalent classes represented by

$$\{((q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d})^\sim \mid a=0,1,\cdots e-1\}. \tag{3.52}$$

For any a, $(q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}$ is $(q^{\frac{1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}$ to the $a-$th power. Note that, by (3.31), $(q^{\frac{1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}$ is

$$q':=\mathbb{P}_\sigma(q).$$

To get the isomorphism (3.43), consider a map $\Psi:\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][x]\longrightarrow K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})/\mathcal{I}^{QEll}_{tr}$ by sending q to q and x to q', which is a well-defined $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$homomorphism.

Since $q'^e=q^d$, $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})/\mathcal{I}^{QEll}_{tr}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]-$module generated by

$$1,q',\cdots q'^{e-1}.$$

So any element in it can be expressed as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}f_j(q)q'^j$$

where each $f_j(q)$ is in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$. It's the image of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}f_j(q)x^j$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][x]$. So Ψ is surjective.

Now let's study its kernel. If

$$F:=\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}f_j(q)q'^j$$

is in $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$, then it's in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. So we can assume $F = 0$.

For each element $[(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta), t]$ in $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$ with $(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta) \in C_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma) \cong C_e \wr \Sigma_d$,

$$q([(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta), t]) = e^{2\pi i t}, \tag{3.53}$$

and

$$q'([(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta), t]) = e^{\frac{2\pi i(a_1+\cdots a_d+dt)}{e}}. \tag{3.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F([(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta), t]) &= \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} f_j(q) q'^j([(a_1, \cdots a_d; \beta), t]) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} f_j(e^{2\pi i t}) e^{\frac{2\pi i j(a_1+\cdots+a_d+dt)}{e}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} f_j(e^{2\pi i t}) e^{\frac{2\pi i j dt}{e}} e^{\frac{2\pi i j(a_1+\cdots+a_d)}{e}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F_j(t) := f_j(e^{2\pi i t}) e^{\frac{2\pi i j dt}{e}}$$

be the complex-valued function in the variable t. And let α denote the number $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{e}}$. The integers

$$(a_1 + \cdots + a_d)$$

go over $0, 1, \cdots e-1$. Consider the e equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} F_j(t) \alpha^{jk} = 0, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \cdots e-1.$$

In other words,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{e-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \cdots & \alpha^{2(e-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{e-1} & \alpha^{2(e-1)} & \cdots & \alpha^{(e-1)^2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_0(t) \\ F_1(t) \\ F_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ F_{e-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

The determinant of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^{e-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \cdots & \alpha^{2(e-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{e-1} & \alpha^{2(e-1)} & \cdots & \alpha^{(e-1)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is

$$\prod_{j=0}^{e-2} \prod_{k=j+1}^{e-1} (\alpha^k - \alpha^j). \tag{3.55}$$

When $\alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{e}}$, each $(\alpha^k - \alpha^j)$ in the product (3.55) is nonzero, so for any e, the determinant is nonzero and the matrix is non-singular. So we get $F_j(t) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots e-1$.

So each $f_j(q)$ in F is the zero polynomial.

The kernel of Ψ is the ideal generated by $q'^e - q^d$.

□

Below is a conclusion which has no relation with (3.43).

LEMMA 3.43. *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ consist of d $e-$cycles with $N = de$. Then the equation*

$$x^e - q^d = 0 \tag{3.56}$$

has totally (d, e) solutions in $K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(pt)$ modulo the image of transfer, i.e.

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0,\\ \sigma\in\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}} Image[\mathcal{I}^{\Sigma_N}_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j} : QEll(pt//\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j) \longrightarrow QEll(pt//\Sigma_N)]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i+j=N,\\ N>j>0,\\ \sigma\in\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}} Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(\sigma)} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(\sigma)}(pt).$$

And each solution is in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']$ where $q' = \mathbb{P}_\sigma(q)$. Here (d, e) is the greatest common divisor of d and e.

PROOF. First let's see whether we can find a representation of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$ solving the equation (3.56).

Since q^d is a 1-dimensional representation, x has to be 1-dimensional, thus, irreducible. Theorem 3.8 gives us all the irreducible representations of $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$. For any subgroup $\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j$ of Σ_N containing σ with $i + j = N$, $N > j > 0$, for any $\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(\sigma)-$representation V with positive dimension, the induced representations $Ind^{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_i\times\Sigma_j}(\sigma)} V$ has dimension larger than 1. By Case IV in the proof of Theorem 3.39, x is of the form

$$q^m (q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d} \otimes D_\tau$$

with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \in \{0, 1, \cdots e-1\}$ and τ a 1-dimensional representation of Σ_d. It's equivalent to

$$q^m (q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d} \otimes D_1.$$

$$[q^m (q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d}]^e = q^{ad+me} = q^d.$$

Then $ad + me = d$. So $(a, m) = (k\frac{e}{(d,e)} + 1, -k\frac{d}{(d,e)})$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. To make $a \in \{0, 1, \cdots e-1\}$, the value k should be in $\{1, 2, \cdots (d, e) - 1\}$. Each k gives exactly one pair (a, m). And each solution

$$q^m (q^{\frac{a}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} d} = q^m q'^a \tag{3.57}$$

is in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][q']$.

Next I show this (d, e) solutions are the only solutions.

Consider any element $x = \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} V_a q^a$ in $R\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$, with each V_a a $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)-$representation. Let a_0 be the smallest integer such that V_{a_0} is nonzero. Let $x^e = \sum_{b\in\mathbb{Z}} W_b q^b$. The

nonzero term in x^e with the smallest index is $(V_{a_0})^{\otimes e}q^{ea_0}$, which is equal to q^d. Thus, $V_{a_0}q^{a_0}$ is one of the (d,e) solutions above, and $a_0 = m$.

$$0 = W_{d+1} = eV_m^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}e-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} V_{m+1},$$

so $V_{m+1} = 0$.

Assume $V_a = 0$ for $m < a \leq n$. $0 = W_{m(e-1)+n+1} = eV_m^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}e-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} V_{n+1}$. Thus $V_{n+1} = 0$.

Then by induction, V_a with $a > m$ are all zero. So $x = V_m q^m$.

Thus, we get the lemma.

□

From the power operation of quasi-elliptic cohomology, we can construct the Adams operation for quasi-elliptic cohomology.

Proposition 3.44. The composition

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_N :& QEll_G(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}_N} QEll_{G\wr\Sigma_N}(X^{\times N}) \xrightarrow{res} QEll_{G\times\Sigma_N}(X^{\times N})\\ &\xrightarrow{diag^*} QEll_{G\times\Sigma_N}(X) \cong QEll_G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} QEll_{\Sigma_N}(\mathrm{pt})\\ &\longrightarrow QEll_G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} QEll_{\Sigma_N}(\mathrm{pt})/\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll} \cong QEll_G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \prod_{N=de} \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}][q']/\langle q^d - q'^e\rangle\end{aligned}$$

defines an additive operation, where res is the restriction map by the inclusion

$$G \times \Sigma_N \hookrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_N,\ (g,\sigma) \mapsto (g,\cdots g;\sigma),$$

$diag$ is the diagonal map

$$X \longrightarrow X^{\times N},\ x \mapsto (x,\cdots x)$$

and the last map is the isomorphism in Theorem 3.39. Moreover, it is a homomorphism between the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras.

Proof. Let $V = \prod_{g\in G_{conj}^{tors}} V_g \in QEll_G(X)$. Apply the explicit formula of the power operation in (3.31), the composition $diag^* \circ res \circ \mathbb{P}_N$ sends V to

$$\prod_{\substack{g\in G_{conj}^{tors}\\ \sigma\in {\Sigma_N}_{conj}^{tors}}} \otimes_k \otimes_{(i_1,\cdots i_k)} V_{g^k} q^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

where $(i_1,\cdots i_k)$ goes over all the $k-$cycles of σ, and the tensor products are those of the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras. Each factor has a decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{\rho} V_\rho \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \rho \in K_{\Lambda_G(g)}(X^g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} K_{\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)}(\mathrm{pt})$$

where ρ goes over all the irreducible $\Lambda_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)-$representation and V_ρ is the $\Lambda_G(\sigma)-$bundle uniquely determined by ρ and V. Then after taking the quotient by the transfer ideal $\mathcal{I}_{tr}^{QEll}$, all the factors in $diag^* \circ res \circ \mathbb{P}_N(V)$ are cancelled except those corresponding to the elements in ${\Sigma_N}_{conj}^{tors}$ with cycles of the same length. And for the bundles in the factor corresponding to the element $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ with d $e-$cycles and $de = N$, the nontrivial part is the subbundles $V_{g^e,\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} \rho$ corresponding to $\rho = q'^a$ with

$$q'_{d,e} = \mathbb{P}_\sigma(q) = (q^{\frac{1}{e}})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}$$

and $a = 0, 1, \cdots e-1$. The restriction of q' to the subgroup $C_{\Sigma_N}(\sigma)$ is trivial. V_{g^e,q'^a} is the fixed point space of $V_{g^e}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}d}$ by the permutations Σ_d, i.e. the pull-back bundle via the diagonal map $i_{g,d,e} : X^{g^e} \hookrightarrow (X^{g^e})^{\times d}$.

Thus,

$$\overline{P}_N(V) = \prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} i^*_{(g,d,e)} V_{g^e}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]}d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e} = \prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} V_{g^e,q'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e}. \tag{3.58}$$

By this formula, we can see immediately that $\overline{P}_N$ is a homomorphism between the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]-$algebras.

Let V, W be two elements in $QEll_G(X)$. We have $(V \oplus W)_{g^e,q'} = V_{g^e,q'} \oplus W_{g^e,q'}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}_N(V \oplus W) &= \prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} (V \oplus W)_{g^e,q'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e} = \prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} (V_{g^e,q'} \oplus W_{g^e,q'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e} \\ &= \Big(\prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} V_{g^e,q'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e} \Big) \oplus \Big(\prod_{\substack{(d,e)\\ N=de}} W_{g^e,q'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]} q'^{}_{d,e} \Big) = \overline{P}_N(V) \oplus \overline{P}_N(W).\end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 3.45. $\overline{P}_n$ is the Adams operation for quasi-elliptic cohomology, as explained below.

Tate K-theory is an elliptic cohomology with a Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel theory. And using the stringy power operation $P_n^{string} = \mathbb{P}_n \otimes Id$ cited in Remark 3.19 we can define Hecke operators for Tate K-theory. From P_n^{string} we can define the Hecke operators T_n, as shown in Section 5.4 in [**19**]. T_n is the Adams operations for Tate K-theory. $\overline{P}_n$ uniquely extends to an additive operator

$$\overline{P^{string}}_n = \overline{P}_n \otimes Id : K_{Tate}(X//G) \longrightarrow K_{Tate}(X//G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}((q))} K_{Tate}(\mathrm{pt}//\Sigma_N)/I_{tr}^{Tate}.$$

For any $x \in K_{Tate}(X//G)$, the trace of $\overline{P^{string}}_n(x)$ is equal to $nT_n(x)$.

References

1. Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Neil P. Strickland: *Elliptic spectra*, the Witten genus and the theorem of the cube. Invent. Math. , 146(3):595-687, 2001.
2. Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Neil P. Strickland: *The sigma orientation is an H_∞ map*, Amer. J. Math., 126(2):247-334, 2004.
3. Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, Yongbin Ruan: *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 171. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
4. M.F.Atiyah: *K-theory*, second edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1989, Notes by D.W.Anderson.
5. M.F.Atiyah: *Power operations in K-theory*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 17 1966 pp. 165193.
6. Atiyah, M.F.: *Bott Periodicity and the Index of Elliptic Operators*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 19 1968 113140.
7. Atiyah, M.F. and Segal, G.B.: *Equivariant K-theory and completion*, J. Differential Geometry 3 1969 1-18.
8. Tobias Barthel, Nathaniel Stapleton: *The character of the total power operation*, available at arXiv:1502.01987.
9. Clemens Berger, Ieke Moerdijk: *On an extension of the notion of Reedy category*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, December 2011, Volume 269, Issue 3, pp 977-1004.
10. G. Bredon: *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol 34. 1967.

11. Theodor Bröcker, Tammo tom Dieck: *Representation of Compact Lie Groups*, Springer GTM **98** 1985.
12. Clifford, A.H.: *Representations induced in an invariant subgroup*, Ann. of Math. 38(1937), 533-550.
13. Curtis, C.W., and Reiner, I.: *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1962, xiv + 689 pp.
14. P. Deligne and M. Rapoport: *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*, In Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972) , pages 143-316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
15. Jorge A. Devoto: *Equivariant elliptic homology and finite groups*, Michigan Math. J. , 43(1):3-32, 1996.
16. Daniel S. Freed, Michael J.Hopkins, and Constantin Teleman, *Loop groups and twisted K-theory II*, J.Amer.Math.Soc 26(2013), no. 3, 595-644.
17. William Fulton, Joe Harris: *Representation Theory, a first course*, Springer GTM **129** 1991.
18. Nora Ganter: *Orbifold genera, product formulas and power operations*, Advances in Mathematics, 2006.
19. Nora Ganter: *Stringy power operations in Tate K-theory*, (2007), available at arXiv: math/0701565.
20. Nora Ganter: *Power operations in orbifold Tate K-theory*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 15 (2013), no. 1, 313-342.
21. David Gepner, Andre Henriques: *Homotopy Theory of Orbispaces*, arXiv:math/0701916.
22. V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot: *Elliptic algebras and equivariant elliptic cohomology I*,
23. I. Grojnowski: *Delocalised equivariant elliptic cohomology (1994)*, in Elliptic cohomology, volume 342 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 114-121. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
24. M J Hopkins, N J Kuhn, D C Ravenel: *Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories*, J. Am. Math. Soc. 13 (2000) 553594.
25. Gordon James, Adalbert Kerber: *Representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications v. 16, Reading, Mass. : Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Advanced Book Program/World Science Division, 1981.
26. Nicholas M. Katz and Barry Mazur: *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 108, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
27. Lewis, L. G., Jr., May, J. P., Steinberger, M., McClure, J. E.: *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, With contributions by J. E. McClure. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1213. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
28. Ernesto Lupercio and Bernardo Uribe: *Inertia orbifolds, configuration spaces and the ghost loop space*, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 55, Issue 2, pp. 185-201, arxiv/math.AT/0210222; Loop groupoids, gerbes, and twisted sectors on orbifolds, in: Orbifolds in Mathematics and Physics, Madison, WI, 2001, in: Contemp. Math. 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 163184, MR2004c:58043, math.AT/0110207
29. Jacob Lurie: *A Survey of Elliptic Cohomology*, in Algebraic Topology Abel Symposia Volume 4, 2009, pp 219-277
30. J.P.May: *Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 91, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996 (with contributions by M. Cole, G. Comezaa, S. Costenoble, A.D. Elmendorf, J.P.C. Greenlees, L.G. Lewis, Jr., R.J. Piacenza, G. Triantafillou, S. Waner).
31. Mitter, P., Viallet, C.: *On the bundle of connections and the gauge orbit manifold in Yang Mills theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. 79 (1981) 457.
32. I. Moerdijk: *Orbifolds as groupoids: an introduction*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics, Madison, WI, 2001, Contemparary Mathematics, vol. 310, American Mathematics Society, Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 205-222.
33. Charles Rezk: *Lectures on power operations*.
34. Charles Rezk: *Elliptic $\Lambda-$Rings*, 2010.
35. Charles Rezk: *Quasi-elliptic cohomology*, 2011.
36. Charles Rezk: *Loopy Stuff*, 2016.

37. M.A.Mandell, J.P.May, S.Schwede, B.Shipley: *Model categories of diagram spectra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 82 (2001), no. 2, 441-512.
38. Tomer M. Schlank, Nathaniel Stapleton: *A transchromatic proof of Strickland's theorem*, available at arXiv:1404.0717.
39. Schommer-Pries, Christopher J.: *Central extensions of smooth 2-groups and a finite-dimensional string 2-group*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), no. 2, 609676.
40. Segal, Graeme: *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 34 1968 129151.
41. Nathaniel Stapleton:*Transchromatic generalized character maps*, 2013, availabel at arXiv:1304.5194.
42. Nathaniel Stapleton:*Transchromatic twisted character maps*, 2011, availabel at arXiv:1110.3346.
43. N. P. Strickland: *Morava E-theory of symmetric groups*, Topology 37 (1998), no. 4, 757779. MR 1607736 (99e:55008).
44. Donald Yau: *Lambda-rings*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.

Zhen Huan, Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801
E-mail address: huan2@illinois.edu